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Introduction


4. Idiomatic translation of *petitio principii*.

5. Beckett’s poverty focuses on evicted and vagabond characters and recognizes architecture’s containing (imprisoning) function rather than the sheltering function. In his essay “Experience and Poverty” Walter Benjamin discusses the glass architecture as the forerunner of the new poverty. The domestic interior is put brutally on view, a situation that appeals to Benjamin via Brecht: “A neat phrase by Brecht helps us out here: ‘Erase the traces!’ is the refrain in the first poem of his Reader for City Dwellers. . . . This has now been achieved by Scheerbart, with his glass, and by the Bauhaus, with its steel. They have created rooms in which it is hard to leave traces.” *Selected Writings*, vol. 2, 1927–1934, ed. Michael Jennings, Howard Eiland, and Gary Smith, trans. Rodney Livingstone et al. (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1999), 734.

6. This tenacity of inquiry overhangs even Kafka’s correspondence, particularly as it comes to us today, because none of the answers have survived his questions: all of Felice’s replies have been lost.

8. Roland Barthes calls Brecht’s theater “a moral theater, that is, a theater which asks, with the spectator: what is to be done in such a situation? . . . Brechtian invention is a tactical process to unite with revolutionary correction. In other words, for Brecht the outcome of every moral impasse depends on a more accurate analysis of the concrete situation in which the subject finds himself.” Roland Barthes, “The Tasks of Brechtian Criticism,” in *Critical Essays*, trans. Richard Howard (Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press, 1972, 76).


10. Beckett’s characters are too far gone for cooptation. The contrast between the authors becomes apparent through a consideration of Brecht’s poem, “Belonging to a Reader for Those who Live in Cities.” Here Brecht advises his readers to erase their traces, cover their tracks (“Verwisch die Spuren”). To the underground political worker reading his poem, Brecht issues the imperative to pass by your parents as if they were strangers; to not show your face; to go in any house when it rains; to see to it that you do not have any gravestone inscription to betray you. Yet Beckett’s figures already comply with these suggestions. The distance between Beckett and Brecht becomes apparent in the difference between unwanted anonymity (the state of Beckett’s characters) and going incognito (Brecht’s proposed strategy). *Poems 1913–1956*, ed. John Willet, Ralph Manheim, and Erich Fried (New York: Routledge, 1987), 131–40.


13. Since the author’s death, the Beckett estate has continued as the official arbiter separating approved from outcast productions.


15. Ibid. Frost revealingly observes that liberties taken with the play at a performance at Lincoln Center are liberties taken with “Beckett’s ‘Godot.’”


17. Though Suvin does not elaborate the point any further here, “camps” refers most likely to refugee camps, detention camps, or concentration camps. The relevance of Beckett’s work echoes in these laboratories of the modern world wherein the subject is dispossessed of rights, agency or movement, and human status.


21. Ibid., 7, 60.


25. Ibid.


27. George Craig, Martha Dow Fehsenfeld, Dan Gunn, and Lois More Overbeck
Chapter 1

3. Esslin, Theatre of the Absurd, xvii.
4. Ibid., 142.
5. Ibid., 7.
6. Ibid.
7. Ibid., xv.
8. Ibid., 309. He claims that the reality given on stage in the dramas he discusses “is a psychological reality expressed in images that are the outward projection of states of mind, fears, dreams, nightmares, and conflicts within the personality of the author” (304).
9. Ibid., 305.
10. Ibid., xxiv.
about its meaning.” Quoted in Ruby Cohn, *From Desire to “Godot”: Pocket Theater of Postwar Paris* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1987), 150.


22. Tjebbe Westerdorp describes the apparatus Beckett constructs in order to keep actress Billie Whitelaw still as she performs Mouth in *Not I.* These resemble the devices employed to hold sitters immobile during the long exposure times of early photography. “All sorts of complicated constructions were used in the stage play to keep the head of the actress in its place—bars for the arms, for instance, formed a kind of iron trap.” The speed of the performance tests these restraints at another level: Whitelaw says she “felt like an athlete crashing through barriers while chained and physically impeded.” Westerdorp, “Catharsis in Beckett’s Late Drama: A New Model of Transaction?” *Samuel Beckett Today/Aujourd’hui* 1 (1992): 109.

23. Jonathan Kalb, *Beckett in Performance* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989), 79. Beckett also railed against productions that exceeded the haiku-like simplicity of a *country road, a tree, evening.* The Miami premiere, for example, set the play in a junkyard full of plumbing debris. As Beckett complained to Charles Marowitz about Peter Hall’s 1955 production, “the stage was so cluttered the actors could hardly move.” Quoted in Dougald McMillan and Martha Fehsenfeld, *Beckett in the Theatre,* vol. 1, *From “Waiting for Godot” to “Krapp’s Last Tape”* (London: Calder, 1988), 82.


29. Knowlson, *Dannned to Fame,* 436.


35. In her negative review of the first Broadway production, the stage littered with debris from a dump, Marya Mannes collapses the play into the absent character and
puts the audience on stage, too: “Everybody recommends a hit. Everybody, that is, except that very special group, so proudly divorced from all others, that would wait for Godot here too, dump and all.” Marya Mannes, “Two Tramps,” in Cohn, Casebook on “Waiting for Godot,” 31.

36. Gregory’s staging of Endgame channels the trapped situation of Godot. A chicken-wire fence encircled the stage, and the stage lighting made it difficult to see the characters through the fence. For details of this production see Walter Kerr’s review, “Oh Beckett, Poor Beckett!” New York Times, February 11, 1973, 146.


40. Bandman, “The Play’s the Thing . . . ,” 2.

41. “Workshop Players Score Hit Here: San Francisco Group Leaves S. Q. Audience Waiting for Godot,” San Quentin News, November 28, 1957, 1. The prisoner’s angle on realism differs from that of Daniel Albright. Commenting on how Vladimir pictures sleep in Godot’s loft, he writes, “Godot hovers in the wings like the unrealizability of Realism, a tantalizing ghost. When Beckett told Roger Blin that Godot might be ‘a pair of old army boots,’ he suggested how strongly he identified Godot with a domain of earthly, comforting objects, as opposed to the spoof-objects present on stage.” Daniel Albright, Beckett and Aesthetics (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003), 51.


43. Esslin, Theatre of the Absurd, xvii.

44. Kalb, Beckett in Performance, 157.


46. “Letters to the Editor,” San Quentin News, November 28, 1957, 2. Adjacent to the reviews of Godot in the San Quentin News are columns on what privileges (improved cell assignments, for example) are open to prisoners with certain ratings. Medium-A inmates are allowed these privileges. The article continues, “Inmates with Medium A classification who have refused job assignment and who have never maintained a reasonably good work or conduct record will not be considered.” “North Honor Block Now Open to Medium-A Inmates,” San Quentin News, November 28, 1957, 1.

47. This letter of the curious prisoner should be contrasted with a letter to the editor of Le Monde, written by someone in recent attendance at the premiere of Godot. Titled Manifestation au Théâtre de Babylone, the writer describes how the director, Roger Blin, had to drop the curtain before the end of act 1 because of “sifflets, insultes, rien’ny manqué”[“whistles, insults, the works”]. The letter writer continues, “A l’entre’acte des discussions entre partisans et adversaires prirent un ton élevé, et ce n’est qu’auprès le depart en masse des mécontents, au début du second acte, que l’on eut loisir d’écouter tranquillement la suite de la pièce de Samuel Beckett.” [“At intermission the discussion between the supporters and adversaries of Beckett’s play took on a heated tone, and only with the discontented crowd’s departure en masse at the start of the second act could one listen to the rest of Beckett’s play in peace.”] “Letter to the Editor,” Le Monde, February 2, 1953, 36. The disappointed sophisticates make a show, or even a strike (une manifestation) out of their disappointment. By contrast, the prisoner who is forced to leave makes a plea to read the part he could not see.

