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Introduction

1. Richard Hofstadter, The Progressive Historians: Turner, Beard, Parrington (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1968), 4. Alfred Kazin makes a similar point but from a different perspective. "History exerts its power as literature, not because a book has literary distinction, but because the magisterial pattern it weaves is felt to shape us, to change us, to embody our ideas and image of collective experience. History then
becomes a memory of the race." And later he adds, "The historical thinkers we read over and again, because their books are the history we have, are those whom we believe even if we do not accept their argument. Our image of history is not even obtained directly from their books; it is passed from mind to mind in the excited discovery that this is how history 'works.'” Kazin, An American Procession (New York: Vintage Books, 1985), 281, 282.


7. Decker, Literary Vocation, 3. An interesting anecdote illustrates Adams's intense patriotism. One evening at dinner during World War I, Adams's former student Henry Cabot Lodge attacked Woodrow Wilson. Though no fan of the president, Adams said, "Cabot! I've never allowed treasonable conversation at this table and I don't propose to allow it now" (quoted in Levenson, Mind and Art, 165). Wilson Carey McWilliams points out that Adams must have enjoyed the irony here, since Lodge was attacking Wilson for being insufficiently patriotic (personal communication). It may be worth noting that Adams and his wife were both very critical of their friend Henry James for his protracted periods of exile in Britain, as well as for his preference for English ways. See introduction to The Correspondence of Henry James and Henry Adams: 1877–1914, ed. George Monteiro (Baton Rouge: Louisiana State University Press, 1992), esp. 5–6. Still, it must be mentioned that Adams himself was a notable traveler, though Washington remained his base. He worked against success by publishing his novels anonymously or pseudonymously and by circulating Chartres and the Education privately to his friends. Neither was published until years later.

8. Education, 382.

9. Henry Adams to Charles William Eliot, March 2, 1877, in Letters 2:301. See also his letter to another student, Henry Osborn Taylor, a medieval historian. "To me, accuracy is relative. I care very little whether the details are exact, if only my ensemble is to scale" (Adams to Taylor, January 17, 1905, in Letters 5:628). This is in reference to his much later book Mont Saint Michel and Chartres.


15. Ibid., 20–29, esp. 27–28.


18. Ibid., 166.


23. For a fine study that focuses on the relation of Adams as a writer to his family, see Earl N. Harbert, _The Force So Much Closer Home: Henry Adams and the Adams


26. Brooks D. Simpson, *The Political Education of Henry Adams* (Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1996), 145. The use of the word “distorted” suggests the relentlessly hostile character of Simpson’s discussion, which is of interest but must be used with at least as much caution as Adams’s own account of his life.

I. Foundations of the Early Republic

1. In spite of my decision to begin with a brief look at some of Adams’s youthful thoughts on the Civil War, I generally approach his interpretation of the course of American history according to the chronology of the history rather than the chronology of Adams’s writing. This seems to be the best way to capture the full sweep of Adams’s assessment of the American experiment.


3. Ibid., 30.


11. Ibid., 20.

12. Ibid., 15. On other primitive conditions, see Taylor, “Historical Bifocals,” 179.


15. Ibid., 7, 9.

16. Ibid., 47–53; quote at 49.

17. Ibid., 47.

18. Ibid., 52–53.

19. Ibid., 11.

20. Ibid., 31.


22. Ibid., 57.


26. Ibid.

27. Ibid. Whatever the deficiencies of Jefferson, these “High Federalists” were as bad or worse. At their worst, these Federalists were, in the pungent terms of Richard Hofstadter, “crazed vendettists and reckless conspirators” (*The Progressive Historians: Turner, Beard, Parrington* [New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1968], 32). Adams would no doubt have agreed.


29. Ibid., 72–75; quotes at 72, 74.

30. Ibid., 76–77.

31. Ibid., 78.

32. Ibid., 79–80. It should be remembered that the alliance Adams discusses was in fact the founding of what is now the Democratic Party.

