Notes

Introduction – The Othered Cinema


2. Furthermore, as Lynne Kirby notes in her study, Parallel Tracks: The Railroad and Silent Cinema, “As a machine of vision and an instrument for conquering space and time, the train is a mechanical double for the cinema and for the transport of the spectator into fiction, fantasy, and dream.” See: Lynne Kirby, Parallel Tracks: The Railroad and Silent Cinema (Durham: Duke University Press, 1997), 2. For an account of the perceptual and temporal changes brought about by locomotive travel and its affinities to cinema, see: Wolfgang Schivelbusch, The Railway Journey: The Industrialization of Time and Space in the Late Nineteenth Century (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1986), 33-44.

3. The railroad companies were the first to institute standardized time in order to facilitate scheduling departures and arrivals, imposing a single time on 18 November 1883. For an overview of the function of standardized and subjective time in the late nineteenth century, see: Stephen Kern, The Culture of Space and Time: 1880-1918 (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1983), 10-35.


8. Jean-Christophe Royoux, “Remaking Cinema,” in Cinema, Cinema: Contemporary Art and the Cinematic Experience (Rotterdam: NAi Publishers, 1999), 21; Catherine Fowler, “Room for Experiment: Gallery Films and Vertical Time from Maya Deren to Eija-Liisa Ahtila,” Screen 45, no. 4 (2004): 324-343. The term “gallery film” is imprecise given that many such “films” are in fact videos and/or may include substantial installation components.


11. Jay David Bolter and Richard Grusin, following Marshall McLuhan, use the term “remediation” to refer to the tendency of new media to incorporate characteristics of old media in order to better convey a sense of immediacy through a deployment of the familiar. While Bolter and Grusin assert that remediation is nothing new and may not be seen as a property exclusive to new media, they do emphasize that the contemporary moment raises the question of how old media are integrated into new media in a manner more urgent than ever before, since what may be said to be “new” about new media is bound up precisely with the relation they construct to older media. “Transcoding” is taken from Lev Manovich, who calls it the “most substantial consequence of the computerization of media.” See: David Jay Bolter and Richard Grusin, Remediation: Understanding New Media (Cambridge: MIT Press, 1999), 15; Lev Manovich, The Language of New Media (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2001), 45.

12. In his 2007 book, The Virtual Life of Film, D.N. Rodowick emphasizes that “the difficulty of placing film as an object grounding an area of study does not begin with the digital ‘virtualization’ of the image. Indeed one might say that the entire history of the medium, and of the critical thought that has accompanied it, has returned incessantly to film’s uncertain status.” See: David Rodowick, The Virtual Life of Film (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2007), 12.


16. This translation is unfortunate since it risks confusing the notion of the dispositif with that of the appareil, which designates solely technological components. The dispositif encompasses the appareil and much more, as per the definition provided by Foucault.


25. Ibid., 220n22; emphasis in text.

26. Longo, Salle, and Schnabel would all go on to direct mainstream feature films. Longo and Salle would make the one-offs JOHNNY MNEMONIC (1995) and SEARCH AND DESTROY (1995), respectively; meanwhile, Schnabel would forge a successful career in filmmaking, with BASQUIAT (1996), BEFORE NIGHT FALLS (2000), and THE DIVING BELL AND THE BUTTERFLY (LE SCAPHANDRE ET LE PAPILLON, 2006). In an echo of this trio’s move into the director’s chair, some figures who have risen to prominence as makers of the moving image for a gallery context have recently ventured into feature filmmaking: Steve McQueen’s HUNGER (2008) won the Caméra d’or at the Cannes Film Festival and has been followed by SHAME (2011); Sam Taylor-Wood’s NOWHERE BOY (2010) chronicles John Lennon’s childhood; Shirin Neshat’s WOMEN WITHOUT MEN (ZANAN-E BEDUN-E MARDAN, 2009) premiered at the Venice Film Festival in 2009 and won the Silver Lion for best director after the artist had completed two installations, MUNIS (2008) and FEAZEH (2008), also based on Shahrmush Parsipur’s novel; Pipilotti Rist’s PEPPERMINTA (2009), which also premiered at Venice, shares footage with Rist’s 2008 Museum of Modern Art installation, POUR YOUR BODY OUT (7354 CUBIC METERS).


30. Ibid., 20.


33. Exemplary of this tendency is the research project Media Matters, undertaken by the Tate Modern in 2003 in cooperation with the Museum of Modern Art, New York,


37. Though the limited edition is the primary model of distribution in the art world, important alternatives do exist, such as Electronic Arts Intermix in New York and Video Data Bank in Chicago, both of which rent video art much as Canyon Cinema and Film-Makers Cooperative do film prints.


