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Introduction


2. Lisa McGirr connects Schuller’s ministry with the social conservatism dominant in Orange County from the 1950s through the 1990s. By 1976 the home congregation in Garden Grove, California, had 8000 members; *The Hour of Power* broadcast, 3 million weekly viewers (*Suburban Warriors: The Origins of the New American Right* [Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001], 105–7, 249–54).


Notes to Introduction


10. Susan Mizruchi, Introduction, Religion and Cultural Studies, ed. Susan L. Mizruchi (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2001), x. Cf. Kevin Schultz and Paul Harvey, who have explored why historians of modern (post-1865) America have relegated American religious history to a subfield; they point to the persistence of secularization narratives as well as to secularist biases among academics that have safely confined “religious history to a history of the lower classes, or the racialized, or the marginalized” (“Everywhere and Nowhere: Recent Trends in American Religious History and Historiography,” Journal of the American Academy of Religion 78, no. 1 [2010]: 129–35).


14. Leigh Eric Schmidt makes this point eloquently: “I can see evangelist George Whitefield’s crossed eyes in a portrait; I can still see some of the pulpits from which he preached; I can pore over his sermons; I can read his journals. But I can never lend him my ears or eavesdrop on his prayers” (Hearing Things: Religion, Illusion, and the American Enlightenment [Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2000], 15).


17. In 1850, Congregationalists claimed only 4 percent of total church members in the U.S.; Presbyterians, 11.6 percent; and Episcopalians, 3.5 percent. In the same year, Baptists accounted for 20.5 percent of total church membership; Methodists, 34.2 percent; and Catholics, 13.9 percent (Finke and Stark, 56–57).
19. Hambrick-Stowe, xi; Grodzins, ix; Applegate, 4.
21. See Jeanne Halgren Kilde on why the Gothic revival style flourished despite seemingly contradicting Protestants’ democratic values and anti-Catholicism. Besides symbolizing aesthetic refinement, Gothic architecture allowed evangelicals to assert Christian unity and reinforced the idea of church as a sacred space distinct from a public sphere made increasingly contentious by debates over slavery. The more democratic amphitheater style associated with, for instance, Charles Grandison Finney’s Broadway Tabernacle or Henry Ward Beecher’s Plymouth Church in Brooklyn would not become the norm until the 1870s (*When Church Became Theatre: The Transformation of Evangelical Architecture and Worship in Nineteenth-Century America* [New York: Oxford University Press, 2002], 56–83, 112–45).
22. Because my study foregrounds those denominations that considered preaching a male vocation, I use masculine pronouns to refer to Protestant preachers.
28. Ibid., 73.
ligious History, ed. Thomas A. Tweed (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997), 95. In 1850 Congregationalists accounted for 4 percent of total church membership, and Unitarian Congregationalists were an unidentified percentage of this total (Finke and Stark, 56).


41. On women preachers, see Catherine Brekus, Strangers and Pilgrims: Female Preaching in America, 1740–1845 (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1998). African American preaching is by far the most studied of these various marginalized preaching traditions, even though the relative paucity of extant antebellum sermons by African Americans has made historical inquiry a challenge. Gregory Jackson has noted many of the reasons for the dearth of surviving African American sermons from the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, including

42. Nineteenth-century Christians often referred to theologically conservative denominations as “evangelical,” but historiography has tended to limit that term to Methodists, Baptists, and others who maintained that salvation required a conversion experience. As an example of the scope of “evangelical” in the antebellum period, Robert Baird’s Religion in America identified as “unevangelical” few affiliations: Roman Catholics, Unitarians, Universalists, Christian Connexionists, Swedenborgians, Tunkers, Jews, Rappists, Shakers, Mormons, atheists, Deists, socialists, and Fourierists ([New York: Harper and Brothers, 1844], xii).


44. Nathaniel Hawthorne, Preface, The House of the Seven Gables ([Columbus]: The Ohio State University Press, 1965), 1–3. But see Nina Baym, who has argued, based on her survey of more than two thousand book reviews in American periodicals, that the distinction between “romance” and “novel” meant little in America between 1840 and 1860. The novel was both a modern offshoot of the older tradition of romance and a blanket term that included
the romance as a psychological and symbolic subcategory. Reviewers often used the terms interchangeably (Novels, Readers, and Reviewers: Responses to Fiction in Antebellum America [Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1984], 225–35).


