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The other, sacrifice (*ẹbọ*), involved virtually everyone from time to time, whether on the many mundane occasions when individuals sought oracular advice, at the periodic festivals of *oriṣa* when cult activists and the community reaffirmed their links with their divine patrons, or when exceptional sacrifices were needed since it appeared that something had gone seriously wrong and the anger of an *oriṣa* needed appeasement. Sacrifice involved a kind of gift exchange between the sacrificer and the *oriṣa*: something of value—maximally the life of a living creature, even a human being, but also cowries or other items—was offered to the *oriṣa* in anticipation of life being given in return. The maintenance of life, keeping death in abeyance, was indeed the grand objective of YTR, and largely remains so in all the three circles of Yoruba religion.

Ifa has often been seen as the apex or centerpiece of YTR, and in a sense it was: it was arguably the most pan-Yoruba of all the cults, and it played a role in their overall articulation through the mytho-legendary material about them contained in its divinatory verses. Yet there were ambivalences in Ifa’s relationship with the other *oriṣa* cults. Ifa had its own *oriṣa*, Orunmila, whom it represents as superior to the others, indeed as the coeval associate of Olodumare himself. Yet it also stands in contrast to the other cults. Ifa was a strongly male-oriented cult and did not possess the *babalawo*. By contrast, many, if not most, *oriṣa* priests were women, and so too were the great mass of active devotees. The greatest paradox about Ifa was that, despite its centrality to the *oriṣa* religion of Circle 1, it also provided a link to the world religions that would engender Circle 2. This seems to go back to the very origins of Ifa.

The sixteen-options basic form of Ifa shows it to belong to a family of divination systems of West Asian origin, whose vector in sub-Saharan Africa can only have been Islam. But its positively indigenous content suggests it is best regarded as a local response to the challenge of Islamic knowledge, the appropriation of one of its techniques to serve the cosmology that underpinned ancient Ife. Yet Ifa’s emphasis on Olodumare as its ultimate source indicates an opening to monothelism unique within YTR, and many references to Islam within the Ifa corpus indicate a strong awareness of the activities of Muslim clerics; yet at the same time *babalawo* sometimes divined that the solution to a client’s problem was for him to become a Muslim. Ifa, for all that it retells precedents, has always played an important role in legitimating novel responses to new situations: it mediates between continuity and change. Its remarkable degree of openness and disinterestedness eventually extended to Christianity too, and in turn African mission agents gave *babalawo* a degree of respect that they accorded to representatives of no other *oriṣa*. The first local study of YTR by a Yoruba pastor, James Johnson’s *Yoruba Heathenism* (1899), treated Ifa and the *oriṣa* in sharply contrasting ways: Ifa was seen as pointing toward Christianity, whereas the *oriṣa* were seen as the work of Satan. Johnson’s chief informant was a figure from the nascent Circle 3,
an Ijesha babalawo called Arije or Philip Jose Meffre, who converted from a nominal Catholicism to a committed evangelical Christianity when he returned from Brazil in 1862.

CIRCLE 2

The roots of Circle 2 run back to the arrival of Islam in Yorubaland, perhaps four centuries ago, but only in the twentieth century has it been fully realized, during the colonial and postcolonial periods. The process took place in three phases: first, a slow buildup during the nineteenth century, when Islam was joined (from the mid-1840s) by Christian missions; second, mass conversion during the colonial period from the 1890s onward, reaching a tipping point in the 1930s and continuing into the age of high nationalism up to the late 1970s; and third, the emergence within both world religions of new movements more stringently opposed to the surviving forms of oriṣa religion.