40. On the side of art history, the marginalization of film is bound up in its links to mass culture and its basis in mechanical reproducibility. In film studies, many fruitful interactions between experimental film and the gallery in the United States have been closed off in part due to the hegemony of P. Adams Sitney’s approach in *Visionary Film: The American Avant-Garde, 1943-2000*, which neglects to take into account filmmaking by artists such as Bruce Nauman, Robert Whitman, or the Fluxus group and instead advocates for a category of “experimental filmmaker” to be recognized separately from that of “visual artist.” Thankfully, recent years have seen a proliferation of publications and exhibitions dealing with the question of artists’ film in a way that moves distinctly outside the canons of both art history and experimental film to look at the use of film within the gallery beginning in the 1960s and continuing into the present. A notable contribution in this regard is Chrissie Iles’ 2001 Whitney Museum exhibition, *Into the Light: The Projected Image in American Art, 1964-1977*, which highlighted exchanges between the worlds of experimental cinema and gallery art and demonstrated the ways in which the two interpenetrated greatly. See: Chrissie Iles, ed., *Into the Light: The Projected Image in American Art, 1964-1977* (New York: Whitney Museum of American Art Books, 2002); P. Adams Sitney, *Visionary Film: The American Avant-Garde, 1943-2000, Third Edition* (Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press, 2002).


42. Philip Dodd has suggested that the division between film studies and art history is reinforced not only by disciplinary boundaries, but also by the fact that the discipline of film studies emerged primarily out of language and literature departments, something that might contribute to the emphasis on feature-length

43. Lindsay places his book in the context of the art institute and the museum, stating an interest in developing non-commercial film practices and believing that “motion picture art is a great high art, not a process of commercial manufacture” (17). He makes comparisons to other plastic arts and to music: the “action film” is sculpture-in-motion, the “intimate film” is painting-in-motion, and “splendour films” are architecture-in-motion. He looks back at various works of art in museums and sees them both as prefiguring element of cinema and as providing a place where filmmakers could go to learn. See: Vachel Lindsay, The Art of the Moving Picture (New York: The Modern Library, 2000).


Chapter 1 – Architectures of Exhibition


3. Of his terminological preference for “cinephiliac moment” over “cinephilic moment,” Willemen writes that it “is my preferred description because of its overtones of necrophilia, of relating to something that is dead, past, but alive in memory. So there is a kind of necrophilia involved, and I don’t mean that negatively.” See: Paul Willemen, “Through the Glass Darkly: Cinephilia Reconsidered,” in Looks and Frictions: Essays in Cultural Studies and Film Theory (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1994), 227.


5. Ibid, 16; translation mine.

6. Thomas Elsaesser has provided an overview of the myriad faces of the director, so striking in its diversity that it is worth quoting at length: “To each his or her own: academics have praised Hitchcock for defending family values but also for sadistically intertwining love, lust and death. He has been compared to Shakespeare and Mozart, and ‘outed’ as an eternal Catholic racked with guilt. Writers have identified a misogynist Hitchcock and a feminist Hitchcock, an Oedipal Hitchcock, a homophobe Hitchcock and a ‘queer’ Hitchcock. There is the cold-war anti-communist Hitchcock of Topaz and Torn Curtain, and the hot-war anti-fascist not only of Saboteur, Foreign Correspondent and Notorious, but also present in Shadow of a Doubt. He has made fun of psychoanalysis in Rear Window and Psycho, but he is Jacques Lacan’s best interpreter. There is a Gothic-Romantic, a
Victorian, an Edwardian Hitchcock, with his imagination steeped in E.A. Poe and French decadence, and a Modernist Hitchcock, influenced in turn by Weimar Expressionism, French Surrealism and Russian montage constructivism. And of course, there is the postmodern Hitchcock, already deconstructing his own presuppositions in *Vertigo* or *Family Plot*. The ‘British Hitchcock’ has been given new cultural contours and local history roots, to balance the general preference for his American period. And in recent years, we have had Hitchcock the Philosopher: but which philosopher? There is a Schopenhauerian Hitchcock, a Heideggerian Hitchcock and a Derridean Hitchcock, several Deleuzian Hitchcocks, a stab at a Nietzschean Hitchcock (*Rope*, of course) and most recently, a Wittgensteinian Hitchcock. See: Thomas Elsaesser, “Casting Around: Hitchcock’s Absence,” in *Johan Grimonprez: Looking for Alfred*, ed. Steven Bode (Ostfildern and London: Hatje Cantz and Film and Video Umbrella, 2007), 140.


8. Ibid., 146.


16. Bellour, David, and van Assche, 12.

17. The entire list of the films and videos included in the exhibition is available in the catalogue, but a representative sampling includes: *The Crazy Ray* (Paris qui dort, René Clair, 1925); *Dog Star Man* (Stan Brakhage, 1962-1964); *News From Home* (Chantal Akerman, 1977); *Zelig* (Woody Allen, 1983); *Cézanne* (Jean-Marie Straub and Danièle Huillet, 1989), *The Thousand Eyes of Doctor Mabuse* (Der Tausend Augen des Doktor Mabuse, Fritz Lang, 1960), as well as videotapes from Vito Acconci, Nam June Paik, and Peter Campus.