48. For an essay illuminating the differences between Godot and Huis Clos, see Lois Gordon, “‘No Exit’ and ‘Waiting for Godot’: Performances in Contrast,” in Captive


50. Piouk says, “Here it is. I would prohibit reproduction. I would perfect the condom and other appliances and generalize their use. I would create state-run corps of abortionists. I would impose the death sentence on every woman guilty of having given birth. I would drown the newborn. I would campaign in favor of homosexuality and myself set the example. And to get things going, I would encourage by every means the recourse to euthanasia, without, however, making it an obligation. Here you have the broad outlines.” Samuel Beckett, Eleuthéria (London: Foxrock, 1998), 43.

51. Sartre, Bariona, 87.

52. Ibid., 136. Earlier a character in the play, similarly with an eye toward the audience, says, “You should not keep from having children. For even for the blind and the disabled and the unemployed and the prisoners there is joy” (131).


57. “Beckett the poet successfully evades abduction by worthy causes as a condition of his austere, ironic compassion. But Waiting for Godot does not evade history. As soon as the refugees that Peter Hall was the first to call ‘tramps’ begin to take stock of their rotten tubers along ‘a country road’ in an ‘abode of stones,’ history and memory come into play. They proliferate in the dramatic silences that sensitized listeners cannot but hear as choric” (emphasis added). Roach, “All the Dead Voices,” 91.

58. Hugh Kenner puts this sharply when he says of the rapport between Vladimir and Estragon that “the reasoning behind the ritualistic dialogue . . . is of merely idiotic transparency, very appealing.” Hugh Kenner, Samuel Beckett: A Critical Study (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1973), 73. Kenner’s insight is that the transparency of the dialogue is onto something other than common sense.


60. Alan Mandell in a telephone conversation with the author, August 19, 2011.


62. Knowlson, Damned to Fame, 422. Knowlson also notes “[Beckett’s] natural sympathy for those who were incarcerated” (566).

63. Ibid., 566.

64. Ibid.


66. See Beckett’s brief contribution to Avigdor Arikha’s exhibition catalogue: “Siege laid to the impregnable without . . . back and forth the gaze beating on unsee-
able and ummakeable. Truce for a space and the marks of what it is to be and be in face of. Those deep marks to show.” Samuel Beckett, “For Avigdor Arika,” in Disjecta, ed. Ruby Cohn (London: Calder, 1983), 152.

67. Enoch Brater notes that “Havel’s ‘subversive activities’ included his membership in the Committee for the Defense of the Unjustly Prosecuted (VONS) as well as his signature on the Charter 77 manifesto, of which he was one of the three original spokesmen.” Beyond Minimalism: Beckett’s Late Style in the Theater (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987), 139. Brater’s chapter “‘Other Only’ Images” remains the definitive piece on Catastrophe.

68. Brater, Beyond Minimalism, 144.
69. Ibid., 145.
70. Blau, As If, 229.
73. It is often because of what is missing rather than what is there that dictates the executive decisions around Beckett’s play. Roger Blin, for example, was pondering which of Beckett’s plays to stage in the Babylon Theater: Godot or the surreal multicharacter drama Eleuthéria (Greek for “freedom”). Blin chooses Godot: “I was poor, I didn’t have a penny . . . and I thought I’d be better off with the Godot because there were only four actors and they were bums. They could wear their own clothes if it came to that, and I wouldn’t need anything but a spotlight and a tree.” Thus Godot premiered over freedom. Quoted in Bair, Samuel Beckett, 403.

74. Yet the desires of the audience cannot be managed, or predicted. The warden, focusing on the women absent from Beckett’s stage, overlooked the boy who appears there. Blau writes that during the performance, as Vladimir took the boy downstage to question him about Godot, “there was an absolute silence in the audience, the men still and staring, then some beckoning hisses, before a voice from the back growled, ‘C’mere, boy.’” Blau, As If, 230.


78. Kenner, Samuel Beckett, 182. N. Katherine Hayles defines entropy in these terms: “The first law of thermodynamics, stating that energy is neither created nor destroyed, points to a world in which no energy is lost. The second law, stating that entropy always tends to increase in a closed system, forecasts a universe that is constantly winding down.” N. Katherine Hayles, quoted in David Houston Jones, Samuel Beckett and Testimony (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011), 152.

79. Samuel Beckett, Murphy, 5.
81. Suvin, To Brecht and Beyond, 211. This raises the question whether Terra Beckettiana is an island or a universe, an island within a universe, or an island that constitutes a universe.
82. Ibid., 212.
83. David Houston Jones brilliantly describes the science behind his literary analysis in *Samuel Beckett and Testimony*, 152–62.
84. Ibid., 155.
85. Ibid., 159.
86. Ibid., 161.
87. Rick Cluchey, “My Years with Beckett,” in *Theatre Workbook 1: Krapp’s Last Tape*, ed. James Knowlson (London: Brutus Books Limited, 1980), 120. Cluchey might be misremembering *closed place (Endroit clos)*, the first words of Beckett’s *Fizzles 5*, since “closed system” only appears in criticism of Beckett, not within Beckett’s work. This may be a creative misremembering since Beckett’s term suggests a space you cannot enter, rather than one that keeps you in. For a discussion see C. J. Ackerley and S. E. Gontarski, eds., *The Grove Companion to Samuel Beckett* (New York: Grove Press, 2004), 99.
88. Alan Mandell in a telephone conversation with the author, August 19, 2011.
91. The monadological nature of the institution transforms Beckett’s play even before the performance begins. The San Quentin Drama Workshop begins with but a single copy of *Godot*. They use the version printed within the August 1956 edition of *Theatre Arts Magazine*, held by the prison library. Because of a mishap in the printing process, however, the pages are properly numbered but do not follow Beckett’s script. Pages from act 1 have been improperly exchanged with pages from act 2. Though this disturbs the rhythm of the play, it does remarkably little to disturb its sense. A turn of the page entirely recasts who is or who is no longer on stage. At times the sequencing error creates a new dialogue and a different structure of call and response. In this recut version of Beckett’s play, Pozzo’s suggestion that Estragon invite him to sit down is followed by Estragon’s statement as he looks at the tree, “Pity we haven’t got enough rope.” This demonstrates how performances in prison, like those during the siege and after the flood, must be measured by a standard other than fidelity or infidelity to Beckett’s text.
93. Cluchey, “My Years with Beckett,” 121.
95. Cluchey, “My Years with Beckett,” 121.
98. Cluchey, “My Years with Beckett,” 121.
99. Cluchey remembers his cellmate saying “everyone was puzzled until one guy came in with a rope around his neck and another guy whipping him and guess what his name was? Lucky! That spoke to everyone in the audience.” Smith, “In Godot we Trust,” 8.
to pseudo-ize the couple exist. In his gloss on the homosocial dynamics in Beckett’s world, for example, Peter Boxall observes: “Like Holmes and Watson, [Vladimir and Estragon] may have breakfast together, but in the critical imagination they have remained resolutely straight.” Peter Boxall, “Beckett and Homoeroticism,” in Samuel Beckett Studies, ed. Lois Oppenheim (London: Palgrave, 2004), 110.

102. The quote continues, “...the little gasp of the condemned to life, rotting in his dungeon garroted and racked, to gasp what it is to have to celebrate banishment, beware.” Beckett, Three Novels, 325.