The crucial point about the competition between the world religions in the nineteenth century was that it took place under Yoruba rules. Except for an area of northern Yorubaland that fell under Fulani jihadist rule as the Emirate of Ilorin, in the 1830s, Yoruba Islam expanded by peaceful, decentralized means. It was chiefly spread by clerics (alfa) who operated as entrepreneurs, offering their magico-spiritual services to chiefs and people: at the public level it was a matter of means to deal with fires and epidemics, and to bring success in war; to individuals, it was the same kind of guidance, healing, protection, and material benefits that the oriṣa cults offered. The chief Islamic means were a kind of sacrificial offering known as saraa, made through the alfa, and charms made from Koranic texts written on scraps of paper and sewn into leather amulets (tira). Thus Islam became part of the Yoruba system of religious provision, without any strong pressure to convert. If people did decide to convert, there was not much strong pressure on them for further cultural renunciation. Many Yoruba Muslims continued active in the worship of their family’s oriṣa and ancestral cults: the oriṣa were often reconfigured Islamically as maleka (angels).

Initial expectations of Christianity ran along similar lines: seen as another cult of God above, like Islam, and a distinct source of empowerment, giving access to the white man’s power. But in general and officially, the missions could not make this power available in the magico-spiritual forms shared by oriṣa religion and local Islam, though popular Christian belief might tend this way (e.g., the ABD reading primer understood as a protective charm). Moreover, in their early days the missions made very heavy demands for cultural change (e.g., over polygamy, slave-holding, and participation in domestic rituals) and promoted ethical values that were strongly at variance with much of Yoruba life. The long course of instruction and catechizing that preceded baptism actually made it quite difficult to become a
Christian. Christian converts were often seen as a people apart and initially were mostly drawn from marginal social categories. Even in Lagos (annexed by the British in 1861) Islam won many more local converts than Christianity. It was here that radical Yoruba clergy first defined Christianity’s problem as being culturally alien and too close to the European colonial presence.

Yet the British colonization of interior Yorubaland in the 1890s radically changed the conditions of conversion to both world religions, giving a particular fillip to Christianity on account of its association with the white man’s knowledge and its virtual monopoly of Western education. Islam benefited too, especially in areas where it was already well established, but its educational weakness remained a major drawback. On the other hand, Christianity found ways to correct its two main weaknesses: its overall sense of estrangement from Yoruba culture and particularly its inability to address the popular demand for magicomedical services. So Africanization became a main item on its agenda for most of the twentieth century. A key breakthrough was achieved in the Aladura (Praying) movement, which burst on the scene in the 1920s and 1930s. This was led by charismatic prophets who developed Christian means of healing, guidance, protection against evil, and the relief of mundane needs, thus triggering mass movements of conversion, which led to the emergence of new churches that have flourished down to this day. The paradox of Aladura is that while it offered a Christianity well in line with the traditional values of Yoruba religion and incorporating some of its symbolic idioms, it was also much more vehemently hostile to Ifa and the orisa cults than the mainline churches were. In fact the Aladura revivals of 1930–31 brought a massive wave of iconoclasm directed against idols and the association of orisa with demons and other “powers of darkness.”

The 1930s marked a watershed in the history of religion in Yorubaland, and by the early 1950s the world religions could claim a majority of nearly 90 percent, near equally divided between Islam and Christianity. Though orisa religion was in a process of steady contraction—many of the smaller, more local cults seem to have died out in the wake of Aladura activity—cult festivals of major civic importance continued to be celebrated; divinatory and magicomedical services remained in high demand, even among Muslims and Christians, and much of the old cosmological framework still informed the practice of the world religions (e.g., belief in witchcraft and the power of “juju”). The culture of orisa religion also sustained a social ethos of tolerant religious coexistence that served to domesticate the potential intolerance and exclusivism of the monotheisms.11 By the late colonial period, Yoruba religion was conceived as like a stool with three legs, or a crossroads (orita) between three faith traditions.12 This dispensation continued through the nationalist period of the 1940s and 1950s and for nearly twenty years after Nigeria became independent in 1960.