20. It is worth noting that there are significant phenomenological and ontological differences between analogue and digital projection. See: John Belton, “Digital Cinema: A False Revolution,” October 100 (Spring 2002): 104.
21. Other key examples of early video projection include the use of monochrome cathode ray tube projectors during the performances of Alex Hay, Robert Rauschenberg, David Tudor, and Robert Whitman at 9 Evenings: Theater and Engineering at the Armory in New York City in 1966 and the Projected Video exhibition at the Whitney Museum of American Art in 1975, curated by John Hanhardt and employing the Advent Telebeam projector.
28. Ibid., 29.
29. Ibid., 29, emphasis in text.
32. Ibid., 70.
Exhibiting Cinema in Contemporary Art


44. “Unwinding” is the catalogue’s rather unfortunate translation of the French défilement, which refers to the successive passage of film frames through the projector. “Unwinding” fails to capture the centrality of seriality to this section of the exhibition, which featured works such as Donald Judd’s Stack (1972), selections from On Kawara’s TODAY series (1966), and Peter Kubelka’s Arnulf Rainer (1960, albeit as mounted on the wall rather than as a projection).


50. Le Mouvement des images ran from 5 April 2006 to 29 January 2007; Godard’s Voyage(s) en utopie ran from 11 May to 14 August.


53. The titles of these rooms were to be: Myth (Allegory), Humanity (The Image), The Camera (Metaphor), The Film(s) (Duty(s)), Alliance (The Unconscious, Totem, Taboo), The Bastards (Parable), The Real (Daydream), Murder (Sesame, Theorem, Montage), and The Tomb (Fable).


58. Sperlinger and White, 115-155.
59. “L’art n’était pas à l’abri du temps, il était l’abri du temps”; translation mine.
62. To encapsulate the bibliography of challenges to *Screen* theory’s model of spectatorship could be the topic of an entire doctoral dissertation, as the diverse positions range from feminism, cognitivism, phenomenology, postcolonial theory, queer theory, cultural studies, and new historiography. However, for an overview of the impasses of psychoanalytic film theory’s model of spectatorship and some responses to it, see: Linda Williams, introduction to *Viewing Positions: Ways of Seeing Film*, ed. Linda Williams (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 1997), 1-20.
68. It is worth noting that Jeroen de Rijke and Willem de Rooij tried to combat this problem by assigning scheduled screening times for the viewing of their films, as did Steve McQueen in his 2009 Venice Biennale installation at the British Pavilion, *Giardini* (2009), but such a practice is rare. In the case of de Rijke and de Rooij, these scheduled screening times result in intervals during which no film is projected. The empty white room questions the perpetual availability of images both within the gallery and in culture at large. As Pamela M. Lee has written, “The ‘capacity for a film to be absent,’ as de Rooij has said, is indivisible from our


74. Biesenbach resigned as head of the department in fall 2009 to become head curator at MoMA’s contemporary art projects space, P.S.1. He retains the title of “chief curator at large” at the Modern.


76. Ibid.


81. Deleuze, 6.

82. This is not, however, to suggest that the use of strategies of fragmentation and non-linearity are inherently aligned with an affirmative stance towards late capitalism.


85. Mayor Bloomberg: “Last year, a record 44 million tourists visited New York City, with nearly 50% of them visiting our cultural offerings, and through the help of exceptional art events such as Sleepwalkers, we expect to surpass that total this year.” Quoted in: “MoMA and Creative Time Present Doug Aitken: Sleepwalkers,” 1.


94. Deleuze, 4.

95. Enthusiasm for projection has always been matched by suspicion. From the earliest magic lantern shows in the seventeenth century, despite projection’s alliance with science of optics, it was equally associated with charlatanism and superstition. The magic lantern was also called the “lantern of fear.” This is to say nothing of Plato’s allegory of the cave, nor its resuscitation in art history by Diderot or Robert Smithson or in film theory by Jean-Louis Baudry.

Chapter 2 – Filmic Ruins


3. Ibid., 89.

4. Ibid.

5. Ibid.

6. In Christian Keathley’s revealingly titled Cinephilia and History: or The Wind in the Trees, the author begins with the following quote from D.W. Griffith: “What’s missing from the movies nowadays is the beauty of the moving wind in the trees.”
In the introduction, he writes that, “This fetishization of marginal, otherwise ordinary details in the motion picture image is as old as the cinema itself,” before proceeding to invoke the legendary fascination early spectators had with the wind in the trees. This apocrypha perhaps finds its source in Georges Sadoul’s *Histoire générale du cinéma*, in a chapter on Lumière titled “La Nature même prise sur le fait, ou les raisons du succès de L’ARROSEUR ARROSÉ”: “In the background, in the garden, the leaves quivered in the sun, a detail that a spectator of today would have to make an effort to distinguish, but that filled the crowds of 1896 with enthusiasm.” Sadoul’s remark that such a detail surely would not be remarked upon today is revealing in the present context, for “today” in Sadoul’s text refers to a historical moment (1946) considerably different from our own, when indeed people are once again remarking on the “wind in the trees” of the film image. See: Christian Keathley, *Cinephilia and History, Or the Wind in the Trees* (Indianapolis: Indiana University Press, 2005), ii and 8; Georges Sadoul, *Histoire générale du cinéma: Tome 1, L’Invention du cinéma, 1832-1897* (Paris: Denoël, 1946), 247; translation mine.