105. See Walter Benjamin’s argument about the importance attached by Dada to “its uselessness for contemplative immersion” and Benjamin’s own project to introduce concepts into the theory of art “that are completely useless for the purposes of Fascism.” Illuminations, trans. Harry Zohn (New York: Schocken, 1969), 237, 218.

106. Knowlson, Damned to Fame, 369.

107. Ibid.

108. “Let this same Lucky, the caricature of intellect with the white clown face, pound home fruitless insights into the ductile, malleable, impressionable force which may create, but never command the respect of the promoter-master.” Bandman, “The Play’s the Thing . . . ,” 2.

109. “The stage, with its windows high up on the back wall, has been interpreted as the interior of a human skull.” Bair, Samuel Beckett, 467. The metaphor imposes itself over much of early criticism of Beckett. When the curtains are drawn in Endgame, writes Hugh Kenner, “this is so plainly a metaphor for waking up that we fancy the stage, with its high peepholes, to be the inside of an immense skull.” Kenner, Samuel Beckett, 155.


111. The meshing of the criminal past with the theater is one of the recurring themes of Shakespeare behind Bars (Hank Rogerson, 2005). This documentary film, about a production of The Tempest in a Kansas prison, gathers its energy from the way confessions made by prisoners acting on stage are intercut with, and implicitly service, their confessions to the camera about their crimes.

112. The New Testament uses the term skandalon to describe an unforgiveable crime that throws a stumbling block (skandalon) before our judgment. These were crimes in excess of everyday trespasses (harmatanein). See Matthew 18:7.


114. “Weighing the pros and cons” is a citation from Vladimir’s monologue about coming to the aid of his fellow man: “It is true that when with folded arms we weigh the pros and cons we are no less a credit to our species.” Beckett, Waiting for Godot, 51. Unlike Vladimir, Bandman weighs this decision within the context of prison rather of species. Etaoin Shrdlu also picks up on this line from the play and doesn’t bat an eye in literally weighing the cons in the audience: “The trio of musclemen, biceps overflowing . . . parked all 642 lbs. on the aisle and waited for the girls and funny stuff.” Shrdlu, “Bastille by the Bay,” November 28, 1957, 3.


117. See Ciaran Ross’s argument, contrary to my discussion here, that “the negative is always positive” in Beckett. Beckett’s Art of Absence: Rethinking the Void (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2011), 130.


120. The errancy encouraged by Beckett also includes Bandman’s response to it. He speaks oxymoronically, creatively, of how Didi and Gogo “finalize into precursors of doubt and death” (how does one finalize into a precursor?). Bandman, “The Play’s the Thing . . . ,” 2.


122. The warden concedes to a twenty-five-dollar yearly budget for makeup, almost ensuring that the workshop devote itself to Beckett’s bare-boned productions. The San Quentin Drama Workshop is still active today.


125. Years later Cluchey enumerates the minute sounds of man, machine, and object on stage as evidence for Beckett’s detailed “orchestration” of the stage of Krapp’s Last Tape. He speaks of orchestration rather than direction because Beckett was concerned with the relation between the weaker notes. “The opening of tins, the clink of a bottle, the opening and closing of the book, the sound of slippers on the floor.” Cluchey calls these “every essence of the play.” Cluchey, telephone conversation with author, October 18, 2011.

126. Ibid.


128. Ibid.

129. Ibid., 121.

130. In an interview, Cluchey says, “In practice, what happened to me in prison left such a lasting mark, I never get away from it. I’m condemned to that, you could say.” Amanda Fazzone, “Walls within Walls,” Washington City Paper, http://65.79.227.222/articles/17051/walls-within-walls. “What happened,” that is, the overlap of theater and prison, left a kind of tattoo, a double mark on Cluchey.


132. Ibid., 9.


134. Cluchey, The Cage, 32.

135. In his play, Cluchey wants prison to structure the theater. The stage directions for his play are issued through a PA system, not submerged quietly within the script.
Preceding the rise of the curtain and before the lights are dimmed, a voice loudly announces the types of things one might hear in a prison: “The following men have visits. Shirley 09742, Bowen 09582, Rced 09827 . . . etc.” and “Attention on the yard. Attention on the yard. Warden Duffy has issued the following memo. ‘Cell robberies in the North and West honor units are increasing. Any man caught in another inmate’s cell will be brought before the Captain’s line for disciplinary action. There will be no exceptions.’ Cluchey’s name and number are listed among those men who are to “report to the laundry-room for work assignments.” Ibid., 6.

136. Ibid., 13.
137. Homan, The Embarrassment of Swans, 2.
139. Ibid., 95.
142. McMillan and Fehsenfeld, Beckett in the Theater, 140.
143. Beckett’s play (Waiting for Godot, 14) notes how its own dialogue cannot progress dialectically forward. Instead, each statement counteracts the previous one, and answers are jarringly misaligned with questions:

GOGO. Funny, the more you eat the worse it gets.
DIDI. For me it’s just the opposite.
GOGO. In other words?
DIDI. I get used to the muck as I go along.
GOGO. Is that the opposite?

144. Homan, Embarrassment of Swans, 7.
146. Ibid., 121. Robbe-Grillet quickly adds, “Of course, this freedom is without any use.”
149. Homan, Embarrassment of Swans, 12.

150. In his illuminating article “The Body as Object of Modern Performance,” Jon Erickson leads us through a careful elaboration of the corporeal status of actor in role in Brecht’s work. He writes, “Brecht’s form of schizoid acting, designed to separate in performance the actor from the role, is meant to draw attention to the role, its socially constructed nature, and not so much to the actor himself. In that the disembodied style of the role is to be maintained throughout, the actor must maintain a critical attitude towards his role, even acknowledging dislike for the character he plays.” Jon Erickson, “The Body as Object of Modern Performance,” Journal of Dramatic Theory and Criticism (Fall 1990): 234. In their moments of address to the characters, the prisoners seem to heal the schizoid potential of the moment. The roles acquire body in turning toward the summons of the audience.

151. Blau, As If, 230.
153. Knowlson also reports that spectators eagerly suggested “give him some rope” when Estragon asks Vladimir if he hasn’t some rope with which to hang themselves. Knowlson, Damned to Fame, 374.
156. See Fahy and King, *Captive Audience*.
159. Ibid., 136.

