Of the Funding

5.1.1 The facility is not itself a thing to be considered—as a promise for example of unlimited resources that will serve as an inducement to the signing of the contract—but is that which would allow consideration to begin with. There is nothing to distinguish what is formally referred to as “the contract” from this faculty that funds. The acceptance of its terms is how it functions.

5.1.2 Funding is received in the performance of the contract, which occurs as the expression of the terms.

5.1.3 The performance of the contract means not only its efficient operation, but fulfilment of the terms. This fulfilment would provide for any outcome.

5.1.4 The facility may not be circumscribed by every possible requirement in advance of realisation, but determines on the go, and as it has to. Thus provisionally defined by its particular examples the facility makes real.

5.1.5 The operation of the contract is the unforeseen production of the real.
5.2.1 The facility escapes the comprehension it enables. As prerequisite to things as such in general it is nothing in itself but the necessity to yield to its unthinkable conditions.

5.2.2 The presence of the contract presupposes its own funding operation. It would follow that the contract would invalidate its own determination.

5.2.3 The contract is the founding operation that has come to be accepted as the essence of the world. It is not a thing to think of, but considered nonetheless it may present itself as such. Although not adequate to that which it would seem to be the image, such an image represents a subtle shift in its ongoing operation, so that that which it would represent must signify as such in turn no longer.

5.2.4 A single definition of the contract merely signifies the same as a particular example of its (fictional) operation.
5.3.1 The facility is given as what gives consideration. It appears as such in that there be appearance, not as such or such appearance.

5.3.2 The presumption of the contract in the presence of whatever it may be must not be simplified to signify “a totality that implicates the predetermination of all possible perception,” as has happened in the past. Definitions of this kind are to refer to as the obstinate remainder of a single operation of the contract, which could never be perceived as such directly. Such totality will always be conceived as but another mere example to let go of.

5.3.3 The contract is the faculty for things as such in general — not as “everything” considered, but in every thing considered.

5.3.4 The funding cannot be objective.

5.3.5 Although it be defined as an unlimited resource this form of finance is not measured in the manner of a quantitative agency to cover any need, but is the qualitative measure of all things. It is contracted out of nothing.
5.4.1 The facility provides for things not formerly provided — such as “galaxies beyond the milky way.”

5.4.2 The financial operations that allow for the appearance of whatever it may be define the contract. They are only to receive in separation from what rights and obligations have continued until now.

5.4.3 The acceptance of the terms of resignation would allow for things to be.

5.4.4 To be able to receive one must relinquish.
The terms that are of relevance to funding may not specify what can or not be funded. There is nothing to preclude a future outcome. What they signify pertains to that which cannot be conceived by a contracted understanding.

The facility that lends is not the subject of a limit to invest with, but itself provides the limits to adhere to. There is nothing to preclude the unpredictable existence of whatever it may be — but whatever comes to be is not an option to take up, or turn away from.

Funding therefore cannot be reduced to a specific sum to spend on any project. It specifically defines the thing invested.

The sum of all that is is an impossible abstraction.

The facility enables not according to the means that it has ready to dispose — but by agreement.

There is no limit to the funding, but each fund provides a limit to adhere to.

A term of understanding to invest is also limit to investment.

Every fund is finite.
5.6.1 The funding is of singular examples, which are not to serve as funding for whatever else besides. They are contracted out of nothing, as occurrences of debt that have no substance to depend on.

5.6.2 The use of what is funded for the funding of another kind of business or concern is an abuse of the agreement.

5.6.3 Considerations such as foodstuff, clothes, apartments, and unlimited diversions are provided as required by individual contractions. Thus to live and to enjoy the world one has to come to terms without assuming what one has as had to bargain — for the price of any good is irreducible to any good besides.

5.6.4 A term would be the price of any good that would produce it out of nothing.

5.6.5 The singular enjoyment of each aspect of the world received is all that may be taken as your own.
5.7.1 Though the contract may be seen by its examples, it is not by such defined. That “a house” has been provided, in conjunction with “an automatic vehicle” to drive in, and with “food” to be consumed is not construed to mean that anything besides may be derived from their adjacent constitutions. That they are is not a consequence of conscious calculation, but of gradual acceptance of the terms.

5.7.2 A fund is not reducible to something-to-consider. As contracted out of nothing, it is that which has allowed some thing to be; which is not to be reduced to parts or precedents. The image of “an atom” is a mere approximation to begin with, and so cannot be the ground of the emergence of whatever else may be.

5.7.3 A stone is an expression of the universe to equal any star. There is no precedence, nor order to adhere to.

5.7.4 The facility provides in spite of everything provided.
5.8.1 The facility implies determination; where the fund that it concedes for either this or that proposal presupposes the contraction of a term by which the sense of such is settled. The term itself is funding. The “unlimited resources” are not open to the will and inclination of the subject (which must constantly expose itself to redetermination). The consequence of which is the negation of quotidian concerns: though the subject lose control, the need to exercise control is also lifted. While attentive to the terms there is no worry.

5.8.2 The objects of investment are not precedent to funding, but suppose it.

5.8.3 Funding is not granted in accordance to the will of one already predetermined, but by terms of the agreement. Thus the difficulty met with by deliberate requests for further funding.

5.8.4 To persist in an objective would be reason for a freeze on further funding.

5.8.5 The funding may be frozen by a cause by which a consciousness be held to all remaining obligations. Situations of this kind are to be recognised in anguish.