7. Though Kentridge’s practice does include the use of both 16mm and 35mm film, I have excluded it from my consideration here of 16mm gallery practices due to the artist’s heavy reliance on video and, crucially, the choice to transfer the pieces to video for projection within the exhibition space. My choice to focus on artists such as Dean and Buckingham in contrast to Coleman and Kentridge will also become important later in this chapter with regard to Rosalind Krauss’ call to “reinvent the medium,” for these latter two artists are precisely those named in the closing lines of her book, “A Voyage on the North Sea.” See: Rosalind Krauss, “A Voyage on the North Sea”: *Art in the Age of the Post-Medium Condition* (London: Thames and Hudson, 1999), 56.


11. The strategy of aligning analogue film with disappearance has even pervaded mainstream American feature filmmaking, with varying success. David Fincher’s fantasy of reversible time, *The Curious Case of Benjamin Button* (2008), simulates aged film stock to convey a sense of historicity within a film that otherwise presents a *Forrest Gump*-esque cartoonish and digitally enhanced past. Darren Aronofsky’s *The Wrestler* (2008) is markedly more effective as a meditation on the melancholy of time’s passing, using 16mm blown up to 35mm to produce an aesthetic of grainy senescence that matches the film’s portrait of an aging professional wrestler.


15. Lessing emphasizes the physical structure of a medium, putting forth that the proper subject matter for a given medium can be extrapolated from its form. See: Gotthold Ephraim Lessing, *Laocoön: An Essay on the Limits of Painting and Poetry*, trans. Ellen Frothingham (New York: Noonday Press, 1969). According to Greenberg, with modern art, “It quickly emerged that the unique and proper area of competence of each art coincided with all that was unique in the nature of its medium. The task of self-criticism became to eliminate from the specific effects of each art any and every effect that might conceivably be borrowed from or by the medium of any other art. Thus would each art be rendered ‘pure,’ and in its ‘purity’ find the guarantee of its standards of quality as well as of its independence.” See: Clement Greenberg, “Modernist Painting,” in *The Collected Essays and Criticism: Volume Four, Modernism with a Vengeance, 1959-1967*, ed. John O’Brian (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1995), 86.

16. While Donald Judd’s “specific objects” might appear to fulfill the modernist enterprise in their reductive forms, they also contradict the injunctions to the discreteness of media, to opticality, and to apprehension in a single instant by temporalizing perception and insisting on the thickness of bodily, phenomenological experience. For a close examination of minimalism as both the apogee and breaking point of modernism, see: Hal Foster, “The Crux of Minimalism,” in *The Return of the Real* (Cambridge: MIT Press, 1996), 35-69; on the overcoming of the traditional boundaries of the medium see: Donald Judd, “Specific Objects,” in *Donald Judd: Complete Writings 1959-1975* (Halifax: The Press of the Nova Scotia College of Art and Design, 181-89); and for the canonical indictment of the temporalization of perception induced by minimalism and its “theatricality,” see: Michael Fried, “Art and Objecthood [1967],” in *Art and Objecthood: Essays and Reviews* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1998), 148-172.


18. Ibid., 53. The emphasis on the generative restriction of historically determined conventions forges a link between Krauss’ formulation and Stanley Cavell’s notion of “automatisms” – recently resurrected in film studies by D.N. Rodowick in his discussion of “variable specificity” – which similarly looks to a set of conventions to provide enabling limitations for the creation of new work. Cavell prefers the word automatism to medium, stating that “the use of the word seems to me right for both the broad genres or forms in which an art organizes itself...and those local events or *topoi* around which a genre precipitates itself...[I]n mastering a tradition one masters a range of automatisms upon which the tradition maintains itself, and in deploying them, one’s work is assured of a place in that tradition.” Key to the notion and perhaps central to Cavell’s choice to leave behind the term “medium” is that it extends beyond the physical support of the work. See: Stanley Cavell, *The World Viewed: Reflections on the Ontology of Film* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1971), 104; D.N. Rodowick, *The Virtual Life of Film* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2007), 23.


24. Thanks to Sebastian Campos for this formulation.

25. Krauss has stated, “And if I as a critic have any responsibility now, it is to dissociate myself from this attack on the medium, and to speak for its importance, which is to say for the continuance of modernism. I don’t know if poststructuralism will help me to do this, and thus I don’t know if I can maintain my earlier commitment to this methodological option.” See: Rosalind Krauss, quoted in: Bois, et al., 674. Krauss’ notion that in installation art, “art essentially finds itself complicit with a globalization of the image in the service of capital” disturbingly posits that the work’s ideological position is strictly determined according to its relation to the medium in a manner strikingly reminiscent of Greenberg. The stakes of Krauss’ recent return to the unity and autonomy of the work of art will be explored further as this chapter progresses. See: Krauss, “A Voyage on the North Sea,” 56; emphasis added.