A marked change began to show itself in the late 1970s, with two new developments reaching across Nigeria as a whole. First, the world religions assumed
a much greater saliency in the public sphere, as in the vehement debates about Sharia law that first erupted in 1977–78 during the debates that preceded the establishment of a new civilian constitution; and in the intermittent outbreaks of religious violence between Muslims and Christians, mostly in Northern Nigeria, which began in the early 1980s and have continued up to the present. Though the Yoruba continue as a beacon of religious amity, even here relations between Islam and Christianity are more tense than they used to be before 1980. This is linked to the second development, the rise of new and more strenuous forms of devotion within each faith: charismatic or neo-Pentecostal (born-again) Christianity on the one side, and more rigorous versions of Islamic reformism on the other, which have each gained millions of converts and stamped their assertive presence alike on urban space and the electronic media.

Despite their antagonism, these have several features in common: they are strongly aware of themselves as movements for reform and renewal within their own faith traditions and are aware of themselves as belonging to transnational movements. They are both intensely critical of many of the local adaptations made by their respective faiths and have redoubled their efforts to stigmatize and eliminate what remains of orisha religion. They promote universalizing rather than Africanizing idioms for the expression of their respective faiths: if the drive toward a more normative Middle Eastern style has been going on in Yoruba Islam for decades, the loss of momentum of the Africanizing impetus in Christianity is striking. Though the born-agains had important roots in the Aladura movement, it is common for them to attack such White-garment churches as the Celestial Church of Christ for having incorporated pagan practices. Nollywood movies often incorporate born-again perspectives in their hyperrealistic portrayals of witches and demons, thus serving to perpetuate a distorted simulacrum of traditional belief and practice, while Pentecostal literature attacks wealth-bringing female deities like Mami Wata, Yemoja, or Olokun. Direct iconoclastic assault has in recent decades been more the work of Muslims, with such public displays as egungun masquerades their particular targets. Whilst at Oyo it is Islam that encroaches upon the royal rituals of Sango, at Ife the Ooni, the very reincarnation of Oduduwa, gives ground to pressure from evangelical Christianity. Ooni Sijuade has renounced his divine status and the title of Alaye (Ruler of the World) that expressed it, while his forceful senior wife, a zealot of the Christ Apostolic Church, has built her own chapel in the palace and sponsors a nativity play that stages the downfall and replacement of orisha religion. It is an irony indeed that over a period when Ife has become a pilgrimage center for orisha devotees from the New World, its indigenous traditional priesthood experiences, as Jacob Olupona puts it, “despair and outrage, . . . gasping for air in a restricted space, occupied by . . . hostile forces.”

Yet orisha religion is so closely related to the glories of Yoruba culture, and to what makes the Yoruba distinctive as a people, that the demonization of it to
which they have been led by their convictions as Christians or Muslims has never been their only response to it. Christians particularly have developed another strategy to make the oríṣa safe, which is to secularize them by taking them out of the category of religion altogether. This began with the euhemeristic interpretation of oríṣa by African pastors of the missions: they were seen as heroes, founder kings, ancestors, or great men deified after their deaths, thus enabling them to be treated with respect. In recent years, a highly reified concept of culture has been used to present the annual festivals of major patronal deities as cultural festivals, celebrations of the community and its history rather than as religion (though not everyone, including some obas themselves, has felt able to accept this redefinition). No part of YTR has been more important in this regard than Ifa; no group of indigenous religious specialists has won the same kind of respect from Christian pastors and Muslim alfa as did the babalawo. The idea of Ifa as Yoruba philosophy or as a great cultural archive remains very influential among Yoruba intellectuals down to this day. Here we have a perspective among a minority of well-educated Yoruba, even at a time when the dominant movements in Islam and Christianity so strongly negate everything to do with traditional religion, that is able to connect with the flourishing practice of oríṣa religion in the Americas.

CIRCLE 3

Clearly oríṣa religion could not cross the Atlantic under the conditions that it did without major changes in its social bearings, its organization, and (most problematically) its content. It is the last of these that has most engaged scholars, concerned as they have been to celebrate the African achievement, under the most adverse circumstances, in saving such a large portion of their religious heritage and the values implicit in it. The extent to which this happened was variable, depending both on the culture and capacity of particular groups of slaves and on the institutional conditions into which they were received.