Chapter 2

2. In On War, Carl von Clausewitz coins the expression to “denote properly such a portion of the space over which war prevails as has its boundaries protected, and thus possesses a kind of independence. . . . Such a portion is not a mere piece of the whole, but a small whole complete in itself.” On War, trans. J. J. Graham (London: N. Trübner, 1873), 94.
4. Ibid.
7. Ibid.
10. Theodor Adorno asserts that “today this is the capacity of art: Through the consistent negation of meaning it does justice to the postulates that once constituted the meaning of artworks. Works of the highest level of form that are meaningless or alien to meaning are therefore more than simply meaningless because they gain their content through the negation of meaning.” *Aesthetic Theory*, ed. Gretel Adorno and Rolf Tiedemann, trans. Robert Hullot-Kentor (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1997), 154.
15. Ibid., 28.
16. Heidegger’s title is a partial citation of Friedrich Hölderlin’s “Wozu Dichter im durftiger Zeit?” (“What Are Poets for in Time of Need?”).
18. Ibid., 93.
19. “Sottisier” notebook, Beckett Collection, MS2901, University of Reading.
20. McMillan and Fehsenfeld, Beckett in the Theatre, 139.
24. Ibid., 25, 55. The grey twilight is the celestial backdrop to the poverty on Beckett’s stage. Trying to tell time through observation of the stage set is a recurring moment of failure in Beckett’s work. The moment in which Vladimir and Estragon struggle to describe the sky for the blind Pozzo echoes a situation in Beckett’s early uncompleted piece, The Gloaming (dusk), featuring a blind beggar with a violin. This figure reappears in Rough for Theater I, and asks his companion in a wheelchair, “Will it not soon be evening?” The reply: “Day . . . night . . . It seems to me sometimes that earth must have got stuck, one sunless day, in the heart of winter, in the grey of evening.” Beckett, Collected Shorter Plays, 72. In Shakespeare, beauty beggars description; in Beckett, it is the sky that does this and for a blind beggar.
26. Ibid., 107.
29. John O’Neal notes how all the questions posed to the Free Southern Theater “presumed education as a prerequisite for intelligence. The uneducated may lack certain specific skills but they are no less intelligent. Often the very absence of those skills forces people to greater application of creative facilities simply in order to survive competitively in a system loaded against their specific deficiencies.” “Motion in the Ocean,” 118.
30. It is easy to overlook how humorously colloquial Beckett makes his dialogue as it debunks theatrical tradition. For example, when Vladimir is trying to recall what he wanted to say, (“This evening . . . I was saying . . . I was saying . . .”) Estragon replies with “I’m not a historian.” Beckett, Waiting for Godot, 42. The historian on Beckett’s stage is merely one who remains unafflicted by the forgetfulness of his fellow vagabond, and who can remember what the other person was saying. This is to say that there are no historians on Beckett’s stage.
32. Gilbert Moses, executive producer of the Free Southern Theater, recalls, “We wanted to see what would happen. We chose it because it’s a great play, and we thought Godot would act as a barometer of the limits, the ceiling of this audience. It didn’t operate that way. All we learned was that our audience can take Godot.” Moses et al., “Dialog,” 107.
33. Ibid., 108.
34. Ibid., 106.
35. Ibid., 108.
36. Ibid.
37. Ibid.
38. Goytisolo, Landscapes of War, 10.


41. Ibid., 313.


44. Ibid., 327.


48. Ibid., 302.

49. Ibid., 304.


53. Director Haris Pašović encounters this in the process of organizing a film festival. He reverses the question in order to call attention to the way it sides with the aggressor. When asked, “Why a film festival during the war?” Pasovic replies, “Why a war during our film festival?” Quoted in Kenneth Turan, *Sundance to Sarajevo: Film Festivals and the World They Made* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002), 105.


56. Ibid.

57. About *Work in Progress*, Beckett observes, “Here form is content, content is form. You complain that this stuff is not written in English. It is not written at all. It is not to be read—or rather it is not only to be read. It is to be looked at and listened to. His writing is not about something; it is that something itself.” Ibid., 27.

58. Ibid., 25.


61. Estragon could also be asking “How would I know?” since Vladimir is wearing the thinking hat. In act 1, this hat instigates Lucky to “think aloud” when he puts it on and grows silent when it is removed from his head.


63. Ibid.


65. Ibid.


67. The flurry of letters to the *Beckett Circle* all accuse Sontag of selfish exhibitionism. Rosette Lamont writes, “The trouble with Sontag (and Munk is a co-conspirator) is that everything is always: ME! ME! ME! She does the very opposite of what a great artist does. . . . The fine artists I have had the good fortune to meet never stand center stage . . . in order to call attention to themselves.” Lamont, “Letter to the Editor,” 4.
72. Ibid.
74. Beckett, Waiting for Godot, 42.
75. The Sarajevo Youth Theater, where the performance takes place, is in a precarious state from the shelling. Sontag has the audience sit near the actors on stage because the auditorium is a potential death trap: “the nine small chandeliers could come crashing down if the building suffered a direct hit from a shell, or even if an adjacent building were hit.” Sontag, “Godot in Sarajevo,” 312.
76. For discussion of a prisoner, Ed Realart, forced to leave for work detail at intermission, see chapter 1.
77. Knowlson, Damned to Fame, 711.
78. Ibid.
79. According to Blin, Giacometti would come every night before the beginning of the play and, back stage, “change the position of a twig a little bit and then Sam would come later and he would change it.” McMillan and Fehsenfeld, Beckett in the Theatre, 80.
86. Ibid., 11.
87. Where Sontag says that performing the entire play would be too much to ask of the audience, Erika Munk says that the reduction of the play does not demand enough, thereby counterbalancing Sontag’s use of the term: “Given the topical references added throughout . . . even the candelight, this reduction made for too—easy pathos. Perhaps that sounds odd, considering where we were. But in a whole city of Vladimirs and Estragons (and Luckys and black-marketeering Pozzos), pathos doesn’t demand enough of its audience.” Munk, “Sontag Stages ‘Godot,’” 2 (emphasis added).
88. Beckett, Waiting for Godot, 44.
89. Adorno is at his most Beckettian when he observes, “A thinking man’s true answer to the question whether he is a nihilist would probably be ‘Not enough’—out of callousness, perhaps, because of insufficient sympathy with anything that suffers.” Negative Dialectics, trans. E. B. Ashton (New York: Continuum, 1973), 380.
91. Cauleen Smith directs a science fiction video, The Fullness of Time (2007), using sites in New Orleans abandoned after the flood: the empty spaces on which homes once stood, an abandoned and rusted amusement park, a ruined solarium.
93. Ibid.
95. Ibid., 210, 215.
96. Ibid., 213.
97. Ibid.
102. Ibid., 34.
107. Black actors playing Vladimir and Estragon, and white actors playing Pozzo and Lucky, was also the distribution in the 1980 Capetown production by Donald Howarth. See Bradby, Beckett: “Waiting for Godot,” 167–70.
109. Ibid., 241.
111. Ibid., 315.
112. Ibid., 309.
113. Ibid.
116. Ibid., 48, 49. In his taxonomical mania, Mercier counts Molloy’s mentioning the Times Literary Supplement as an “unambiguously learned reference” yet ignores the fact that Molloy says he likes the Times because, using it to wipe his behind, it absorbs his farts better. Mercier mistakes this swipe of the Times with a swipe at the Times. Homeless people know newspapers more intimately than their subscribers do.
117. Ibid., 55.
123. Goytisolo, Landscapes of War, 11.
126. See Adorno’s observation that in Endgame a “historical moment unfolds, namely the experience captured in the title of one of the culture industry’s cheap novels, Kaputt.” “Trying to Understand ‘Endgame,’” 244.
128. The arrival of theatrical night is not part of a natural cycle of inevitability: its arrival seems as unlikely as Godot’s. The gray dusk sky of Godot is the celestial
backdrop to poverty on Beckett’s stage. The difficulty of describing this sky suggests how it “beggars description.” Beckett reworks an early uncompleted piece, *The Gloaming* (dusk), as *Rough for Theater I*. This features a blind beggar with a violin. He asks his companion in a wheelchair, “Will it not soon be evening?” The reply: “Day . . . night . . . It seems to me sometimes that earth must have got stuck, one sunless day, in the heart of winter, in the grey of evening.” Beckett, *Collected Shorter Plays*, 72. The blind beggar’s question will emerge as Pozzo’s in *Godot*.