30. Ibid., 287.

31. Wes Anderson’s *Hotel Chevalier* (2007), for example, is a thirteen-minute film serving as a prologue to his feature *The Darjeeling Limited* (2007). The short was screened in some theaters before the feature, but many viewers saw it as a free download from the Apple iTunes store, where it was made available one month before the film’s wide (800 screen) release, racking up some 500,000 downloads. See:

35. Bazin, 71.
36. Ibid., 61; emphasis added.
38. Indeed, de Rijke and de Rooij were the only artists to show work on film in 2004’s Time Zones, the Tate Modern’s first major exhibition devoted to the moving image. Other participating artists included Francis Alys, Fikret Atay, Yael Bartana, Yang Fudong, Anri Sala, Bojan Sarcevic, Wolfgang Staehele, and Fiona Tan. The exhibition took place from 6 October 2004-2 January 2005.
47. One representative of this tendency is Stephen Prince, who, in his “True Lies: Perceptual Realism, Digital Images and Film Theory,” argues that realism should be considered a matter of perception rather than reference and explicitly ties the idea of realism as concerned with reference as linked to indexicality, thus partaking of the tendency to conflate the iconic with the indexical. See: Stephen Prince, “True


52. In Camera Lucida, Barthes repeatedly returns to the speed of the cinema and the sense that one may never grasp hold of its images. While this partially has to do with the fact that one may hold onto a photograph (something not possible in the cinema), it also is a matter of the frozen temporality of the photograph compared to the impression of life generated by movement in the cinema. He writes, “...in the cinema, no doubt, there is always a photographic referent, but this referent shifts, it does not make a claim in favour of its reality, it does not protest its former existence; it does not cling to me: it is not a spectre. Like the real world, the filmic world is sustained by the presumption that, as Husserl says, ‘the experience will constantly continue to flow by in the same constitutive style’; but the Photograph breaks the ‘constitutive style’ (this is its astonishment); it is without future (this is its pathos, its melancholy); in it, no protensity, whereas the cinema is protensive, hence in no way melancholic (what is it, then? –It is, then, simply ‘normal,’ like life).” See: Roland Barthes, Camera Lucida: Reflections on Photography, trans. Richard Howard (New York: Hill and Wang, 1981), 89-90.


55. Ibid., 176.


59. See: Wasson, 32-67. It should also be emphasized that the availability of reduction prints in 16mm were crucial to the development of film studies as a discipline, as it would have been prohibitively expensive for university departments to rent and project 35mm.

60. Foremost among such exceptions would be de Rijke and de Rooij’s employment of 35mm, as discussed above. Only three of their films have been made in 35mm – Of Three Men (1998), Bantar Gebang (2000), and Untitled (2001) – all of which are
single ten-minute takes. The rest of their moving image work has been completed on 16mm film.


62. Ibid., 510.


65. Doane, The Emergence of Cinematic Time, 181-189. True to this formulation but with a very different agenda and approach, David Bordwell writes that, “Time in the classical film is a vehicle for causality, not a process to be investigated on its own,” and like Doane, designates the device of crosscutting as central to this temporal economy. See: David Bordwell, “Time in the Classical Film,” in David Bordwell, Janet Staiger, and Kristen Thompson, The Classical Hollywood Cinema: Film Style and Mode of Production to 1960 (New York: Columbia University Press, 1985), 47.


67. Ibid., 89.


72. Matthew Buckingham, quoted in: Godfrey, 147.

73. This tense is also called the futur antérieur de rétrospection/de bilan, or the future anterior of retrospection or assessment, since it involves passing judgment on an event in the past with knowledge of future developments. An example of this tense would be “C’était un homme qui n’aura jamais été puni pour ses crimes,” roughly translatable as “This was a man who was never to be punished for his crimes.” The relationship here to that which “will have been” has striking parallels to Barthes’ discussion of photography in Camera Lucida, where he aligns the tense of the photograph with the future anterior. He writes that in the photograph, “I observe with horror an anterior future of which death is the stake. By giving me the absolute past of the pose (aorist), the photograph tells me death in the future.” See: Barthes, Camera Lucida, 96.


Adorno’s position is developed throughout his body of work, but finds special emphasis throughout his *Aesthetic Theory*, trans. Robert Hullot-Kentor (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1997).


Krauss, “Reinventing the Medium,” 186.

Krauss, “...And Then Turn Away?” 162. Though, it must also be noted that the slide projector did fulfill an important function much closer to gallery than to the “internationalist commercialization of culture” – it was the main method of image dissemination in the art history classroom until the popular availability of data projectors.

Ibid., 160-161.

In this respect, Krauss’ reading of Coleman is very different than Raymond Bellour’s. While Krauss relates her view of Coleman to that of Bellour, the latter’s concept of *entre-images* depends on fragmentation, intermediality, and the suspension of heterogeneous elements in a way that deviates significantly from Krauss’ notion of reinventing the medium. The *entre-image* designates work at the intersection of discrete media, and is, for Bellour, a question of “morality and energy”: intermediality is not just the homogenization of convergence, but also a site of possibility generated by hybridization, confrontation, and metamorphosis (9). Though he does refer to Coleman’s use of the slide projection with voice-over as his “great reinvention,” perhaps implicitly gesturing towards Krauss’ reading of the artist, Bellour insists on the unresolved “love of the heterogeneous” found in Coleman’s work and sees it as dealing with the very impossibility of closure (59 and 62). For Bellour, intermedial art is not inherently problematic and complicit with capital as it is for Krauss. Bellour does not call for a return to modernist autonomy, but rather following Leo Steinberg’s suggestion in “Other Criteria,” a thinking about the medium by breaching its limits, by opening on to an outside. See: Raymond Bellour, *L’Entre-images: Photo, Cinéma, Vidéo* (Paris: La Différence, 2002); Raymond Bellour, “The Living Dead (Living and Presumed Dead),” trans. George Baker, in *James Coleman*, ed. George Baker (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2003), 57-72; Leo Steinberg, “Other Criteria,” in *Other Criteria: Confrontations with Twentieth Century Art* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2007), 55-92.