33. Ibid., 80.

34. Ibid., 81.
35. Ibid., 90.
37. Ibid., 93–95.
40. Ibid., 99. There is no doubt that in some sense Adams sees Jefferson as a great man, but he also appears so deeply flawed as to raise the question whether Adams accepted the "admitted standards."
42. History 1, 100–101.
44. History 1, 101–2.
45. Ibid., 102–6. Adams's brief discussion of the young John C. Calhoun in 1800 is interesting. He is portrayed as cold and rigid but a fervent Jeffersonian with little affection for slavery. "A radical democrat, less liberal, less cultivated, and much less genial than Jefferson, Calhoun was the true heir to his intellectual succession; stronger in logic, bolder in action" (ibid., 106). Adams refrains from discussing where the thought of the mature Calhoun was to take him as his zeal for democracy lessened and his support for slavery increased and he helped take his region down the road to the secession that Adams despised.
46. Ibid., 120.
47. Ibid., 107–8; emphasis added.
48. Ibid., 108–9, quotes on 109. In writing of the new man, did Adams have in mind Hector St. John de Crevecoeur?
50. History 1, 121.
51. Ibid., 122–23. Without mentioning his name, Adams uses his own great-grandfather John as support for his theory of upward mobility, pointing out that the incumbent president in 1800, who, with Benjamin Franklin, had signed the peace treaty with Britain, was the son of a small farmer and had been a schoolteacher in his youth.
52. Ibid., 125.
55. Ibid., 10.
56. Ibid., 17–19.
57. I disagree sharply with Cunningham's suggestion that Adams may have devoted excessive attention to sectionalism. See ibid., 57.


59. At the same time, it is worth stressing that the sort of history Adams was writing in these introductory chapters was something quite new and that he did go to some lengths to give his sources a critical evaluation, though the evaluation might be inadequate by twentieth-century standards and, of course, relevant data and secondary studies are now more accessible.


61. Ibid., 51.


67. Ibid., 199.

68. Ibid., 211. Adams even resorts to the use of Jefferson's own language to describe aspects of the tense situation, though he does concede some exaggeration. See ibid., 206.

69. It is striking how much of Adams's argument is echoed by Hofstadter in *America at 1750*, 131–79. It might be thought that this title is an homage to Adams, but Hofstadter's widow, who edited the posthumous publication of this fragment of his intended magnum opus, says that it was modeled on Halevy's *England in 1815*.

70. For a similar point, see Hofstadter, *America at 1750*, 132–33.


the territory that was to become the United States was the most modern in the world, including Britain. This too points to an exceptionalist thesis. However, Gordon Wood contends that Butler misconceives the nature of early American modernity, since he focuses on ethnic and religious diversity rather than on the idea of equal and independent citizens for whom authority had to be earned and consented to. See Jon Butler, Becoming America: The Revolution Before 1776 (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2000), and Gordon Wood, “Give Me Diversity or Give Me Death,” New Republic (June 12, 2000), 34–39. For Wood’s discussion of Appleby, see “Early American Get-up-and-go,” New York Review of Books (June 29, 2000), 50–53.

75. Wood, “Early American Get-up-and-go,” 50. Some, including Appleby, might argue that this theory is an intellectually constructed myth, but Wood contends that it was “rooted in the lives of many ordinary Americans” (53). Much of Appleby’s analysis seems to bear him out, though it is clear that much of the emerging consensus applies better to the North than to the South. In particular, she stresses the rapidly emerging differences between North and South, a view to which Adams could fully subscribe. Also, Wood admits that the new social history of the past generation raises questions about the tendency of the consensus theory to overlook race, slavery, the poor, the immigrants, and the victims of persecution.


2. The Jeffersonian Foundation


2. History I, 117.


4. Adams’s great History has been discussed primarily in relation to his philosophy. While I do not neglect this topic, I want to consider the work as part of a theory about the course of American history, which gradually flows into his more general philosophical concerns.

5. A disclaimer is in order. I cannot hope to establish the historical validity of Adams’s view of Jefferson, though it is sometimes necessary to consult the literature on the Master of Monticello. My interest lies in what that view tells us about Adams. Jefferson will continue to remain a mystery, though so too may Adams. For my own brief attempt to sketch Jefferson, see James P. Young, Reconsidering American Liberalism:

7. Samuels, Middle Years, 387.
8. Jordy, Scientific Historian, 73.
9. Henry Adams to Henry Cabot Lodge, May 15, 1876, Letters 2:263. In a letter to Henry Cabot Lodge, he comments that “much as I want to read your Hamilton, the subject repels me more than my regard for you attracts” (quoted in Jordy, Scientific Historian, 67). Wilson Carey McWilliams points out that Adams’s dislike for Hamilton goes back to the political enmity between John Adams and Hamilton, the latter having supported Jefferson in the close election of 1800; moreover, Adams detested Hamilton’s sexual morals.
10. Peterson, Jefferson Image, 285. Peter Shaw gives a useful brief survey of the interpretive situation. He forcefully supports the position that the History presents a scathing indictment of the Jeffersonians and a defense of the Adams family against them. The analysis is useful and stimulating, but I cannot entirely agree. See Peter Shaw, “Blood Is Thicker than Irony,” New England Quarterly (June 1967), 163–87. There is reason to think that the History might be even more pro-Jefferson had it not been for the intervention of Adams’s older brother Charles Francis Adams, Jr. In commenting on the privately printed draft manuscript, Charles was ever watchful for signs that Henry was soft on Jefferson. Henry frequently gave in to Charles’s criticisms. See Samuels, Middle Years, 390–97. See also Peter Shaw, “The War of 1812 Could Not Take Place: Henry Adams’s History,” Yale Review (June 1973), 544–56. For a com-
mentary on some recent literature dealing with the period that is critical of Adams's scholarship or interpretations, see William Dusinberre, The Myth of Failure (Charlottesville: University Press of Virginia, 1980), 147–57. On the whole, Dusinberre tends to support Adams's interpretations.

11. History I, 188.
12. Ibid., 100–101.
13. Ibid., esp. 58–59. One finds the same hysteria to this day; Jefferson still stirs enormous passion in all parts of the political spectrum. See, in this instance, Conor Cruise O'Brien, The Long Affair: Thomas Jefferson and the French Revolution, 1785–1800 (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1996). This is one of the most intemperate assaults on Jefferson ever; it links him with even Pol Pot and Timothy McVeigh (who was found guilty of bombing the Federal Building in Oklahoma City), not to mention the Ku Klux Klan. Of course, Jefferson did admire France, but for a more sober view, see William Howard Adams, The Paris Years of Thomas Jefferson (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1997).


15. One observation on Jefferson’s principles is of interest. Henry's father, Charles Francis Adams, wrote in a Fourth of July letter to Charles Francis Adams, Jr., “Had they [our ancestors] then consented to follow Thomas Jefferson to the full extent of the first draught of the Declaration, they would have added little to the seven years severity of their struggle and would have entirely saved the present trials from their children” (quoted in Levenson, Mind and Art, 11). Of course, the reference is to Jefferson’s early proposal to end slavery. Jefferson’s position on this question is characteristically more ambiguous than the senior Adams makes it. See Joseph Ellis, American Sphinx: The Character of Thomas Jefferson (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1997), 51–53.

16. Life of Gallatin, 491–92. Note the high place assigned to Gallatin in this assessment.
17. For the full text of the address, see Thomas Jefferson, Writings, ed. Merrill Peterson (New York: Library of America, 1984), 492–96.
20. Ibid.
21. Ibid., 137.
23. History I, 139.
24. Ibid.
25. Ibid., 97–99, 140. For the text of the Virginia Resolution, see The Mind of the Founder: Sources of the Political Thought of James Madison, ed. Marvin Meyers (Indianapolis: Bobbs Merrill, 1973), 297–349. Madison, working closely with Jefferson, was the author of the Virginia Resolution. For the Kentucky Resolution, which Jefferson authored, see Jefferson, Writings, 449–56.

27. History I, 140–41, 175–76.

28. Ibid., 141. This raises an interesting question. How are we to judge so contradictory a figure as Jefferson? His private opinions were frequently more radical than his public statements and actions. Which standard do we adopt? Perhaps the real Jefferson, in spite of his inflammatory private rhetoric, was a cautious compromiser who liked to give vent to his dreams in his voluminous correspondence. The “real” Jefferson is elusive, but this remains one of the possibilities. To the extent that Adams is like his protagonist, perhaps he shares in this ambiguity.

29. Ibid., 142.

31. History I, 142–43.
32. Ibid., 143.
33. Ibid., 144.

34. Thomas Jefferson quoted in ibid., 146–47.

35. Ibid., 147. As can be seen today, the use of economic power to achieve foreign policy ends is a persistent theme in American history. It might also be observed that it frequently meets with as little success today as it did in the days of Jefferson and Madison.


37. History I, 177, 186–87.
38. Thomas Jefferson, quoted in ibid., 175.
39. Ibid., 189, 202. Not until four years before his death, in a letter to W. T. Berry, did Jefferson call for this radical democratic reform (quoted in ibid., 176). For Adams's detailed account of the judiciary debate, see ibid., 174–77, 186–90, 193–202. One wonders whether Adams would really have favored an elected judiciary. It seems doubtful.

