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3. Susan Ulrich, Chair of Midwifery and Women’s Health, Frontier School of Midwifery and Family Nursing, telephone conversation with author, 10 November 2003; and Kitty Ernst (Mary Breckinridge Chair of Midwifery, Frontier School of Midwifery and Family Nursing), telephone conversation with author, 10 November 2003. According to Ulrich, in 2002, approximately 75 of the 326 women and men who took the licensing exam for certified nurse-midwives were graduates of the Frontier School’s Community-Based Nurse-Midwifery Education Program (CNEP). Ulrich and Ernst both indicated that the percentage of CNEP graduates was much greater in the early 1990s. Ernst attributed the decrease to the doubling of nurse-midwifery schools between 1989, when CNEP first began, and today, along with the addition of several other distance-education programs.
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33. Willeford, Income and Health in Remote Rural Areas, 14, 18–24. Willeford, an FNS nurse-midwife, completed the study as her doctoral dissertation at Teachers College, Columbia University. As Willeford explained, “the total family income was determined from the following items: (a) wages from all members of the family (exclusive of
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36. Several of Breckinridge’s letters explained the benefits of the courier system. See, for example, Breckinridge to Mrs. E. A. Codman, 10 April 1931, box 328, folder 6; and Breckinridge to Codman, 26 November 1935, box 328, folder 10, both in FNS Papers.

37. For an early example of Breckinridge’s constant use of photographs, see Breckinridge to Mrs. Ernest Codman [FNS Boston Committee chair], 28 October 1929, box 328, folder 4, FNS Papers. At the end of the letter, Breckinridge wrote, “One thing more I have got wonderful stereopticon pictures in color. We have been trying them in Kentucky and they are extraordinarily good. They give me a new lease on life in speaking because they illustrate what I have to say; in fact, half of what I have to say now is said in pictures instead of words.”
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42. Whisnant, All That Is Native and Fine, 3–16.


45. Breckinridge used nativism as more than a public relations tool; she truly believed in the importance of “old stock.” For example, Breckinridge was delighted to hear that the daughter of one volunteer was getting married because, “personally, I love to see the old stock get married and have babies. It is almost a patriotic duty.”
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