5.8.6 A contractual dysfunction leads to interest.
5.9.1 Funding may be endlessly received, as long as focus is maintained in its disinterested investment, and not drawn towards protecting what has come to be in consequence of previous investment.

5.9.2 Only passively may funding be accepted by the one who would invest it. It cannot be decided in accordance with a will that would invest it as it pleases, but decided stage by stage as obligation.

5.9.3 The funding is conceded by allowing it to draw against all interests. It is not to be assumed therefore as granted, but to ask in every instance.

5.9.4 The facility would function as absolved from the contention that results from the persistence of possession.

5.9.5 The terms are contradictory to all that may belong to any person. The facility provides to those that give themselves away.
5.10.1 There is “I” because there is a contract. I cannot decide on my acceptance of the contract, and the fact that I am able to imagine that I could presumes the contract has already been accepted. In the absence of this fact there would be nothing to account for.

5.10.2 The funding is contracted for whatever it may be in terms of conscience.

5.10.3 The implication of my conscience differentiates my presence from the presence of whatever else the contract has provided, such as: such or such a bird, or such a cloud, or such a ray, or such a flower.

5.10.4 That the funding be received is not a matter of free choice.
5.11.1 The contract is to operate in terms of resignation.

5.11.2 To negotiate the terms is not to argue either way, but to accept them as directed.

5.11.3 The facility may never be controlled by any means that it has formally provided. It is not to be controlled, but given into.

5.11.4 The performance of the contract is received as an ongoing expectation. The facility facilitates such yielding such diverse consideration— which appears as an incentive to continue.

5.11.5 The entreatment must continue, irrespective of whatever has already been provided by the contract.

5.11.6 Not for this or that, the entreatment is disinterested concern for what exceeds the understanding.

5.11.7 *Give up everything* for nothing.
5.12.1 The need for funding is the need for understanding.

5.12.2 The production of the universe by funding, which derives from an originary lapse in terms that indicate their ultimate fulfilment, whereby that which is agreed as insufficient to account for what it stands for is a promise to repay the same in future generations, will proceed by way of endless resignation.

5.12.3 The funding cannot constitute a permanent foundation to depend on. The reality produced by its ungraspable contraction must be taken as a debt to be absolved from. All reality gives way to the necessity to pay.

5.12.4 There could be nothing if not given by the contract. But the insufficient funding means that nothing in particular is guaranteed to be. The guarantee refers to that, regardless what it be, there must be something to consider. Thus the funding is assured, but not that funded.

5.12.5 That there be something to consider is condition of the contract.
5.13.1 The term that starts the funding operation is irrelevant to each of the specifically invested operations that result from its spontaneous contraction. There can be no valid reference to terms that have contracted in the past, but an ongoing termination; which may nonetheless stand still. Lack of funding will eventuate from conscious interruption in the process to adhere to.

5.13.2 The facility withdraws from every formal definition. Thus the terms that would appear to comprehend its operation are already left behind by its ongoing operation. Such is contrary to things, which it has nonetheless determined.

5.13.3 The funding is contingently decided.

5.13.4 The nature of the funding is provided by the terms, but not the nature of that funded. This depends upon empirical observation, which is not to be relied on.

5.13.5 The funding is a fundamental process that must always be supposed in the existence of whatever field of reference; not a thing to be referred to, but supposed by anything that is referred to.

5.13.6 Every fund that is contracted is implied in the ongoing formulation of the contract.
5.14.1 That from which the funding has arrived would be impossible to know by any funded apprehension.

5.14.2 The facility as such is irreducible to figures of that past that presuppose its own existence. The validity of precedent refers to the importance of reflection.

5.14.3 That the funding is in general, and in each of its examples, somehow signifies a universe distinct from than that in which had been enabled its emergence.

5.14.4 While not figures of a gross accumulation, the expression of the terms implies the funding that has happened in the past. The capacity to fund is exponential.

5.14.5 That the funding has no origin in anything that came about by funding, nor in anything at all is for the endlessly embedded understanding an imperative to yield. It may signify to such an understanding as provided by the same that it may never be intended.

5.14.6 The facility received exceeds that funded.
5.15.1 The implications of the funding for whatever may be funded in the future are impossible to know before such happens. What however can be “known,” in the reflection of the present situation, is that that which has been funded presupposes the financial operations that had brought the world to light in former ages.

5.15.2 That a figure be recorded on a page of the account book is significant perhaps for the contraction of another kind of funding.

5.15.3 What a fund that is accepted may facilitate in future is for future generations to take hold of.

5.15.4 The facility is always uncommitted.
5.16.1 The facility forbids; and the forbidding guarantees the matter funded.

5.16.2 That which the forbidding guarantees is this or that, but not another situation.

5.16.3 The forbidding guarantees a situation, in that what appears appears to be consistent. Any breach of the forbidding would dissolve the situation under question — the result of which is not to be predicted.

5.16.4 The guarantee of the validity of something is considered the forbidding of what cannot be conceived of for the moment.

5.16.5 The forbidding is conceived of as a promise to repay.
5.17.1 The significance of terms is their forbidding to begin with. While they promise future funding, they necessitate restraint from such at present.

5.17.2 The forbidding is a fundamental blindness— which has nonetheless enabled one to see.

5.17.3 The forbidding is adhered to in the work of preparation.