In her article, “Lack of Fit: Tacita Dean, Modernism, and the Sculptural Film,” Tamara Trodd notes that “Several critics have pointed out that this film explores a structure of medium specificity: using the lighthouse as a light-projecting device, its rotation, and the cast shadow and flicker it produces, to re-perform the filmic apparatus.” See: Tamara Trodd, “Lack of Fit: Tacita Dean, Modernism, and the Sculptural Film,” *Art History* 31, no. 3 (June 2008): 370.


88. Ibid.
93. This is particularly evident in the publication accompanying Dean’s 2011 Turbine Hall commission at Tate Modern, FILM. The catalogue assembles contributions from a large number of artists, curators, and scholars concerning the fate of analogue film in a digital age and includes a strip of 35mm film as a bookmark. Also of note is the artist’s heavily publicized campaign to ensure continued laboratory processing for 16mm film and her proposal that film should be protected by UNESCO as world cultural heritage. See: Nicholas Cullinan, ed., *Tacita Dean: FILM* (London: Tate Publishing, 2011); Tacita Dean, “Save Celluloid, For Art’s Sake,” *The Guardian* (22 February 2011); available online: http://www.guardian.co.uk/artanddesign/2011/feb/22/tacita-dean-16mm-film, accessed 18 July 2012; J. Paul Getty Trust press release, “Artist Tacita Dean to Visit Getty to Discuss UNESCO Proposal to Recognize Film as World Cultural Heritage” (24 April 2012); available online: http://news.getty.edu/article_display.cfm?article_id=5676, accessed 18 July 2012.
97. Nabokov writes, “Perhaps if the future existed, concretely and individually, as something that could be discerned by a better brain, the past would not be so seductive: its demands would be balanced by those of the future. Persons might then straddle the middle stretch of the seesaw when considering this or that object.

98. This is a position Trodd repeats word for word at the end of her essay, “Lack of Fit: Tacita Dean, Modernism, and the Sculptural Film.” Trodd’s assertion that Dean’s work cannot be seen as reactivating a “utopian promise dormant in cinema” is weak indeed, suggesting even that this is supported by the fact that Dean’s is a failed cinema since it is not made for movie theater exhibition, thereby revealing an incredibly narrow understanding of cinema and what it might mean to excavate an alternative future for it. See: Tamara Trodd, “Film at the End of the Twentieth Century: Obsolescence and the Medium in the Work of Tacita Dean,” *Object* 6 (2003-2004): 60.


100. Trodd, “Film at the End of the Twentieth Century,” 64.


104. Ibid.


107. Ibid., 105.

108. Ibid., 104-105.

109. Ibid., 103. In *Capital*, Marx uses the example of a table to discuss the animistic magic of the commodity: “It not only stands with its feet on the ground, but, in relation to all other commodities, it stands on its head, and evolves out of its wooden brain grotesque ideas, far more wonderful than if it were to begin dancing of its own free will.” See: Karl Marx, *Capital: A Critique of Political Economy, Volume I*, trans. Ben Fowkes (London, Penguin, 1990), 163-164.


111. This is the position of Peter Bürger, who in his *Theory of the Avant-Garde* argues that the avant-garde negates the following determinations essential to autonomous art: the disjunction of art and the praxis of life, individual production, individual reception, and reception as detached from production. The use of film can be seen as an important intervention on the first three of these four postulates. See: Peter Bürger, *Theory of the Avant-Garde*, trans. Michael Shaw (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1984), 53.

115. Scott MacDonald, “16mm: Reports of Its Death Are Greatly Exaggerated; In Focus: The Death of 16mm?” Cinema Journal 45, no. 3 (Spring 2006): 128.
116. Hansen writes, “The notion of aura as a premonition of future catastrophe harks back to medical theories since antiquity that use the term to describe symptoms of anxiety and unease preceding and foreboding epileptic or hysterical attacks. For Benjamin, the ominous aspect of aura belongs to the realm of the daemonic, in particular the phenomenon of self-alienating encounters with an older, other self. In a technologically refracted, specifically modern form, this aspect of aura resurfaces in his notion of an optical unconscious, which he unfolds from the passage about the Dauthendey portrait…” See: Miriam Hansen, “Benjamin’s Aura,” Critical Inquiry 34 (Winter 2008): 342.
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Chapter 3 – The Remake: Old Movies, New Narratives
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29. It should be noted that the French scénario is used not only in the sense of an outline or sequence of events designated by the English “scenario,” but also may be translated as “screenplay.”
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36. Brian De Palma, that grand pasticheur, is a recurring interest of Dellsperger’s, making up eleven out of the twenty-four existing installments of the Body Double series and lending that series its title. Body Double 2 and 3 (both 1995) remake scenes from Body Double (1984) including the 360-degree pan around the kiss on the beach, a clear reference to Scottie (James Stewart) and Judy’s (Kim Novak) eerie union in
Vertigo (1958). Body Double 6 and 7 (both 1996) take up Sisters (1973), a story of pathological doubling, while Body Double 15 (2001) remakes Body Double 5’s (1996) remake of the pick-up scene at the Metropolitan Museum of Art from Dressed To Kill. Though the series does include remakes of other filmmakers, such as David Lynch, Gus Van Sant, and Stanley Kubrick, the overwhelming presence of De Palma is significant as it allows Dellsperger to explore multiplying layers of citation and performance. De Palma’s cinema is a cinema of the copy, integrating numerous references to Hitchcock and others into his decidedly unoriginal filmmaking. True to the preoccupations of Hitchcock, mistaken identity, doppelgängers, and fatal coincidences abound. De Palma repeats texts that already contain within them mirrorings and repetitions, thus rendering Dellsperger’s reenactments of De Palma repetitions of repetitions of repetitions, the origin of which infinitely recedes.