52. For a panoramic view of ministers in nineteenth-century American fiction, see Douglas Walrath, who reads the progressive devaluation of fictive ministers from the 1790s to the 1920s as a reflection of the clergy’s declining cultural authority and the country’s growing secularism. This argument accepts too uncritically both Douglas’s thesis about a ministerial loss of authority that pre-dates the Civil War and theories of secularization that overstate the cultural acceptance of scientific advances (Displacing the Divine: The Minister in the Mirror of American Fiction [New York: Columbia University Press], 2010).


56. My work thus follows up on Lawrence Buell's reflection that the "major American renaissance authors," including Hawthorne, Melville, Dickinson, and Thoreau, saw themselves in competition with religion and that many of their works "are either sermons (like Emerson's Divinity School Address and Parker's *Discourse of the Transient and Permanent in Christianity*) or at one remove from sermons, like Emerson's *Nature* or Brownson's *New Views.*" Buell notes that the influence of the sermon on the era's literary production has gone largely uninvestigated "undoubtedly because of scanty background information about the sermon form," an observation that holds true today (*Literary Transcendentalism: Style and Vision in the American Renaissance* [Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1973], 103–4). Cf. Buell, "The Unitarian Movement and the Art of Preaching in 19th-Century America," *American Quarterly* 24, no. 2 (1972): 167.


58. Jordan Alexander Stein and Justine S. Murison's overview of the evolving methodological approaches to religion and early American literature suggests that similar tendencies have governed work on literature before 1830 (“Introduction: Religion and Method,” *Early American Literature* 45, no. 1 [2010]: 9–10). Stein and Murison note how the advent of poststructuralist literary theory in the 1970s fueled the tendency in the 1980s for early Americanists to stress the determining role of language and rhetoric in colonial religious life; a distinct yet often complementary strand of scholarship, typified by the work of Sacvan Bercovitch, treated religion as myth or ideological system. Though Stein and Murison also identify scholarship analyzing religion as a form of experience, this approach seems to have had less purchase on literary studies. In the same issue, Wendy Raphael Roberts pushes back against the field's persistent deracination of the religious, calling attention to how the interconnected realms of the oral and religious shaped eighteenth-century literature, in particular poetry (“Demand My Voice: Hearing God in Eighteenth-Century American Poetry,” *Early American Literature* 45, no. 1 [2010]: 119–44).

59. My point here resonates with Buell's suggestion that literary scholars stand to benefit from a closer engagement with religious studies, in particular the study of lived religion, which he sees as potentially useful to them in that, like literary studies, it "too prefers to conceive of religion as culture" and, in emphasizing the fluidity and creativity of religion, avoids the binaries of secular and sacred. Approaching religion in this light can help students of literature resist constraining "assumptions such as that a text's religious dimension must reside chiefly in some sort of thesis or idea structure and/or that religious motives are to be decoded in terms of such secular motives as political resistance or cultural survival" (“Religion on the American Mind,” *American Literary History* 19, no. 1 [2007]: 32–55).

60. Stephen Railton has pointed out that the "five major works of the American Renaissance"— *Nature*, *Moby-Dick*, *Walden*, *Leaves of Grass*, and *The Scarlet Letter*—all relied upon the model of the Protestant sermon in that the writers were concerned with explicating a text (e.g., nature or a pond or an embroidered letter), much as a preacher would explicate the Bible.
Railton leaves this parallel behind in his readings but notes that writers who would seek to fill the role of preachers lacked institutional privilege and an authorizing scripture or theology (*Authorship and Audience: Literary Performance in the American Renaissance* [Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1991], 107–8).


68. Siti, 119.


70. On the etymologies of “religion” and “secular” and the difficulty of mapping these terms onto the modern world, see Craig Calhoun, Mark Juergensmeyer, and Jonathan VanAntwerpen, Introduction to *Rethinking Secularism*, ed. Craig Calhoun, Mark Juergensmeyer, and Jonathan VanAntwerpen (New York: Oxford University Press, 2011), 7–9.


72. Ibid., 601.


74. Although there is no sociological analysis comparable to Bourdieu’s for nineteenth-century American literary production, see Lawrence Levine for a landmark study of cultural


78. See most notably Charles Taylor’s critique of the “subtraction stories” implicit in many historical and sociological narratives, in which once religion is “subtracted” from a social formation, what is left is assumed to be natural and self-evident (A Secular Age [Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, Belknap Press, 2007], 22).