The distinctiveness of the Yoruba case can be pinpointed through two contrasts. First, although many different African groups succeeded in establishing something of their old religion in New World settings, the success of the Yoruba in so doing—above all in the shape of Santería in Cuba and Candomblé in Brazil—is outstanding. This seems due partly to the inherent durability yet adaptability of the forms, both cultural and institutional, by which oríṣa religion was carried and partly to the historical contingency of the numbers and timing of Yoruba slave imports to the Americas. While Yorubaland had supplied slaves to the Atlantic trade during the eighteenth century—it had been a major source of revenue for the Oyo Empire—the great surge in slaves of Yoruba origin came only from the 1810s and peaked as late as 1826–50. That was due precisely to the collapse of Old Oyo, which created a regional power vacuum and decades of internecine warfare
across the whole of Yoruba country. The resultant human debris fed the continuing demand for slaves, both local and international. Since both Great Britain and France had by the 1830s abolished slavery in their colonies and outlawed the slave trade, this late flood of Yoruba slaves passed by illicit channels into the Spanish and Portuguese colonies, where slavery was abolished much later (Cuba in 1886; Brazil in 1888).

The other condition for the survival of so much of *oriṣa* religion came from the side of the slaveowners, where the key factor was whether they were Catholic (especially Iberian) or Protestant. The contrast between the religious outcomes in Cuba, Brazil, and Haiti on the one hand, and Jamaica or the Anglo-American colonies (or states) on the other, with Trinidad (passing from Spanish through French to British control) an interesting hybrid case, is striking. The Catholic powers were prepared to recognize and accept the existence of African nations and to allow associations based on them a limited sphere of activity, which clearly worked to facilitate the survival of ethnic religious traditions, more than the Protestant powers were. This was further facilitated by the Catholic institution of the *cabildo* or religious fraternity. For the Yoruba in particular, the Catholic cult of saints was important in providing a framework within which *oriṣa* worship, first perhaps disguised and then genuinely synthesized with elements of Catholic devotion, could be continued. Most *oriṣa* devotees no doubt regarded themselves as Catholics too and participated in Catholic festivals. Nevertheless the Yoruba-derived ritual complexes did not simply maintain a sense of themselves as such but also developed organizationally, ritually, and theologically, as they responded to the challenges of the new contexts. This is not to be grasped within the terms of the model put forward by Melville J. Herskovits, in which a single continuum runs between poles labeled “most African” and “most acculturated to Euro-Catholic norms.” Rather, as David Brown has put it, “borrowed ‘non-African’ narratives may have helped recrystallize heterogeneous resources into a modern, theologically rationalized religion.”

*Oriṣa* religion has evolved as a belief system in the Americas in three principal ways:

1. The number of deities worshipped has been greatly reduced from the total that must have originally been taken across the Atlantic, still less the 401 (i.e., indefinitely numerous) *oriṣa* that are conventionally said to have existed in Circle 1.
2. The main survivors—Sango, Ogun, Yemoja, Esu-Elegba, Obatala (Orisanla in Brazil), Ososi, Osun, Oya, Sopona (Babaluaye)—are particularly characteristic of Oyo and the Center and northwest rather than of eastern Yorubaland. Among these Sango, the royal deity of Oyo, has risen to a position of such overall preeminence that in some regions his name has eclipsed all others (e.g., Shango in Trinidad, Xango in parts of Brazil). On the other
hand there are the contrasting outcomes for Ifa (Orunmila), which virtually died out in Brazil but flourished greatly in Cuba. At first sight it seems surprising that it is this way round, since far more Yoruba people were taken as slaves to Bahia than to the Spanish Caribbean, and there was also a great deal more flux and reflux between Yorubaland and Brazil than there was with Cuba. The names of some prominent babalawo are known. By comparison it seems due to contingent (or at least not fully explained) circumstances that Ifa became so solidly established in Havana in the late nineteenth century through the efforts of five Yoruba-born babalawo who are recognized as the founders of the main branches of the entire Regla de Ifa in Cuba and the Cuban diaspora down to today, with far-reaching consequences.