38. Indeed, often filmmakers use amateur footage, educational films, and footage of which they themselves may not know the provenance, such as Peggy Ahwesh’s The Color of Love (1994). This is in all probability due to the material restrictions of working on film rather than video. Found-footage works made on film would need to make use of whatever scraps of stock were available, while video allows for easy, accessible copies of well-known films to be appropriated for recycling. Despite this material limitation, in the 1990s, filmmakers such as Martin Arnold, Peter Tscherkassky, and Matthias Müller all came to prominence with found-footage films of recycled Hollywood movies. While Arnold and Müller would later work in a gallery context, Tscherkassky has stayed within the exhibition situation of the movie theater. For an in-depth discussion of the found-footage genre of experimental filmmaking, see: William C. Wees, Recycled Images: The Art and Politics of Found Footage Films (New York: Anthology Film Archives, 1993).

39. Gordon has provided a detailed account of the math involved in determining this length and says of the project, “It’s quite simply a question of time. How can one film, which lasts only two hours, possibly convey the fear, the desperation, the heartache, the real ‘searching and waiting and hoping’ that my father had tried to explain to me when I was younger? How can anyone even try to sum up five miserable years in only 113 minutes? Now, it’s important to say that this is not a criticism directed at John Ford, nor the motives behind making the movie. But, for me, it does open a gap in the way we experience the experience of cinema; and this is the basis of my proposal.” See: Douglas Gordon, “...an apology as a short story/a short story as an apology,” in Douglas Gordon: Kidnapping (Eindhoven: Stedelijk van Abbemuseum, 1998), 138-139.

40. Bulloch describes the process as such: “It is tremendously laborious to make these works. I work directly with one person only [Holger Friese] to make these programmes. For example, there are 48 cubes in Z Point and in each one of those cubes are three lamps, three channels, and if you think about the duration – it is 8’13” looped, that makes 493” – so for each of those seconds, there are 48 x 3 changes or shifts in value made (144 x 493 = 70 992 different values in this piece). On a human scale, it is hard to imagine so many changes of values simultaneously...”


Ibid., 145-146.


There are occasional uses of 16mm film and video by the earlier generation, but as a rule photography functioned as the medium of choice. Particularly worth mentioning in this context is Jack Goldstein’s *METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER* (1975), a two-minute 16mm film that loops found footage of the MGM lion logo that appears at the beginning of the studio’s films. In video, Dara Birnbaum’s *Technology/Transformation: Wonder Woman* (1978-1979) is an important precedent to the work under consideration here.


D.N. Rodowick uses the term “political modernism” to refer to “the expression of a desire to combine semiotic and ideological analysis with the development of an avant-garde aesthetic practice dedicated to the production of radical social effects.” See: D.N. Rodowick, *The Crisis of Political Modernism: Criticism and Ideology in Contemporary Film Theory* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1988), 1-2.


It is in relation to this “post VCR art” that Bourriaud cites the very same Serge Daney text used as the epigraph to this chapter. Tellingly, Bourriaud misquotes Daney to write, “...[Post VCR art] explains Serge Daney’s prediction about film: *‘The only thing that will be retained (from art) is what can be remade.’*” Bourriaud’s misquotation is slight but significant, especially given that he radically changes the context and meaning of Daney’s statement without making note of it. Daney was writing of the tragedy of how profitable it was for “the entertainment industry to simply remake ‘epic films’ [films de légende] – and only those.” Bourriaud changes this lamentation to an exhilaration concerning the artistic possibilities afforded by home viewing technologies. See: Nicolas Bourriaud, *Relational Aesthetics*, trans. Simon Pleasance and Fronza Woods (Paris: les presses du réel, 2002), 77; emphasis in text, and Daney, 6; emphasis in text, translation mine.
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50. Ibid., 13. The word “programmer” brings with it a perhaps purposeful ambiguity. Bourriaud’s constant return to digital media tropes makes it possible that he is making reference to a computer programmer. However, the word also invokes resonances of a culture worker, such as a film programmer or even a curator. Given Bourriaud’s status as an international curator whose fame in some ways eclipses that of the artists with whom he works, this second meaning has added interest. Is the intent to suggest that artists are now learning from curators how best to be artists?
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74. See: Yann Moulier Boutang, *Le Capitalisme cognitif: la grande transformation* (Paris: Éditions Amsterdam, 2007). Boutang’s terminology, however, risks sounding as if this is purely a matter of intellectual labor, eliding the importance of affect and care to instances of immaterial labor.