Chapter 1

1. Melville, Moby-Dick, 40.


5. Emerson turned this idea on its head when he claimed that the democratic mixing that came with church attendance was more valuable than the sermon itself: “What was once a mere circumstance, that the best and worst men in the parish, the poor and the rich, the
learned and the ignorant, young and old, should meet one day as fellows in one house, in sign of an equal right in the soul,—has come to be a paramount motive for going thither” (“An Address,” 78).


8. Unitarian minister Samuel Osgood commented wryly on the spate of literature bemoaning the problem of underpaid clerics: “No subject, except perhaps the Slave question, has been handled more frequently of late than that of parish life, especially in respect to its trials, and at least a half dozen books have been sent out within two or three years, claiming as much sympathy for the clerical martyr and his consort, as is claimed for Uncle Tom and Aunt Chloe” (*Mile Stones in Our Life-Journey* [New York: Appleton, 1855], 42).

9. See Philip Hamburger, *Separation of Church and State* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2002), 79–88, 111–29, 243–46. Scott describes how clergy developed a mystique of sacred difference around the pulpit in the first three decades of the nineteenth century by avoiding political preaching (18–35). See Jonathan D. Sassi on how the New England clergy between 1783 and 1833 sought to demonstrate the relevance of Christian beliefs and values to public issues (*A Republic of Righteousness: The Public Christianity of the Post-Revolutionary New England Clergy* [Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001]). Richard J. Carwardine has shown that evangelical ministers largely abided throughout the 1830s and 1840s by the cultural mandate that clergy remain politically neutral, especially in the pulpit. However, when in the late 1840s and 1850s the political issues at the center of national debate shifted from economic ones with seemingly little religious significance to the more heated ones of “temperance, Roman Catholicism, war, the extension or restriction of slavery, and the future of the Union itself,” more and more evangelical ministers preached on political issues (*Evangelicals and Politics in Antebellum America* [1993; Knoxville: University of Tennessee Press, 1997], 30).

10. Gedge, 113. On changes in ministerial preparation, see also Scott, 60–64, and Glenn T. Miller, *Piety and Intellect: The Aims and Purposes of Ante-Bellum Theological Education* (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1990). As Buell has pointed out, students at Harvard and Andover in the early nineteenth century devoted nearly all of their third year to the study of homiletics. Buell also notes the advent of university chairs of sacred rhetoric, beginning with Andover in 1809, and the fact that the men who occupied these positions (e.g., Henry Ware, Jr. at Harvard, Henry Ripley at Newton, and Ebenezer Porter, William Russell, and Edwards A. Park at Andover) often wrote on homiletics as well (“Unitarian Movement,” 172).

12. Occasionally there were objections to the primacy of preaching in ministers’ professional lives. One physician-missionary to Hawaii published a tract objecting to the weight that Protestants placed on pulpit preaching, arguing that the word “preach” was interpreted too narrowly—as delivering sermons only, rather than promulgating the gospel through various means. “The press is neglected; private personal influence is neglected; the sabbath school is neglected; familiar bible-class studies are neglected; and even social conferences for prayer and praise are frequently deserted, because, forsooth, preaching is the method of God’s ordination. Immured in his silent study, occasionally the Minister appears, a visitant from another land, to perform the wondrous preaching; then for a week retires; and again appears to accomplish his pantomime of apostolic labor” (L. H. Gulick, A Sermon on the Foolishness of Preaching [Honolulu: Government Press, 1853], 13). Gulick added that the disproportionate value assigned to preaching ended up underrating the labors of others working in the mission field, including physicians, teachers, and all women.


14. On the Unitarian dominance of antebellum literary institutions, see Buell, “The Literary Significance of the Unitarian Movement,” 212–23.