130. Carrie Lambert-Beatty, “Essentially Alien: Notes from Outside Paul Chan’s ‘Godot,’” *Parkett* 88 (2007): 78. The second line is part of funerary custom of the New Orleans area: marchers move methodically in sync to the burial. The second line moves more idiosyncratically and festively in returning from the cemetery.
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142. *Rough for Theater II* remains Beckett’s most Kafkan stage piece. Two men facing each other at a table read aloud from the sorry and confused life testimonies of the man standing on the window ledge in the room. The decision of the suicide leap must first pass a review board. *Rough for Theater II* in Beckett, *Collected Shorter Plays*, 77–89.
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160. See Kalb, Beckett in Performance, 79–81. Beckett issues an angry statement to the ART. Printed within a program insert, Beckett’s disclaimer reads, “Any production of Endgame which ignores my stage direction is completely unacceptable to me” (ibid., 79). Akalaitis’s provision of a corpse demonstrates the risk of the directorial effort to incarnate meaning on stage. In Endgame Clov looks out over the audience through his telescope and intones, “All is . . . corpses” (30). He does not say he sees corpses but rather the world struck in the image of one: the earth become posthumous. Akalaitis responds impatiently to Clov’s line. The staging blurs out “a corpse!” as if it were submitting to Beckett’s play as to a polygraph test.

161. Ilan Ronen, director of the Waiting for Godot production in Haifa, explains the situation that inspires him to stage Godot within the context of the Israel-Palestine conflict: “Nearly all the construction workers in Israel were Palestinians from the West Bank or Gaza. Each day, in the early morning hours, they left their homes, travelling in convoys to the cities of Israel. . . . This created an absurd situation in which the country, including the Jewish settlements in the occupied territories, was being built almost exclusively by Palestinians under the rule of Israeli occupation.” “‘Waiting for Godot’ as Political Theater,” in Directing Beckett, ed. Lois Oppenheim (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1994), 240. As for the directors under discussion here, Ronen’s inspiration comes from a moment of irreconcilable absurdity in the world. Ronen spec-
ifies a moment that resonates strongly with the contemporary border policy of the United States: the military enforcement of national borders combined with dependency on stateless and rights-deprived immigrant laborers.


164. Ibid., 168.


167. When the Levees Broke is an anthology of this graffiti. “AS NOT SEEN ON TV” reworks the advertising phrase “As Seen on TV.” The graffiti reads like the reverse of such promotion, suggesting the unadvertised, uncirculated, and undocumented extent of the damage to New Orleans.


169. Oppenheim takes issue with Sontag’s claim that Godot is “about abandoned people, weak, vulnerable people, waiting for something to happen that they go on hoping against hope will happen, and yet it’s perfectly clear it’s not going to happen and that’s the situation of the people of Sarajevo.” Quoted in Oppenheim, “Playing with Beckett’s Plays,” 38.


171. Alain Badiou approaches Beckett’s reduction philosophically, in a way that authorizes the philosophical thinking of his work, and speaks of the need to “grasp the questions proper to Beckett’s work, those that organize the fiction of a humanity treated and exhibited by a functional reduction oriented towards the essence or the Idea.” On Beckett, ed. Nina Power and Alberto Toscano (Manchester, UK: Clinamen Press, 2003), 4.

172. Oppenheim offers Catastrophe as an example of Beckett’s parabolic vision. She describes the “facile political interpretation” of the play as a “depiction of life under a communist regime” as myopic. She approvingly cites Antoni Libera’s reading of the “mythological context” of Catastrophe. Libera understands Catastrophe as Beckett’s “interpretation of the origins of totalitarianism” and the “philosophical diagnosis of its real nature.” Oppenheim, “Playing with Beckett’s Plays,” 39. Parable then may suggest something closer to a parable of interpretation, a parable of the reading activity, rather than an opaque mirror held up to the world.

173. Elin Diamond argues that Godot explores the oppressive effects of identification’s mirror relations. She says that Beckett’s rejection of political identification entails a study of how one always falls short of that mirror image. That falling short is the basis of Lacan’s notion of an asymptotic relation of self and image: “Thus [the infant’s] identification with that image [in a mirror] is both self-fulfilling and self-alienating. Because the mirror image invites idealization, it dooms the infant to a frustrating rivalry at which it can only fail. The maturing subject will ‘rejoin’ its image only ‘asymptotically’—that is, never.” Diamond, “The Society of My Likes,” in Samuel


175. The intervention of logic, a predetermined course of failure, is ubiquitous in the Katrina disaster. The failure of the levee, and not the hurricane per se, should classify the flood as an engineering (and not a natural) disaster. Chan also refers to the “wholesale contradiction” between the neighborhoods around Tulane University left intact and those that are decimated by the hurricane. Chan, “Waiting for Godot in New Orleans,” 25.
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4. Beckett, Three Novels, 374. The expression “la pensée vagabonde” comes from L’Innommable (Paris: Editions de Minuit, 1953), 145. The confusion of the term has been multiplied in the first English translation of The Unnamable. There it reads “your thought’s wander.” The typo brings the wandering of thought into possession by thought. It has since been corrected to “your thoughts wander.”
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12. Beckett, Three Novels, 40. Molloy sets his descriptive powers on the noise a little later: “It was a night of listening, a night given to the faint soughing and sighing stirring at night in little pleasure gardens, the shy Sabbath of leaves and petals and the air that eddies there as it does not in other places, where there is less constraint, and as it does not during the day, when there is more vigilance, and then something else that is not clear, being neither the air nor what it moves, perhaps the far unchanging noise the earth makes and which other noises cover, but not for long. . . . And there was another noise, that of my life become the life of this garden as it rode the earth of deeps and wildernesses. Yes, there were times when I forgot not only who I was, but that I was, forgot to be” (49). As in all of Beckett’s writing, a certain
sobriety is used in describing movements of great discontinuity, underscoring the jarring pastiche of Molloy’s expressions. The noise he hears is none other than the sound of a metamorphosis of his life merging with the life of the garden. Molloy here specifically does not speak of merging with the garden (two identities, in a sense). Beckett instead asks us to accommodate the idea of the garden being the space of a life independent of Molloy’s. This is not a pastoral retirement. Prescient of the way we understand the earth’s plates to shift over the surface of the planet, Molloy describes the garden in motion, riding the earth, with him as its oblivious passenger. For the purposes of this chapter, it helps note the way this sound is said to elude the vigilance of the day.

13. Molloy, looking forward to the murmurs again, hears instead a gong. “A horn goes well with the forest, you expect it. It is the huntsman. But a gong! Even a tom-tom, at a pinch, would not have shocked me. But a gong! It was mortifying, to have been looking forward to the celebrated murmurs if to nothing else, and to succeed only in hearing, at long intervals, in the far distance, a gong . . . ” Ibid., 89.