A further point may perhaps be made about the varying fortunes of Yoruba orisha in these new settings. Although I strongly incline to a creolist overall perspective in the interpretation of Cuban or Brazilian phenomena—that is, one that fully accepts their authenticity as such rather than evaluating them primarily as more or less effective vehicles for the transmission of pregiven African traits—it is important to stress that some New World developments seem also to be extensions of cultural dynamics already evident in nineteenth-century Yorubaland. Two examples come to mind. First, the same features of the Sango cult that fueled its expansion from its Oyo heartland into areas to the east and south during the Age of Confusion after the collapse of the Oyo Empire continued overseas as occasions presented themselves, whether in Brazil or the Caribbean. Second, the way in which Lucumi religion in Cuba became bifurcated between what Brown calls “Ifa-centric” and “Ocha-centric” ritual fields, the former male-oriented and the latter female-oriented, while it has to be seen as a distinctly Cuban development, is still also the further working out of a cultural logic that was already evident (and noted by our first contemporary witnesses, native CMS agents) in mid-nineteenth-century Yorubaland.

3. Orisha religion has become markedly more “pantheonized,” in David Brown’s term, or rationalized as a unified, hierarchical system. Not surprisingly, in Cuba this was mainly the work of babalawo, though an analogous process occurred more spontaneously in Brazil. Although it is now common for scholars of Yoruba religion in Nigeria to speak of a “pantheon” of deities—by analogy with Greco-Roman religion—this is quite misleading, at least for the nineteenth century, for a reason already indicated: What existed in concrete reality was less a single Yoruba religion than a range of distinct cult complexes that varied from one town and region to another. In Yorubaland the different orisha did not share temples or festivals as they did in the New World—in fact there was a lot of rivalry between them—though the
festivals of the oríṣa found in one town would usually be coordinated with one another in that town’s unique ritual calendar. But the Sango, Osun, or Oríṣa Oko festivals were not synchronized with one another across different towns: there was no pan-Yoruba equivalent of saints’ days.

Again, individuals or compounds might have collections of oríṣa, which could all be brought out together on special occasions, but these were contingent accumulations, not “pantheons.” Ifa verses do tell many stories about the relations between oríṣa, and can be used to construct a systematized pantheon, but Ifa does not really go very far in that direction itself. Since Ifa contains a vast collection of mostly quite short narratives dealing with a particular subject—primordial episodes of oracular consultation—it is in itself much less “pantheonic” than such grand mythological narratives as the Hindu Mahābhārata or Hesiod’s Theogony. Yet the babalawo, intellectuals as they were, were certainly adept at rationalizations that pointed in a pantheonic direction. So when babalawo in Ibadan in 1854 wanted to justify oríṣa worship to critical missionaries, they argued that the relationship of the oríṣa to God was analogous to that between the junior titleholders and the Balẹ of the town, both acting as intermediaries between ordinary folk and the supreme power. Other Cuban developments—the reduced number of oríṣa, the notion of a single Lucumi religion, the bringing together of different oríṣa in one temple, the acquisition by a new initiate of several oríṣa at the same time—must all have encouraged the babalawo to realize more fully the pantheon potential latent in circle-1 oríṣa religion. Here it is hard to resist the conclusion that, just as it was missionary outsiders in Yorubaland who first discursively fashioned Yoruba heathenism (YTR), so it was practitioners in the outside of the Americas who first created the reality of a single Yoruba religion.