17. Ibid., 23.


24. Humphrey, 349.


27. Ware, Connexion, 10, 17.


29. Antebellum homiletic rhetoric seldom alluded to masculinity, a discourse that became more pronounced after the Civil War with the rise of “muscular Christianity,” a movement that emphasized men’s health and strength as integral to their Christian lives. Henry Ward Beecher’s Yale Lectures on Preaching, for instance, is saturated with such masculinist rhetoric as, “A true minister is a man whose manhood itself is a strong and influential argument with his people” and “Manhood is the best sermon” (29–31). On the rhetoric of manhood, masculinist
character-building, and muscular Christianity in mid- to late nineteenth-century homiletic
texts, see Roxanne Mountford, *The Gendered Pulpit: Preaching in American Protestant Spaces*
(Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press, 2003), 40–64.
James and John Harper, 1824), 282, 286. This work went through twenty-six editions in Great
Britain and thirty-seven in the United States (James L. Golden and Edward P. J. Corbett, *The
Rhetoric of Blair, Campbell, and Whately, with Updated Bibliographies* [Carbondale: Southern
Press, 1997), 118.
33. On the Romantic principles underwriting nineteenth-century American preaching,
see O. C. Edwards, Jr., “The Preaching of Romanticism in America,” *American Transcendental
also Perry Miller, *The Life of the Mind in America: From the Revolution to the Civil War* (New
38. Porter, 170.
39. Ibid., 89.
40. Bethune, 49.
41. David Reynolds argues in “From Doctrine to Narrative: The Rise of Pulpit Storytell-
ing” that nineteenth-century preaching was marked by a new, anecdotal pulpit style. This
claim is almost certainly true of the revival sermons of the Second Great Awakening, espe-
cially Finney, who defended his sermon style against detractors who thought it lowered the
dignity of the pulpit by pointing out that “story-telling ministers” merely followed the example
of Jesus, who told parables: “ Tells stories!” he wrote. “Why, that is the way Jesus preached.
And it is the only way to preach” (Finney, 209). However, I have seen little evidence of ei-
ther anecdote or extended storytelling in the weekly and occasional sermons of white, non-
Methodist, Northeastern preachers before the Civil War. Reynolds’s argument appears stron-
ger for postbellum preachers, who increasingly worked narrative into their sermons: e.g., the
“story sermons” of Presbyterian DeWitt Talmage or Congregationalist Charles Sheldon’s origi-
nal serialization of *In His Steps* in his weekly sermons.
42. Arens, 386.
43. Analyzing the sermon themes of five ordinary ministers from various regions and
denominations, Mark Y. Hanley argues that sermons in the 1840s and 1850s emphasized reli-
gious issues such as “sin, salvation, judgment, and ‘separation from the world’” over cultural or
material ones (*Beyond a Christian Commonwealth: The Protestant Quarrel with the American
44. As T. D. Bilhartz has argued, the pervasiveness of evangelical rhetoric and techniques
among traditionally non-evangelical denominations reflected the new realities of voluntaristic
religion. When traditional methods of recruiting church members fell short, antebellum min-
isters across the theologically conservative denominations turned to the evangelical rhetoric
of personal accountability that had worked so well for the Methodists (“Sex and the Second
Great Awakening: The Feminization of American Religion Reconsidered,” *Belief and Behavior:


50. Howe, 166.


52. Conkin notes that by 1850 most antebellum Unitarians were probably Universalist in their sentiments, believing that all of humanity would eventually be saved, often after a purgatorial waiting period (105).


54. Based on a random sampling of titles in Orville Roorbach’s *Bibliotheca Americana*, Candy Gunther Brown has estimated that individually printed sermons accounted for less than two percent of the overall book market between 1852 and 1855, down from 2.6 percent in the previous century. Yet she also notes that this apparent decrease is complicated by the fact that sermons also circulated in denominational periodicals and literary annuals, often re-packaged in new forms (*The Word in the World: Evangelical Writing, Publishing, and Reading in America, 1789–1880* [Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 2004], 50). Sermons may have made it to print more often than other public addresses: “of printed discourses the proportion of sermons to other public addresses is probably not less than a hundred to one” (Dewey, *The Pulpit*, 5).


56. Liberal ministers disparaged the mass emotion generated when a well-spoken preacher addressed a crowd. Edward T. Channing warned Harvard seniors: “We are not to go to church that we may catch sympathy and fervor from a crowd, which we shall never experience elsewhere”—counsel that highlights the appeal of sermons as shared religious experiences (*Lectures Read to the Seniors in Harvard College* [Boston: Ticknor and Fields, 1856], 131).