15. Ibid., 207.
16. Throughout Beckett’s work, characters are split by the very pronouns by which the murmur addresses them (as well as the pronoun proper to the murmur itself: is it “they” or “it,” the anonymous plural or the neuter singular?): “Now I’m haunted, let them go, one by one, let the last desert me and leave me empty, empty and silent. It’s they murmur my name, speak to me of me, speak of a me, let them go and speak of it to others, who will not believe them either, or who will believe them too. Theirs all these voices, like a rattling of chains in my head, rattling to me that I have a head.” Samuel Beckett, “Texts for Nothing,” in Complete Short Prose, 120.
18. In Malone Dies, Malone reports how the organization of the journal he is keeping is dictated by the wind: “But my fingers too write in other latitudes and the air that breathes through my pages and turns them without my knowing, when I doze off, so that the subject falls far from the verb and the object lands somewhere in the void, is not the air of this second-last abode, and a mercy it is. And perhaps on my hands it is the shimmer of the shadows of leaves and flowers and the brightness of a forgotten sun.” Ibid., 234.
19. The character Malone will insist not only on his own absence to his thought but also on not even being where thinking seeks him out: “Somewhere in this turmoil thought struggles on, it too wide of the mark. It too seeks me, as it always has, where I am not to be found.” Ibid., 186.
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30. Hegel describes the simultaneous inception of Western art and the historical subject in the Greeks’ turning their ears away from the murmurs of the natural world and toward the sound that is made by the ear itself in listening to these sounds: “Eben-
so horchten die Griechen auf das Gemurmel der Quellen und fragten, was das zu be-
deuten habe, die Bedeutung aber ist nicht die objective Sinnigkeit der Quelle, sondern
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Die Najaden oder Quellen sind der äußere Anfang der Musen. Doch der Musen
unsterbliche Gesänge sind nicht das, was man hört, sondern sie sind die Produktionen
des sinngreich horchenden Geistes, der in seinem Gemurmel in sich selbst produ-
ziert.” Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel, Vorlesungen über die Philosophie der Geschichte
(Stuttgart: Philipp Reclam, 1961), 336. “On the same principle the Greeks listened to the
murmuring of the fountains, and asked what might thereby be signified; but the signi-
fication which they were led to attach to it was not the objective meaning of the foun-
tain, but the subjective—that of the subject itself, which further exalts the Naiad to a
Muse. The Naiads, or Fountains, are the external, objective origin of the Muses. Yet
the immortal songs of the Muses are not that which is heard in the murmuring of the
fountains; they are the productions of the thoughtfully listening Spirit—creative while
observant.” G. W. F. Hegel, The Philosophy of History, trans. J. Sibree (New York: Dover,
1956), 235.


32. In their analysis of capitalism, Deleuze and Guattari describe something simi-
lar to Beckett’s suppositional universe. They write, “Unlike previous social machines,
the capitalist machine is incapable of providing a code that will apply to the whole of
the social field. By substituting money for the very notion of a code, it has created an
axiomatic of abstract quantities that keeps moving further and further in the direc-
tion of the deterritorialization of the socius.” Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari, Anti-
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unfulfilled promise of the concreteness of its abstractions, whereas in Beckett the con-
creteness of an existence that is shut up in itself like a mollusk, no longer capable of
universality, an existence that exhausts itself in pure self-positing, is revealed to be
identical to the abstractness that is no longer capable of experience.” “Trying to Un-
derstand ‘Endgame,’” 246.
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labor in Roman times. In Beckett’s “Sottisier” notebook, he notes the following quota-
tion from Schopenhauer: “Das Leben ist ein Pensum zum Abarbeiten: in diesem Sinne
ist defunctus ein schöner Ausdruck.” “Sottisier” notebook, 13r. (“Life is a pensum
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not entirely grasped because it is so mediated by habit: the experience of the narrator
Marcel is one that bears witness to art, “‘sees in the red phrase of the Septuor: . . . the
ideal and immaterial statement of the essence of a unique beauty, a unique world, the
invariable world and beauty of Vinteuil, expressed timidly, as a prayer, in the Sonata,
imploringly, as an inspiration, in the Septuor, the ‘invisible reality’ that damns the life
of the body on earth as a pensum and reveals the meaning of the word: ‘defunctus.’”
Proust and Three Dialogues, 93. As with the word “defunctus” it seems that Beckett’s at-
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54. Molloy does not turn toward things that appear before his eyes. The game he plays is one of turning away from that which is disappearing: “From things about to disappear I turn away in time.” Beckett, *Three Novels*, 12.
55. Ibid., 53.
56. Foucault discusses these *hupomnemata* in his essay titled “Self Writing.” He summarizes: “The *hupomnemata* served as memory aids. Their use as books of life, as guides for conduct, seems to have become a common thing for a whole cultivated public. One wrote down quotes in them, extracts from books, examples, and actions that one had witnessed or read about, reflections or reasonings that one had heard or that had come to mind.” Michel Foucault, *Ethics: Subjectivity and Truth*, ed. Paul Rabinow (New York: New Press, 1998), 209.
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60. The full quote: “Let me tell you this, when social workers offer you, free, gratis, and for nothing, something to hinder you from swooning, which with them is an obsession, it is useless to recoil, they will pursue you to the ends of the earth, the vomitory in their hands. The Salvation Army is no better. Against the charitable gesture there is no defense, that I know of.” Ibid., 24.

61. Benjamin, *Selected Writings*, 2:668. Benjamin also writes in his *Berlin Chronicle* that a wealthy child of his generation “could picture the poor, it was, without his knowing either name or origin, in the image of the tramp who is actually a rich man, though without money, since he stands—far removed from the process of production and the exploitation not yet abstracted from it—in the same contemplative relation to his destitution as the rich man to his wealth.” In *Reflections*, 11.

62. In *The Myth of the Birth of the Hero* (New York: Vintage, 1959) Otto Rank employs the term “abandonment myth” to describe those stories (i.e., about Moses and Oedipus) that achieve mythical status because their figures survive an exposure to death at an early age, after being abandoned and “given up for dead” by their parents. Rank describes abandonment as a condition that cannot be overcome or diminished. This is relevant to the way in which Beckett’s characters are exposed. Rank observes that the figures of myth are exposed to not only the “natural elements” but to the merciless judgment (the “ecce homo”) and laws of peoples and divinities.

63. Malone connects the understanding of time to the question of utility: “use” creates the idea of a future, a purpose, a goal. Accumulation and ultimate expenditure structure time for us. In contrast to this, Malone says he is speaking of a character who “could not employ [what he has accumulated], since he feels so far from the morrow. And perhaps there is none, no morrow any more, for one who has waited so long for it in vain. And perhaps he has come to that stage of his instant when to live is to wander the last of the living in the depths of an instant without bounds, where the light never changes and the wrecks look all alike.” Beckett, *Three Novels*, 233.

64. Adorno, “Trying to Understand ‘Endgame,’” 268.
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68. Ibid., 20. “Cette horrible figure se balançant douloureusement sur ses béquilles est la vérité dont nous sommes malades et qui ne nous suit pas moins fidèlement que notre ombre nous suit... spectre qui hante le plein jour des rues.” Georges Bataille, “Le silence de Molloy,” *Critique* 7, no. 15 (1951), 394.


70. Ibid., 22. This sentence is not translated in the French version of Beckett’s novel. Perhaps Molloy’s existence inhabits that same space of ethical impossibility as the current debates about assisted suicide.