40. Alexander Hamilton quoted in ibid., 188–89. It should be remembered that Hamilton's remark occurs in his famous letter to Senator Bayard, in which he swung his support to Jefferson against Aaron Burr during the struggle to settle the tied election of 1800.

41. Ibid., 180.

42. Ibid., 180. For a fuller discussion, see 180–83.


44. History I, 362.

45. Thomas Jefferson quoted in ibid., 363.

46. Ibid.

47. Ibid., 366. The first Adams family member to note the reliance on implied powers and the abandonment of republican principles in the Louisiana Purchase was John Quincy Adams, writing in his diary (quoted in Harbert, *The Force so Much Closer Home*, 90–91). The diary notation is brief, and Henry deserves full credit for developing it. Like his grandson, John Quincy Adams had ambivalent feelings about Jefferson. Consider his comment on the relation of John Adams and Jefferson: “The mutual influence of these two mighty minds upon each other is a phenomenon, like the invisible and mysterious movements of the magnet in the physical world, and in which the sagacity of the future historian may discover the solution of much of our national history not otherwise easily accountable” (quoted in Ellis, *American Sphinx*, 123; emphasis added). Charles Francis Adams was more critical: “He did not always speak exactly as he felt, either towards his friends or his enemies. As a consequence, he has left hanging over a part of his public life a vapor of duplicity” (quoted in ibid., 124).

48. History I, 378–79; emphasis added. For a full discussion of the congressional debate, see ibid., 366–79.

49. Ibid., 380–81. This is one of the few direct references to a family member Adams allows himself.

50. Ibid., 381–82.

51. Ibid., 383.

52. Ibid., 384.

53. Ibid., 385–86. Jefferson's bitter enemy John Marshall concurred, with what Adams sarcastically refers to as his “characteristic wisdom,” when the issue reached the Supreme Court in *American Insurance Company and Others v. Canter*, saying, “The right to govern may be the inevitable consequence of the right to acquire territory.
Whatever may be the source whence the power is derived, the possession of it is unquestioned" (see ibid., 386).

54. Ibid., 386.
55. Ibid., 387.
56. Ibid., 388.
57. Thomas Jefferson to John Breckinridge quoted in ibid., 359.
60. *History* I, 388.
61. Ibid., 388–89, quote at 389. For a brief but spirited defense of Jefferson's actions, see Ellis, *American Sphinx*, 211–13. The defense is couched in terms of practicality. Debate over an amendment, Ellis contends, would have raised troublesome questions about slavery, the slave trade, Spanish land claims, and other issues. He even defends the "arbitrary and despotic" provisional government established over Louisiana on the grounds of the size and ethnic diversity of the territory. He also correctly points out that on issues such as the debt and the embargo, Jefferson held fast to his principles, "despite massive evidence that they were at odds with reality." For Ellis, the key to Jefferson's actions was his sense that the West was America's future. What Frederick Jackson Turner later called a "safety valve" was for Jefferson "a self-renewing engine that drove the American republic forward. The West was the place where his agrarian idyll could be regularly rediscovered." He saw the West the way some modern optimists see technology. He was startlingly nonchalant about whether all the territory remained within the American Union. Though it became the dominant issue of the next half century, he had little interest in the long-term fate of the territory. One might add that Adams slighted Jefferson's almost mystic vision of westward expansion as a means to preserve his ideal republic. This may be due to a certain provincial distaste for the West.
63. Earl Harbert suggests that in the *History* Adams attempts to show that the "political disasters" of 1800 to 1816 derived from the Southern failure to carry out Jefferson's program. But this implies that Adams thought the Jeffersonian program was right. I argue that Adams accepted the substantive results of the program, while regretting the unprincipled way the ends were achieved. This judgment applies only to the Louisiana Purchase and not to the events of Jefferson's second term. See Harbert, *The Force so Much Closer Home*, 119.
64. Harbert, *The Force so Much Closer Home*, 126–29. Harbert notes that Jeffe-
son's policies made protection from foreign enemies difficult, but Adams, like Jefferson, makes the near doubling of the nation's size the key to the entire History. This suggests that Adams had a Jeffersonian sense of importance, though Adams by no means ignored foreign affairs. Harbert notes that with his protestations that he was an eighteenth-century man living in the twentieth century, Adams proclaimed his own obsolescence, but one must take Adams's penchant for dissimulation into account here. J. C. Levenson notes that Adams had "a more than casual relationship with the founders of pragmatism," including Chauncey Wright, Charles Sanders Peirce, and, perhaps most important, William James (see Mind and Art, 130). John Diggins comments, "Adams did not have to wait until the pragmatists came along to advise Americans to reject all ideas that cannot be translated into action" (The Promise of Pragmatism [Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994], 75).