57. Edwards A. Park, *The Indebtedness of the State to the Clergy: A Sermon Delivered before His Excellency George N. Briggs, Governor, His Honor John Reed, Lieutenant Governor, The Honorable Council, and the Legislature of Massachusetts, at the Annual Election, January 2, 1851* (Boston: Dutton and Wentworth, 1851), 12. See also Spring, who estimated that a rural
pastor preaching three times a week—twice on Sunday and once during the week, plus on special occasions such as weddings, funerals, baptisms, and fast days—was “distributing” the equivalent of 46,800 tracts a year, and that he did so at a much lower cost than those hypothetical tracts and with a much greater effectiveness (The Power of the Pulpit, 112).


60. Humphrey, 109. The maxim more traditionally ascribed to Luther is “Bene orasse est bene studuisse,” or to have studied well is to have prayed well (Porter, 89).


64. Henry Ware, Jr., Hints on Extemporaneous Preaching, 3rd ed. (1824; Boston: Hilliard, Gray, Little and Wilkins, 1831), 83; Ware, Connexion, 8–9.


67. The extent to which preachers adopted extemporaneity deserves further investigation; see Buell, “Unitarian Movement,” 179. On the debate over manuscript-based versus extemporaneous preaching in nineteenth-century England, where manuscript preaching was also on the wane, see Robert H. Ellison, The Victorian Pulpit: Spoken and Written Sermons in Nineteenth-Century Britain (Selinsgrove, PA: Susquehanna University Press; London: Associated University Presses, 1998), 33–42.

68. Blair, too, had strongly discouraged reading in the pulpit, on the grounds that no persuasive discourse could “have the same force when read, as when spoken” (288). He recommended that most preachers should speak from notes, except for novices who needed to learn the art of sermon construction and experienced ministers who wanted to work through a theological issue for their own edification.

69. Ralph Waldo Emerson, Journals of Ralph Waldo Emerson, 1820–1872, 10 vols., ed. Edward Waldo Emerson and Waldo Emerson Forbes (Boston and New York: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1912), 7:169; Ware, Hints, viii, 17, 24, 13, 90, 93. The similarity of Emerson's
rhetoric to Ware's is not coincidental. Emerson served as assistant pastor to Ware at Second Church in Boston in 1828 and succeeded him there in 1829. Calling attention to the neglected connection between Ware and Emerson, Tim Jensen has explored Emerson's debt in the Harvard Divinity School address to Ware's *Hints* ("Their Own Thought in Motley": Emerson's Divinity School Address and Henry Ware Jr.'s *Hints on Extemporaneous Preaching,* *Journal of Unitarian-Universalist History* 24 [1997]: 17–30).

70. Ware, *Hints*, 24, 6.

71. Ibid., 12, 23.

72. Ibid., 4, 87, 89.

73. Ibid., 21, 22, 26.


75. Finney, 211, 216, 218.

76. Humphrey, 118, 119.


78. Humphrey, 124. *Hints on Preaching without Reading*, 8–9, 71. The pamphlet alludes to 2 Samuel 24:24, in which David insists on paying for the oxen he is about to sacrifice: "neither will I offer burnt offerings unto the LORD my God of that which doth cost me nothing."


80. Humphrey, 13. Porter, 93.


82. H. Ripley, 128.

83. W. Russell, 50.


85. Porter, 93, 81.

86. Ibid., 157; Finney, 202.


89. Porter, 180; Bethune, 23.

90. Bethune, 21.

91. F. W. P. Greenwood, "The Charge," in Orville Dewey, *On the Preaching of Our Saviour*, 37. The rule against making parishioners laugh may have begun to relax after mid-century. H. W. Beecher told ministers that they were not to *try* to make their listeners laugh by, for instance, telling jokes, but that if laughter occurred naturally, they should not attempt to stifle it (178–79).

94. Humphrey, 63.
95. Whitefield, 424.
98. Abbott, 9. The argument that a sleepy pastor made for a sleepy congregation was the more typical formulation. See, for instance, Bethune: "It is easy to tell what kind of a speaker is in the pulpit by observing his auditory. If they sit listless, lounging unconcerned, or looking carelessly around, however good a man he may be, he is a poor preacher; but if they bend their eyes intently upon his countenance, listening ut avis cantu aliquo, or their cheeks be flushed, their tears starting, or their hands clenched, and there is a hush over all, so that his lowest whisper is heard in every part, he must be eloquent" (10).
100. R. Hall, 13, 10.
102. Porter, 36.
104. Osgood, 174–75.
108. George W. Burnap, Lectures on the Sphere and Duties of Woman (Baltimore: John Murphy, 1841), 267.