72. See the second section of *Molloy*: “Gaber, Gaber, he said, life is a thing of beauty, Gaber, and a joy for ever. He brought his face nearer mine. A joy for ever, he said, a thing of beauty, Moran, and a joy for ever. He smiled. I closed my eyes. Smiles are all very nice in their own way, very heartening, but at a reasonable distance. I said, Do you think he meant human life?” Beckett, *Three Novels*, 152.
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20. In an interview with Tom Driver, Beckett describes how he was once pestered at a party about “why I write always about distress. As if it were perverse to do so! He wanted to know if my father had beaten me or my mother had run away from home to give me an unhappy childhood.” Beckett decides to leave the party as soon as possible and gets into a cab. He says, “On the glass partition between me and the driver were three signs; one asked for help for the blind, another help for orphans, and the third for relief for the war refugees. One does not have to look for distress. It is screaming at you even in the taxis of London.” “Tom Driver in ‘Columbia University Forum,’” in Graver and Federman, *Samuel Beckett: The Critical Heritage*, 221.
21. Vladimir’s soliloquy (“To all mankind they were addressed, those cries for help still ringing in our ears! But at this place, at this moment of time, all mankind is us, whether we like it or not” [Beckett, *Waiting for Godot*, 51]) embodies the existential side of this dilemma, rather than the posthuman form it assumes in Beckett’s later work.
24. Beckett’s much quoted observation that van Velde is the “first to admit that to be an artist is to fail, as no other dare fail” is frequently taken as an exclusive description of the artist’s goal or task. I take “dare” and “fail” to be transitive verbs. The artist dares the reader; we run the risk of failing that cry “made ill.” *Proust and Three Dialogues*, 125.
25. Such late prose works as *Ill Seen, Ill Said* further develop this medical diagnostic of the call.
27. Ibid.
33. Martin Heidegger, “The Question Concerning Technology,” in The Ques-
36. The essay concludes with an observation about the way Robbe-Grillet’s char-
tacters frequently point to unspecified objects: “This, they say. But what is this—this what? Perhaps all literature is in this anaphoric suspension which at one and the same time designates and keeps silent.” Ibid., 204. Barthes’s assignation of an interrogative function to literature and theater continues his thinking in his introduction to On Ra-
cine: “To write is to jeopardize the meaning of the world, to put an indirect question that the writer, by an ultimate abstention, refrains from answering. It is each of us who gives the answer, bringing to it his own history, his own language, his own free-
dom. . . . There is no end to answering what has been written beyond hope of an an-
swer: asserted, disputed, superseded—the meanings pass, the question remains.” Ro-
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companied by an “inspiration,” then “silence and hold for five seconds.” Then there is a ten-second “expiration” with a decrease in light, followed by the same brief cry. (Beckett, Breath, in Collected Shorter Plays, 209.) Though clearly broken into segments, the constitutive elements are not easily separated. The breath, the cry, and the light are dependent on each other rather than in a hierarchical order: to quote the play, the play would have to be quoted in its entirety. The play is designed so that no element ex-
cludes any of the others, and to discourage any immodest interventions by the critic.
38. In Allegories of Reading Paul de Man focuses on the break between grammar and rhetoric. This break appears most visibly around the rhetorical question, notably Yeats’s line, “How can we know the dancer from the dance?” One of the consequences of de Man’s analysis is a radical questioning of questioning, an immersion in the rhe-
torical quandary of the question. Unlike Beckett’s work, which produces active ques-
tions, de Man’s analysis seems to register the ways in which the only proper reply to
Yeats’s poem is another rhetorical question. “Confronted with the question of the difference between grammar and rhetoric, grammar allows us to ask the question, but the sentence by means of which we ask it may deny the very possibility of asking. For what is the use of asking, I ask, when we cannot even authoritatively decide whether a question asks or doesn’t ask?” Paul de Man, Allegories of Reading (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1982), 10 (emphasis added).
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43. Beckett, Waiting for Godot, 55. Pozzo says to Estragon, “A moment ago you were calling me Sir, in fear and trembling. Now you’re asking me questions. No good will come of this!” Ibid., 20.
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49. It is interesting to note that each novel of the trilogy isolates a list of questions, often numbered. These dissipate the force of the question by trying to bring the banal necessities to the level of knowledge. Often, it is not clear how some of the questions can be numbered among others. For example, in Malone Dies, Malone is bedridden and writes down a list of questions for a man who he believes visited him and hit him on the head with his cane. Among the questions for the man, if he is to return, are: “1. Who are you? 2. What do you do, for a living? 3. Are you looking for something in particular? What else? . . . 7. It was wrong for you to strike me. 8. Give me my stick. . . . 12. Why has my soup been stopped? 13. For what reason are my pots no longer emptied? 14. Do you think I shall last much longer? 15. May I ask you a favour? 16. Your conditions are mine. . . . 18. You couldn’t by any chance let me have the butt of a pencil? 19. Number your answers. 20. Don’t go, I haven’t finished.” Beckett, Three Novels, 272. Such lists truly dissipate the form of the question by, as it were, tightening it. The stringency of the question contains the possibility of the answers that, Malone specifies, he wants the stranger to number. The greatest questions are truly asked by the bedridden, and this is possibly why Beckett’s vagabonds usually flee from asking questions.
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52. Lawrence Harvey, Samuel Beckett, Poet and Critic (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1970), 249. See also Beckett’s letter to Axel Kaun in which he writes, “Grammatik und Stil. Mir scheinen sie ebenso hinfällig geworden zu sein wie ein Biedermeier Badeanzug oder die Unerschütterlichkeit eines Gentlemans (“Grammar and Style. These seem to me to be as weak/invalid/outmoded as a Victorian bathing suit or the imperturbability of a true gentleman”). Beckett’s choice of the word “hinfällig” brings his statement closer to law and order as it is also a legal term describing a law or an argument that is no longer valid or that is defunct. “German Letter of 1937,” in Disjecta, 52.
53. A similar statement is found in *Malone Dies*: “A minimum of memory is indispensable, if one is to live really.” Beckett, *Three Novels*, 203. Beckett’s prose is “ambiguous” exclusively around the issue of the adequate and the minimum, which is to say that the ambiguity is not to expand our possibilities of reading but to make us question what minimum—and how small a minimum—is actually accorded a necessity by Beckett. It is not clear whether Malone is saying that “really” living requires some memory or, rather, next to no memory. This minimum is marked as much by its meagerness as by its ineradicability. The “indispensable” aspect of this minimum of memory is that which cannot be used, used up, but also dispensed with. It is this uselessness of the minimum that makes it necessary.


55. Ibid.

56. When the traveler reaches the immobile Pim, they press their bodies together. Here the traveler communicates with Pim through a series of physical signs (not unlike the system devised by Molloy with his mother): “table of basic stimuli one sing nails in armpit two speak blade in arse three stop thump on skull four louder pestle on kidney five softer index in anus. . . .” Samuel Beckett, *How It Is* (New York: Grove Press, 1964), 69. This then progresses to questions scratched in Pim’s back with a nail.

57. Ibid., 7.


59. Beckett’s cultivation of weakened syntax led him to experiment with the meager structure of Western Union telegraphs. Toward the end of 1983, Beckett receives a query from the *New York Times* about his hopes and resolutions for the new year. Beckett telegraphed this reply: “RESOLUTIONS COLON ZERO STOP PERIOD HOPES COLON ZERO STOP BECKETT.” Ibid., 87. Beckett’s message dictates its own punctuation. He includes “colon” (twice) and “period” as punctuation to call attention to the incompleteness of his sentences. Beckett would not be outdone by the stop by which telegrams conventionally signaled the end of the sentence. The more one wants “colon” and “period” to function grammatically, however, the more they may acquire other connotations. “Period,” for example, may mean, with finality, no hopes whatsoever, end of story. “Colon” may suggest where Beckett feels that hopes and resolutions may go.


62. “Quaqua” is Beckett’s neologism for blather. In *Waiting for Godot* Lucky speaks of “a personal God quaquaquaqua with white beard quaquaquaqua outside time without extension” (28). We can measure the distance between *Godot* and *How It Is* by noting that the narrator of this work must seemingly take dictation from Lucky’s monologue. The quaqua has left the isolated space of monologue, something to be listened to and endured, and assigns inscriptions to the text we are reading. Lucky goes from being a critic thinking on the stage to the secondhand author of *How It Is*.

63. Bersani and Dutoit, *Arts of Impoverishment*, 60.

64. “Abandon all hope, ye who enter.”