65. Levenson, Mind and Art, 132. At the same time Adams wished for theory, he also thought it important to avoid framing issues so technically and legally that a large society could not comprehend them (History II, 300). Levenson's outstanding work guided me to this passage.


67. Education, 11; Diggins, Promise of Pragmatism, 55.

68. For a theory of authority of this type, see Carl J. Friedrich, "Authority, Reason, and Discretion," in Authority (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1958), 28–48. It follows from this that no special person or class can lay claim to authority. It must be earned. See Norman Jacobson, "Knowledge, Tradition, and Authority: A Note on the American Experience," in ibid., 112–25. Consider also Michael Walzer's argument that in a just democratic society, political power rightfully goes to the contestants who make the best arguments for their positions (Spheres of Justice: A Defense of Pluralism and Equality [New York: Basic Books, 1983], esp. 303–6). Used in this sense, political power is closely linked to authority.

69. History I, 471.
70. Ibid., 437.
71. Ibid., 210.
72. Ibid., 438.
73. Ibid., 439.
74. Ibid., 299. On the absolute centrality of peace in Adams's interpretation, see Peterson, Jefferson Image, 287–90.
75. History I, 525.
76. Ibid., 1020.
77. Ibid., 929–46, passim.
78. Ibid., 1021.
79. Ibid., 1040.
80. Ibid., 1040–44.
81. Ibid., 1045–47.
82. Ibid., 1048; emphasis added.
83. Ibid., 1115.
84. Ibid., 1116.
85. Ibid., 1117. Adams notes that in the end, Jefferson believed that the embargo had no “claim to respect” as an economic policy (see ibid., 1116).
86. Ibid., 1117–18.
87. Ibid.
88. Ibid., 1118.
89. Levenson points out that Adams was less militaristic than such passages make it appear. His interest in military affairs “grew from studious reflection on the nature and possibility of peace,” and developed out of his concern with Jefferson’s pacifism (see Mind and Art, 174). Jordy also plays down the thought that Adams was a militarist, while making interesting comments on this passage (see Scientific Historian, 110).
90. History I, 1118–21.
91. Ibid., 1121.
92. Ibid., 1122–25.
93. Ibid., 1126.
94. Ibid.
95. For an exhaustive recent survey of the abundant literature on American exceptionalism, see Seymour Martin Lipset, American Exceptionalism: A Double-edged Sword (New York: W. W. Norton, 1996).
96. Diggins, Promise of Pragmatism, 76.
97 History II, 354, 467.
100. Ibid., 104.
101. The last paragraph owes a great deal to comments by Wilson Carey McWilliams, who helped me clarify the issues at stake.

3. The Madisonian Continuation

1. Perhaps it should also be suggested that Madison suffers because his greatest contributions were his role in drafting the Constitution and then defending it in the Federalist Papers and his equally large part in drafting the Bill of Rights. All this work falls outside the scope of Adams’s study. Since Madison was undoubtedly superior to Jefferson as a theorist, it is unfortunate that Adams did not need to come to grips with these writings. Adams certainly gives every sign of admiring the Constitution Madison did so much to create.
2. History I, 129.
5. Samuels, *Middle Years*, 264.
9. Ibid., 16.
10. Ibid., 19.
11. Ibid., 110.
12. Francis Jackson quoted in ibid., 150.
13. On Madison’s distrust of unrestricted commerce and his continuing attachment to “peaceable coercion,” see History II, 148–49, 205. These ideas were a persistent thread throughout the history of his administration until the outbreak of war in 1812.
14. Ibid., 118.
15. Ibid., 447. In general, Adams thinks that the issue of impressment was not well understood. The great majority of those impressed were, in fact, British subjects, and American ships were frequently manned by foreign nationals whose services the United States volunteered to do without, an act not without cost. See, for example, History II, 595, 612.
16. For an interesting perspective on this point that has helped me here, see Shaw, “The War of 1812 Could Not Take Place,” 544–56. Shaw’s title is obviously a little overheated. It would have been better to say that Adams believed that it should not have taken place, at least not when it did.
17. On French policy on the high seas, see History II, 22–32; 101–9, esp. 109; 173–82. Nowhere is Adams’s hatred of Napoleon more clear than in his discussions of French maritime policy. He notes that the emperor did not act “according to the rules of ordinary civilization” (26) and later gives a more extended assessment of his character:

Napoleon could seize without notice ten million dollars worth of American property, imprisoning the American crews of two or three hundred vessels in his dungeons, while at the same instant he told the Americans that he loved them, that their commerce was within the scope of his policy, and as a climax avowed a scheme to mislead the United States government, hardly troubling himself to use forms likely to conceal his object; yet the vast majority of Americans never greatly resented acts which seemed to them like the
exploits of an Italian brigand on the stage. Beyond doubt, Napoleon regarded his professions of love and interest not as irony of extravagance, but as adapted to deceive. (Ibid., 181–82)

18. Ibid., 447–49.
19. Ibid., 573. It might be noted that the extremists probably needed little persuasion to convince themselves of this absurd point.
20. Ibid., 905–6.
23. Ibid., 1109.
24. Ibid., 1112.
25. Ibid., 1114.
27. For the full text of the proposed amendments, see “Report and Resolutions of the Hartford Convention,” January 4, 1815, in Great Issues in American History: From the Revolution to the Civil War, 1765–1865, ed. Richard Hofstadter (New York: Vintage Books, 1958), 241. The bias of the amendments is so evident that Madison is said to have laughed aloud when he read them (Rutland, Presidency of Madison, 186).
28. Boston Centinal and Gouverneur Morris quoted in History II, 1116. The approval of the militant Morris and Pickering was important. Pickering approved because he believed the Union to be already dissolved (see ibid., 1116).
29. Ibid., 1120–21.
30. George Ticknor quoting John Adams in ibid., 1122.
31. Ibid.
32. Ibid., 1123.
34. History I, 1019.
35. Ibid., 1020.
36. History II, 1342.
37. Ibid., 1336–41. Adams undertakes an extensive analysis of each of the major naval battles and in each case gives a detailed discussion of the gunnery on each side,
invariably demonstrating the superiority of the American performance. Levenson is helpful on these matters; see Mind and Art, 176–80.

39. Ibid., 1299–1300.
41. Shaw, “The War of 1812,” 554. William Anders Smith also sees the hero of the entire work to be the American people (see “Henry Adams, Alexander Hamilton, and the American People as a ‘Great Beast,’” New England Quarterly [June 1975], 225). He suggests that, like Tocqueville, Adams believed that the future of the world was tied to democracy.
42. History II, 1237.
43. John Adams quoted in Shaw, “The War of 1812,” 549. Shaw sees John Quincy Adams’s view as less favorable to Madison, though that evaluation is not obvious (see ibid.).
44. History II, 585–86; emphasis added.
45. Shaw, “The War of 1812,” 553. Ernest Samuels reads this passage more literally so that it emerges as praise for Madison (see Middle Years, 398).
46. Even if the practice was abandoned, the principle was not. As Wilson Carey McWilliams reminds me, Adams knew full well that the principle could not be abandoned, both because the British thought that it was just, since American ships did shelter British deserters and nationals, and because it was potentially important to manning their fleet.
47. History II, 1331.
48. Ibid., 1332.
50. History II, 1287–94.
51. Ibid., 1300.
52. Ibid., 1317.
53. Ibid., 1330.
54. It is striking how little Adams was interested in the American Renaissance of the midcentury. The discussion is similar to Tocqueville’s, but unlike his mentor, Adams lived to see the full flowering of American literature. That it made so little impression on one so sensitive is more than a little perplexing.
55. History II, 1245.
56. Ibid., 1302.
57. Ibid., 1304.
58. Ibid., 1305–6.
59. Ibid., 1309.
60. Ibid., 1309–12.
62. Ibid., 1313.
63. Ibid., 1314–16. Taylor wrote to answer John Adams’s Defense of the Constitutions of the United States, which, by the logic of Henry’s reasoning, he must also have considered obsolete.

64. History II, 1331. The reference to uniformity may well owe something to the influence of Tocqueville.

65. Ibid., 1331–32.
66. Ibid., 1332.
67. Ibid., 1332–35.
68. Ibid., 1334–35.
69. Ibid., 1336. Tocqueville, of course, had a brighter view of American political capacity.