Chapter 2


12. Machor acknowledges this point but discounts it (e.g., “Historical Hermeneutics,” 64). Baym mentions that *Godey’s*, *Harper’s*, and *The New York Ledger* all ran fiction (Novels, Readers, and Reviewers, 14–18) and notes that the *Ledger* was “the nation’s most popular fiction weekly” (18).


24. Nord, 117. See Buell, who notes that Unitarians were more accepting of fiction than the orthodox but still placed their “practice of fiction within rather prim boundaries” (“Literary Significance,” 219).
25. Kelley also notes this discrepancy between conduct books and periodical reviewers, 182–83n40.
29. The conduct books referenced in this chapter were all authored by Americans, with the exception of Arthur Freeling’s *The Young Bride’s Book: An Epitome of the Domestic Duties and Social Enjoyments of Woman, as Wife and Mother* (New York: Wilson and Company, 1849).


38. George W. Burnap, *Lectures to Young Men, on the Cultivation of the Mind, the Formation of Character, and the Conduct of Life: Delivered in Masonic Hall, Baltimore* (Baltimore: John Murphy, 1840), 54.

39. William G. Eliot, Jr., *Lectures to Young Men*, 5th ed. (Boston: Crosby, Nichols, 1855), 74–75. Holifield notes that from the colonial era to the Civil War, ministers accounted for 262 of the 288 college presidents (119). Cf. the advice of James Waddel Alexander to workingmen in *The American Mechanic* (1838); he lamented that by reading novels year after year, workers lost all mental vigor, just as surely as their stomachs “would have lost all tone, if for a period they would have been fed nothing but pastries, ices, and confections” (qtd. in Zboray, *A Fictive People*, 124).


42. Janice Radway, “Reading Is Not Eating: Mass-Produced Literature and the Theoretical, Methodological, and Political Consequences of a Metaphor,” *Book Research Quarterly* 2, no. 3 (1986): 11. See D. Stewart for a recuperation of the reading as eating metaphor, insofar as reading was linked to actual eating practices and had bodily effects (93–117).

43. Lehuu has pointed out that conduct books regularly used temperance rhetoric in their commentary on reading (137); I would add that this language appears most prominently with respect to fiction.


45. Swisshelm, 151.


47. T. Clark, 65.


50. S. H. Barnes, *A Book for the Eldest Daughter* (Boston: Massachusetts Sabbath School Society, 1849), 118, 121. Nord also cites this line, attributing it to the November 1847 issue of
the American Tract Society’s newspaper, the American Messenger (118; 194n13); apparently Barnes either copied the sentence verbatim or was the original unnamed author of the article.

51. T. Clark, 66; Daniel Wise, The Young Man’s Counsellor; or Sketches and Illustrations of the Duties and Dangers of Young Men. Designed to be a Guide to Success in This Life, and to Happiness in the Life Which Is To Come, 3rd ed. (Boston: C. H. Peirce and W. J. Reynolds, 1851), 214; Alcott, The Young Husband, 208; Daniel Wise, The Young Lady’s Counsellor; or, Outlines and Illustrations of the Sphere, the Duties and the Dangers of Young Women: Designed to be a Guide to True Happiness in This Life, and To Glory in the Life Which Is to Come, 10th ed. (New York: Carlton & Phillips, 1852), 188.

52. Although we might speculate, as Kelley does, that it was “[f]iction’s power to shape a more expansive subjectivity that gave cultural arbiters pause,” it is worth stressing that the conduct book authors themselves did not grant fiction this power (Learning to Stand and Speak, 182). What we might see as expanded subjectivity, they regarded as useless wanderings of the imagination.

53. Margaret Coxe, The Young Lady’s Companion: In a Series of Letters (Columbus, OH: I. N. Whiting, 1839), 69.


56. T. S. Arthur, Advice to Young Ladies on Their Duties and Conduct in Life (Boston: Phillips & Sampson, 1848), 60.

57. T. S. Arthur, Advice to Young Men on Their Duties and Conduct in Life (Boston: N. C. Barton, 1848), 61.


59. D. Smith, 47.

60. Alcott, The Young Husband, 206.


64. Wise, The Young Man’s Counsellor, 214.

65. See, for instance, Arthur, Advice to Young Ladies, 60; T. Clark, 66; Coxe, 70; Freeling, 56. See also Ashworth, who notes the prevalence in advice manuals of the ideas that novels taught women “false views of life,” thus unfitting them for domestic duties (160n–161n).