66. In 1970 the artist Geneviève Asse asks Beckett if he has a text that she could illustrate. Beckett gives her the work that, five years later, would become *For to End Yet Again*. Beckett visits her studio and, as Knowlson describes it, “The text did not have
a title until she had finished her work. One day, he suggested ‘Abandonné’ and asked specifically that it should be printed as if the letters were carved out of stone.” The inspiration for this title comes from a statue Beckett passes on the way to Asse’s studio, a monument “to Ernest Rousselle, the president of the French commission for children who are abandoned and in need. At its foot is a bronze statue of a curly-haired, young child with no shoes, reclining with his eyes closed and his head on a traveling bag. Beneath the statue a single word, ‘Abandonné!’ is cut out of the stone.” Knowlson, Damned to Fame, 513.

69. Cohn, Beckett Canon, 217.
73. Ibid., 163.
76. Ibid., 164.
78. Derek Attridge writes that Beckett’s texts are so “self-deconstructive” that “there is not much left to do.” Acts of Literature (New York: Routledge Press, 1991), 61. The greatness of Beckett’s work, however, originates in the way it leaves us feeling, at the same time, that there is everything to do.
80. Beckett, Three Novels, 139.
82. Paul de Man describes this condition of language as part of an imperative of language. Speaking of a scene in La Recherche in which Marcel’s grandmother orders him to stop reading and go outside, de Man comments, “It seems that the language is unable to remain . . . ensconced and that it has to turn itself out.” De Man, Allegories of Reading, 70.
84. “Everyone says: Hunger is the best sauce; and people with a healthy appetite relish everything, so long as it is something they can eat. Such delight, consequently, gives no indication of taste having anything to do with choice. Only when people’s needs have been satisfied can we tell who among the crowd has taste or not.” Immanuel Kant, Critique of Judgment, trans. James Creed Meredith (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 42.
85. Beckett, Three Novels, 87. The passage later continues, “And in this command which faltered, then died, it was hard not to hear the unspoken entreaty, Don’t do it, Molloy. In forever reminding me thus of my duty was its purpose to show me the folly of it? Perhaps.”
88. This comes from Freud’s description in Interpretation of Dreams of the reasonings of the unconscious, exemplified in the contradictory and excessive logic of the
man who, upon being accused of denting his neighbor’s kettle, explained that (1) he did not dent the kettle, (2) there was already a dent in the kettle when he received it, and (3) he did not borrow the kettle. See Sigmund Freud, *Interpretation of Dreams*, standard ed., trans. A. A. Brill (New York: Norton, 1989), 120.

89. Kant’s categorical imperative depends on the principle, “So act that the maxim of your action could become a universal law.” Immanuel Kant, *The Metaphysics of Morals* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991), 192. Beckett’s minimalism and subtractive aesthetic interrogate the universality of the maxim and in this way take aim at Kant’s ethical system. See Beckett’s paratactic translations of the maxims of Sébastien Chamfort.

90. In *Company*, for example, Beckett writes about a murmur that a character hears in the second person: “A voice comes to one in the dark. Imagine. To one on his back in the dark. . . . Only a small part of what is said can be verified. As for example when he hears, You are on your back in the dark. Then he must acknowledge the truth of what is said. But by far the greater part of what is said cannot be verified. As for example when he hears, You first saw the light on such and such a day.” Samuel Beckett, *Company* (New York: Grove Press, 1991), 7. This form of address has the effect of simultaneously describing a state of affairs that already exists and, through this description, of forecasting or informing a state to come.


92. The verb “say” is similar in this regard to the way “never” works in Beckett’s text: “Never to naught be brought. Never by naught be nulled.” Beckett, *Worstward Ho*, 32.


96. Beckett composed but did not air this broadcast.


98. The character Nagg tells this joke in *Endgame*, and it provides the title for Beckett’s essay on aesthetics, “Le Monde et le Pantalon.” In brief, the joke tells the story about a man who brings his pants to a tailor and gets a different excuse as to why they are not ready each time he returns to the shop. His impatience at a breaking point, he informs the tailor that it took God only six days to make the world. “That’s right,” says the tailor, “but look at the world . . . and look at my TROUSERS!” See Samuel Beckett, *Endgame*, 22.


100. My interpretation here differs sharply from Lois Gordon’s. Gordon writes about this passage, “Beckett exalts both the comfort to be drawn from the inward human capacity to surmount circumstances of the utmost gravity and the sustenance to be given and gained in moments of camaraderie.” Lois Gordon, *The World of Samuel Beckett* (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1996), 201.


103. Beckett’s other inheritance from Shakespeare is the bard’s observation that beauty “beggar’d description.” The phrase is used in reference to Cleopatra: “For her own person, / It beggar’d all description.” *Antony and Cleopatra* 2.2. *The Tragedy of Antony and Cleopatra*, ed. Jonathan Bate (Middlesex, UK: Echo Library, 2006), 33. Shakespeare suggests that beauty incapacitates or disemploys description, reducing it to tatters as it attempts to transform appearance into language. Much of Beckett’s oeuvre is an exploration of what happens to this derelict and impoverished state of description not only in its encounter with beauty but with misery and the beggar as well.

108. Walter Benjamin articulates the soldier’s encounter with World War I in terms of impoverishment: “Wasn’t it noticed at the time how many people returned from the front in silence? Not richer but poorer in communicable experience?” *Selected Writings*, 2:731.

**Afterword**

1. For Proust’s narrator, art facilitates new vision and exerts its aftereffect within the spectator. When gazed upon long enough, for example, the figures within a Renoir leave the frame of the painting and begin to lend their qualities to people and vehicles seen in the street: “Women pass in the street, different from those we formerly saw, because they are Renoirs, those Renoirs we persistently refused to see as women. The carriages too, are Renoirs, and the water, and the sky.” Marcel Proust, *In Search of Lost Time: The Guermantes Way*, trans. Christopher Prendergast (New York: Penguin, 2003), 325. Only by seeing Renoir in the world do we begin in fact to see what his paintings harbor. In like fashion, Proust’s novel may sensitize us to Proustian moments in our world, to propagate the effects of his work through our own experience.

2. Of all the productions I’ve seen and been involved in, the setting that seems most fitting for Beckett’s play would be a production on the Gaza Strip.

3. Harold Camping, an evangelist on the Family Radio Network, predicted that the world would end on May 21, 2011. When May 22 arrived without the righteous having ascended to heaven or the damned suffering fire, brimstone, and plagues on earth, Camping recalculated his prediction and gave the world an extended deadline until October 21, 2011. Between these two dates Camping suffered a stroke. Camping’s followers had turned into Beckett characters, saddled with an expectation for something that not only did not arrive, but did not arrive twice, in two acts. One can
imagine a production of *Godot* staged outside Camping’s radio studio, in which Didi and Gogo are two crestfallen apocalyptics who struggle with spirituality as a promise kept only at one end. The vagabonds would sweep up not after doom has arrived but after its prediction has failed.

5. Quoted in Karen A. Frank and Te-Sheng Huang, “Occupying Public Space, 2011: From Tahrir Square to Zuccotti Park,” in *Beyond Zuccotti Park*, ed. Ron Shiffman et al. (Oakland, CA: New Village Press, 2012), 8. On October 12, 2011, Michael Bloomberg, the mayor of New York City, came to Zuccotti Park to announce that the encampment would have to be temporarily removed in order to clean up the area. A concern for “sanitation” thinly concealed the politics behind the decision.


7. The workers on strike in France in 1968 eventually refused to join the cause with protesting students.


10. Columbia University students protesting the Vietnam War occupied the president’s office and other Columbia administrative buildings in 1968; ROTC headquarters have been occupied in Boston, New York, and Puerto Rico; the monument of Wounded Knee was seized in 1973 by Oglala Lakota protesting the US government’s failure to fulfill treaties with Native American peoples.


16. Ibid., 8.