77. In the second version of his “Work of Art” essay, Benjamin notes that “what is lost in the withering of semblance and the decay of aura in works of art is matched by a huge gain in the scope for play [Spiel-Raum]. This space for play is widest in film” (127). As Miriam Hansen has argued, the notion of play “provides Benjamin with a term, and concept, that allows him to imagine an alternative mode of aesthetics on a par with modern, collective experience, an aesthetics that could counteract, at the level of sense perception, the political consequences of the failed – that is, capitalist and imperialist, destructive and self-destructive – reception of technology” (6). While this is important to Benjamin’s discussions of children’s toys and gambling, it is most centrally elaborated with reference to the cinema. See: Walter Benjamin, “The Work of Art in the Age of Its Mechanical Reproducibility (Second Version),” trans. Edmund Jephcott and Harry Zohn, in *Walter Benjamin: Selected Writings, Volume 3, 1935-1938*, ed. Howard Eiland and Michael W. Jennings (Cambridge: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 2002), 101-133; Miriam Hansen, “Room-for-Play: Benjamin’s Gamble with Cinema,” *October* 109 (Summer 2004): 3-45.
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82. These included in the widescreen process, VistaVision, which Hitchcock employed in *To Catch A Thief* (1955), *Vertigo* and *North by Northwest* (1959), and experimenting with 3-D in *Dial “M” for Murder* (1954).


84. The poster read, “The manager of this theater has been instructed at the risk of his life, not to admit to the theater any persons after the picture starts. Any spurious attempts to enter by side doors, fire escapes or ventilating shafts will be met by force. The entire objective of this extraordinary policy, of course, is to help you enjoy PSYCHO more. – Alfred Hitchcock” For an account of these release practices and their implications, see: Linda Williams, “Discipline and Distraction: PSYCHO, Visual Culture, and Postmodern Cinema,” in “Culture” and the Problem of the Disciplines, ed. John Carlos Rowe (New York: Columbia University Press, 1998), 103-107.


86. Some galleries have held special events during which they stay open for twenty-four hours to show 24 HOUR PSYCHO in its entirety. For example, the Guggenheim
Museum, New York, exhibited the work in its lobby on 6-7 January 2009 as a part of a twenty-four hour marathon of talks and performances concerning the concept of time.
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90. Incidentally, this shot was not included in the theatrical cut of the film but can be seen on the special features documentary The Making of PSYCHO on the PSYCHO: Collector’s Edition DVD released by Universal.


92. Mulvey, 161.

93. Christy Lange notes that though the work was originally produced using this VCR, in recent years, the work has been digitized and its slow motion regulated by a computer. While this is an interesting case of how to preserve and exhibit media artworks amidst technological change, my analysis considers 24 HOUR PSYCHO as an installation using a commercially-available videotape and a VCR since this is how it was originally exhibited. See: Christy Lange, “Douglas Gordon: Ten Years Ago Today,” in theanyspacewhatever, ed. Nancy Spector (New York: Solomon R. Guggenheim Museum, 2008), 70.

94. Taubin, 71-72. More than Tom, Tom, THE PIPER’S SON, which involves extensive reediting, 24 HOUR PSYCHO does find a precedent in Jacobs’ PERFECT FILM (1985), for which the filmmaker found outtakes from a television studio’s reportage after the Malcolm X assassination, named it PERFECT FILM, and circulated as a film without any alteration. A perhaps more relevant film from the American experimental tradition is Ernie Gehr’s EUREKA (1974), which optically reprints the entirety of an early phantom ride film of a trolley moving down Market Street in San Francisco in slow motion.

95. In his Deleuzian reading of 24 HOUR PSYCHO, Mark Hansen does acknowledge the relationship between 24 HOUR PSYCHO and the temporal changes brought to moving images with the advent of video. Hansen writes, “...[A]gain and again, [Gordon] insists that video time – the time of slow-motion, freeze-framing, and repetition – is the ‘given time’ of his generation” (242). However, Hansen does not discuss home video in particular and demonstrates a carelessness when dealing with the specificity of video. First of all, he describes 24 HOUR PSYCHO as an example of “digital manipulation of found film footage” (242), when in fact Gordon makes use of neither digital manipulation nor found film, but an analogue videotape and VCR as source and method manipulation, respectively. Hansen writes that Gordon “slows down its projection speed to 2 frames a second (instead of 24),” when video possesses a frame rate of thirty frames per second, rather than the twenty-four of film (243). Lastly (and somewhat inexplicably), he asserts that the image of 24 HOUR PSYCHO changes only once every twelve seconds, a statement that is both untrue and in contradiction with his earlier statement (repeated in an image caption) that the projection speed is slowed to two frames per second. See:
Chapter 4 – The Fiction of Truth and the Truth of Fiction
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**Conclusion – “Cinema and...”**
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