66. Arthur, Advice to Young Ladies, 60.


68. T. Clark, 67.

Series of Conversations on the Subject of Confirmation. Intended for the Use of the Middle and Higher Ranks of Young Females, vol. 1 (New York: E. Bliss and E. White, 1825), 266.

70. William A. Alcott, Letters to a Sister; or Woman's Mission. To Accompany the Letters to Young Men (Buffalo, NY: Geo. H. Derby and Co., 1850), 89.

71. Wise, The Young Lady's Counsellor, 188–89.


73. D. Smith, 47.

74. Wise, The Young Man's Counsellor, 213. In a reversal of the slippery slope argument that bad fiction led to worse, see Thomas Augst on how the 1853 report of the New York Mercantile Library justified the heavy percentage of fiction in its collection by arguing that novels would lead to more substantive reading (The Clerk's Tale: Young Men and Moral Life in Nineteenth-Century America [Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2003], 197).

75. Cary, 125.

76. T. Clark, 69.


78. Lehuu maintains that advice books from the 1830s to the 1850s tended to argue for moderation in reading in general (132).

79. Eliot, 73.

80. Coxe, 171.

81. T. Clark, 69.

82. Burnap, Lectures to Young Men, 55.

83. Barnes, 119.

84. Sedgwick, 246.

85. Cary, 126.

86. Burnap, Lectures to Young Men, 57, 55.

87. Todd, The Daughter at School, 119. Cf. Kelley, who writes that Scott was “spared the condemnation that attended the reading of fiction” (Learning to Stand and Speak, 181).


89. Sedgwick, 247.


91. Arthur, Advice to Young Ladies, 61.


Chapter 3

The Quaker City sold 60,000 copies in 1845 and 30,000 each year for the next five years. David Reynolds, *George Lippard: Prophet of Protest: Writings of an American Radical, 1822–1854* (New York: Peter Lang, 1986), 5.

2. See, for instance, David S. Reynolds's influential take on Lippard throughout *Beneath the American Renaissance: The Subversive Imagination in the Age of Emerson and Melville* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1988). Reynolds draws a more detailed portrait of Lippard, including his linked religious and political beliefs, in *George Lippard* (Boston: Twayne Publishers, 1982), and in his introduction to *George Lippard: Prophet of Protest*, esp. 27–29; see also his *Faith in Fiction*, 187–96. Lippard has been read most often in terms of class. See, for instance, Leslie Fiedler, who wrote that Lippard had a “kind of natural access to the erotic dreams and paranoid fantasies of the male members of the working class” (Introduction, *The Monks of Monk Hall* by George Lippard [New York: Odyssey, 1970], ix); Michael Denning, who has called Lippard “the most overtly political dime novelist of his or subsequent generations” (*Mechanic Accents: Dime Novels and Working-Class Culture in America* [London: Verso, 1987], 87); and Paul Erickson, who has explained that Lippard saw his work as a writer and editor as the moral and practical equivalent of manual labor, regarding it as “neither a ‘profession’ nor a ‘calling’ but as work” (“New Books, New Men,” *Early American Studies* 1, no. 1 [2003]: 312). Against this last, I would maintain that Lippard regarded authorship as both work and calling.


4. Leslie A. Fiedler, *Love and Death in the American Novel* (1966; Normal, IL: Dalkey Archive Press, 1997), 246; Reynolds, *Beneath the American Renaissance*, 91. R. L. Moore similarly downplays Lippard’s religious seriousness by foregrounding his economic motivation: Lippard “wished to sensationalize moral and religious instruction so that it sold a lot of books” (28). In *Faith in Fiction*, Reynolds presents religion as more important to Lippard’s work: “his novels were a repository for a large variety of religious forces, satirical and affirmative, that had been gathering strength since the 1820s” (188).


7. [George Lippard], *Quaker City*, June 2, 1849; [Lippard], “A National Literature,” *Quaker City*, February 10, 1849.
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