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Of Finance

13.1.1 Although it be to come, the finance cannot be conceived as but behind one (past already).

13.1.2 That the finance be revealed as not the ground of that which is, but in the evident relief from that which was remains a sign of that to follow.

13.1.3 That from which and that to which the finance comes and goes cannot be present.

13.1.4 Relief is always past, and yet is always yet to come. For the present that remains remains unfounded.

13.1.5 The terms of finance have always already lapsed.
13.2.1 As the lapse that is already left behind is finance followed by a consciousness that follows its own lack of understanding by which terms may be contracted.

13.2.2 The finance comes to pass as separation from what cannot be remembered, to a consciousness to come.

13.2.3 That from which and that to which are one.

13.2.4 The finance would appear as disappearance that leaves only an example to refer to, which would have to be let go for the financial operation to continue.

13.2.5 The finance disappears in its provisioning of means of substitution. As correctly apprehended will these signs be turned away from and abandoned.

13.2.6 Finance cannot be conceived of as a product of its own facilitation; in that debt is not reducible to debt, reduced to debt, reduced to nothing.

13.2.7 It would follow that the only comprehension of the finance that may ever be achieved will be by means of that which signifies its absence.

13.2.8 The relevance of finance to the fact of that which is may be considered in the light of its delayed invalidation.
13.3.1 If a figure could be drawn for the perception of the finance it would have to be the figure of a spiral. But this figure must be seen as but a point along the edge of its own turning.

13.3.2 An alternative to such would be the figure of the debt as the circumference of a circle, with no centre to refer to; where the absence of proportion corresponds to an inherent need for finance.
13.4.1 There is no reason for the finance, such as “given in exchange for a particular good deed.”

13.4.2 That the finance be is ground enough, and needs no other reason.

13.4.3 Any reason that is given to explain either the need or the potential for the finance will be reason to obstruct its operation.

13.4.4 The finance is refused in its own relevance to that which is provided. A refusal of this kind must coincide with its contingent operation.

13.4.5 A debt is both the product of and obstacle to finance.
13.5.1 The financial operations are expressions of the void that voids all matters of concern.

13.5.2 A financial operation of the kind that is of relevance to any situation will dissociate the same as such by funding for another.

13.5.3 The financial operations that release the self from worldly obligations are the countless generations of such figures of account that are recorded.

13.5.4 Difficult to comprehend is the necessity to leave that which the contract has provided. The appearance of a thing would be dependent on the fact that it be lost to the perceiver. Separation from that fact would be condition for it being to begin with.

13.5.5 The finance is conceived as the contraction of examples of endebtment, which may only be relieved by its continued operation. Thus its relevance to debt is both as that which gives, and that which takes away.

13.5.6 To entreat the operation of the finance must the consciousness in debt renounce all matters of concern — that is, including the concern that is for finance.

13.5.7 The finance is not given when or where one might decide it would be useful, but according to a need that is not given to make sense of.
13.6.1 The acceptance of the terms allows the universe to be. Its slow emergence corresponds to an imperative that separates the consciousness from everything that was to that which cannot be predicted.

13.6.2 The imperative would generate the funding.

13.6.3 The imperative necessitates the loss of what was given, in exchange for the appearance of whatever else might be. It may only be perceived in the reflection of its singular resultants, and not ever shown directly.

13.6.4 The imperative is heeded by refusing to maintain the least attachment whatever has been offered, and allowing it to offer such or such in separation from that offered. To obey is to renounce the world as given by the contract.

13.6.5 To follow the imperative is not to take control of that which happens, but to let the matter be.

13.6.6 The imperative may not be disobeyed in certain instances that signify itself. Though thus heeded when it must be, their significance is easy to pass over. Those however who have recognised their import will be held in preparation for whatever may be asked of them in future.

13.6.7 Follow the imperative regardless.
13.7.1 It is the finitude of finance that both validates and voids its operation.

13.7.2 The potential to refinance coincides with the financial operation, and as long as this continues. This potential is invalid if assumed as something given in advance of realisation.

13.7.3 A reality may not precede, but presupposes its acceptance.

13.7.4 The necessity for finance corresponds to the contingency of terms.

13.7.5 The finance brings about such innovation as the beating of a heart, which beating signifies what cannot be returned to.

13.7.6 Finance is the endless operation that brings end to that which cannot be remembered, leaving instances of debt that have no origin to which to be returned.

13.7.7 That the finance be refinance is a consequence of having no commencement to return to.

13.7.8 Nothing reappears beyond the finance.