70. Ibid., 1342–43.
71. Ibid., 1345.
72. Levenson, Mind and Art, 187.
73. History II, 1345. Samuels is inclined to see these questions as purely rhetorical (see Middle Years, 352–53), and they are in form. However, I argue below that they are of real substance for the development of American democracy.

76. Samuels alludes to this comparison, though he does not dwell on it (see Middle Years, 349–50, 353). Like anyone concerned with Tolstoy’s theory of history, I owe a huge debt to Isaiah Berlin’s great essay “The Hedgehog and the Fox,” in Russian Thinkers (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1978), 22–81.

78. Henry Adams to Samuel J. Tilden, January 24, 1883, in Letters 2:491. For Decker’s comments on this theme, see Literary Vocation, 202–3.
79. Decker, Literary Vocation, 183.
80. See Berlin, “Hedgehog and Fox,” 81. For a fuller discussion, see chapter 8.
81. History I, 907. Samuels notes that in his draft, Adams claimed that in 1808 Congress could have doubled its proportions of tailors and swindlers if it could have lessened the number of “gentlemen.” Reading the draft, his brother Charles persuaded him to change “gentlemen” to “conspirators” (Samuels, Middle Years, 378–79).

4. Secession, Capitalism, and Corruption

1. Education, 325. This statement may suggest that Lincoln had a greater significance for Adams than his rather cursory remarks suggest.

ment that reveals a great deal about his assessment of American political and constitutional history.

3. Ibid., 141.
4. Ibid., 142.
5. Ibid., 144.

7. Randolph, 37.
8. Ibid., 51–52.
10. Randolph, 52.
11. Ibid., 53.
12. Ibid., 178.
13. Ibid., 179, 189.
17. Charles Francis Adams quoted in ibid., 78.
18. On Adams's political career, see Brooks D. Simpson, The Political Education of Henry Adams (Columbia: University of South Carolina Press, 1996). This useful study is a good guide to Adams's political writings following his return from England in 1868. It is also very helpful in searching the letters of this period. Simpson has a real mastery of the sources, though I find the book to be marred by the author's hostility to his subject.
appeared as an inexplicable, accidental destruction of the old America into which he had been born” (ibid.). Against this should be set Adams’s denunciations of the slave power.

27. Education, 235.
29. Ibid., 237. Perhaps the influence of Tocqueville’s *Democracy in America* can be seen here.


31. On this development, see the massive ongoing study of constitutional change by Bruce Ackerman, *We the People I: Foundations* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1991), 82 and passim, and *We the People II: Transformations* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1998), passim. See especially *We the People II*, 113–15, for a brief discussion of whether the South was to be treated as a conquered province, an argument central to the Reconstruction plans of Republican radicals.


36. Ibid., 260.
37. Ibid., 260–61.
38. Ibid., 262.
39. Ibid.
40. Ibid., 263.
41. Ibid., 263–67.
42. Ibid., 267. On this point, see note ibid., 619 n. 28. In fact, the number of years to meet the goal was about thirty.
43. Ibid., 249.


45. *Education*, 247. Of course, one may be permitted to doubt the truth of Adams’s claim that he was not worthy of credit. State Street refers to the center of the Boston banking industry.

46. Henry Adams to Hugh Milnes Gaskell, March 7, 1870, in *Letters* 2:65. The article in question was based on work done by his friend Francis Walker, but to protect Walker, who had become census superintendent, Adams assumed full authorial responsibility. See Samuels, *Young Henry Adams*, 192. In one of the running themes of *The Political Education of Henry Adams*, Brooks Simpson contends that Adams often undercut his effectiveness by the violence of his polemical style.
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32. Ibid., 191, 193.

33. Ibid., 294–95.

34. Ibid., 221.

35. Ibid., 281.

36. Ibid., 284–86; emphasis added.

37. Ibid., 296.

38. Ibid., 289.

39. Ibid., 330.

40. Ibid., 329. See also Michael Colacurcio, "Democracy and Esther: Henry

41. See Colacurcio, "Flirtation with Pragmatism," 66; Samuels, *Middle Years*, 255;

42. *Esther*, 333.

43. Ibid., 335.

44. Levenson, *Mind and Art*, 199.
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59. Interestingly, if we are looking for a fixed starting point, the eleventh century seems, on Adams's own account, to be deficient. He admits that while the movement of the twentieth century is fast and furious enough to make us giddy, the eleventh "moved faster and more furiously still." He cites the Norman Conquest and the first crusade. The unity came with the energy with which Europe "flung itself on the East" (Chartres, 371).

60. Levenson, Mind and Art, 270. For a somewhat different view, see Colacurcio, "Dynamo and Angelic Doctor."
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83. Levenson, Mind and Art, 226.
84. Decker, Literary Vocation, 98.
86. Levenson, Mind and Art, 223–24; Henry Adams to Elizabeth Cameron, September 5, 1899, in Letters 5:26. Levenson notes that Adams’s virulence tapered off when the Dreyfus affair came to an end and he turned to more constructive work. “The latter explanation is the one hopeful aspect of a story which is disagreeable in itself and necessarily alarming to a world that has witnessed antisemitism as a catastrophic social event rather than as, in Adams’s case, a datum of personal psychology like insomnia or an addiction to privacy. One consequence of the episode is the occasional use of the word ‘Jew’ which disfigures, albeit inessentially, his late masterpieces—pockmarks of a disease that can be fatal” (Mind and Art, 226).
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Adams requested J. Franklin Jameson, the editor of the *American Historical Review*, to commission Henry Andrew Bumstead, a Columbia University physicist, to review "The Rule." Bumstead "praised the ingenuity of Adams's analogical applications but left no doubt in the historian's mind that he possessed no knowledge, let alone mastery, of the language of scientific thought" (Decker, *Literary Vocation*, 72; see also Samuels, *Major Phase*, 449). The most devastating account of Adams's scientific deficiencies is Jordy, *Scientific Historian*, 131–255.

For a time, Comte had a good deal of influence in American social thought. See also *Mind and Art*, 360. For Levenson on "The Rule," see 358–66. Adams requested J. Franklin Jameson, the editor of the *American Historical Review*, to commission Henry Andrew Bumstead, a Columbia University physicist, to review "The Rule." Bumstead "praised the ingenuity of Adams's analogical applications but left no doubt in the historian's mind that he possessed no knowledge, let alone mastery, of the language of scientific thought" (Decker, *Literary Vocation*, 72; see also Samuels, *Major Phase*, 449). The most devastating account of Adams's scientific deficiencies is Jordy, *Scientific Historian*, 131–255.
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73. Ibid., 217.
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111. There is an arguably deeper problem that Adams does not consider. “If the difficulties caused by technology are, in fact, only problems—say, for example, the problem of unintended side effects—we are certainly well-advised to be looking for solutions. But what if the difficulties attending technology are both integral to its very being and inseparable from its benefits—like the other side of a coin? This would make technology more like a tragedy that begs for understanding and endurance than like a problem that calls for a solution. To put the point starkly: to formulate the question about technology as the problem of technology is itself a manifestation of technological thinking—of the desire to knock down all obstacles, even if only in the mind. To ask about the problem of technology, in fact, exemplifies it.” Leon R. Kass, “Introduction: The Problem of Technology,” in Technology in the Western Political Tradition, ed. Arthur M. Melzer, Jerry Weinberger, and M. Richard Zinman (Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 1993), 9–10.
112. For a provocative survey of this literature, see Winner, Autonomous Technology.
115. Ibid. Apropos Adams’s alleged anti-intellectualism, he and the other Mugwumps were ridiculed as intellectual, antidemocratic snobs because of their adherence to civil service reform. See Richard Hofstadter, Anti-intellectualism in American Life (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1963), 180–85. In fact, Adams had one of his rare periods of popularity when alienated intellectuals took him up during the 1920s (ibid., 409).
117. Ibid., 325; emphasis in original.
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127. Laurie Anderson, program notes for her brilliant *Songs and Tales from Moby Dick*, University Musical Society, Ann Arbor, Mich., September 30, 1999, 6. She also writes, “I fell in love with the idea that the mysterious thing you look for your whole life will eventually eat you alive” (ibid.). It was Anderson who led me to Melville, whose work is very suggestive in dealing with Adams. It should be noted that where she writes “outlives,” Melville writes “lives out.” I think he intends the same thing she does, but if not, then what she says fits the case of Adams very well.
130. Ibid., 81.
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134. Irving Howe, A Margin of Hope (New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1982), 322. In this passage, Howe refers particularly to his friend Richard Hofstadter and also to Lionel Trilling. It seems to me that this understanding of intellectual capacity can readily be applied to Adams as well.

135. I owe the term multivalent to a personal communication from William Merrill Decker.
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