The Yoruba religion of Circle 3 has been in continuous development. Perhaps we may discern three main stages of this: its initial formation from existing materials and traditions carried directly from West Africa in the nineteenth century; a period of consolidation and relative stability in the first half of the twentieth century (when it first became the object of positive academic study by scholars like Fernando Ortiz, R. Nina Rodrigues, Melville J. Herskovits, Roger Bastide, Ruth Landes, and others); and the later twentieth century, which has brought not only further internal development but also a dramatic expansion, particularly into North America. The background conditions of this last phase are diverse, but include a new national consciousness in many Latin American countries, such that indigenous and African traditions, long despised by their white criollo elites, came to be valorized; the impact of the Cuban revolution, ranging from the vicissitudes of its cultural policies toward religion (or religions) at home, to the growth in the USA of a large Cuban exile community that carried Santería with it; and a new cultural politics of race in the USA that led growing numbers
of African-Americans to recover or recreate what they regarded as more authentically African forms of religion.

The tension between re-Africanization and universalist outreach is perhaps the crucial dilemma faced by the Yoruba religion of Circle 3 today. There are important differences between its two main forms: Yoruba reversionism, as found among African-Americans, and Yorubización among Cubans and Cuban-Americans. For the former, the primary impetus to re-Africanization has been a search for racial integrity, of which the archetypal product is Oyotunji (Oyo Revived) village in South Carolina. In this reinvented Yoruba community, a whole ritual cycle of festivals of major *óríṣa* has been instituted, and a roots divination introduced to provide clients with a Yoruba ancestry. The career of *Ọba* Adefunmi, Oyotunji’s founder, exemplifies the tensions in the relations between black American Yoruba reversionists and the Cuban *Santeros* who introduced *óríṣa* religion to the United States from the late 1940s. Adefunmi (or Walter S. King, as he then was) had been led from a more eclectically African phase (with Akan and Dahomean elements) to a more specifically Yoruba one through the influence of a *Santero*, Cristóbal Oliana, from 1959 onward. A decade later, he had moved into black-nationalist politics and broke his previous ties with *Santería*. The idea of receiving a black religion from practitioners who included whites was unacceptable, and Adefunmi turned toward a more thorough and deliberate reinvention of Yoruba religious practice, including getting direct legitimation from the *Ọọ́ni* of Ife. Here the ironies really start to pile up, for as we have seen the *Ọọ́ni* is a Christian subject to strong Pentecostal influence, while the Nigerians saw Adefunmi and his people as oyinbo (Europeans).

But re-Africanization and universalist outreach do not have to be so sharply opposed. As Stephan Palmié has shown, in contrast to how race has been culturally constructed in Anglo-America, where blackness and Africanity were mutually indexical, in Cuba “‘Africanity’ and ‘blackness’ just did not match up against each other.” This made it much more likely for Africanity to offer values of universal human relevance, so that *óríṣa* religion could at least aspire to be a world religion. The prime motive of re-Africanization or *Yorubización* then becomes the conviction that the purest, most authentic, most effective forms of ritual and doctrine are to be sought at their point of origin. Although this notion is found in very many religions, its precise emphasis varies: in *óríṣa* religion it is on geographical origin (specifically on Ile-Ife as the site of the cosmogony); in Christianity and Islam it is more on temporal origin, on the age when divine incarnation or revelation occurred.

Whatever the primary motive, the impetus to re-Africanize has led to a search for authoritative or (what might be called) truly traditional knowledge about *óríṣa* religion in its homeland, for application in the diaspora. Partly this has been sought from the large body of academic and quasi-academic literature on
the Yoruba—by scholars of religion, anthropologists, art historians, experts in oral (especially Ifa) literature, and so on—and partly it has come from personal contact with Yoruba priests, babalawo, herbalists, drummers, and other specialists. Orisha devotees have traveled from the New World to be initiated by and to learn from religious authorities in Nigeria, while Yoruba specialists have gone the other way, to offer their services and to build up followings or spiritual clientages across the Atlantic.\textsuperscript{33} With the deep decline of orisha devotion in Yorubaland, these emerging markets for authentic ritual knowledge in the New World are an enticing opportunity for those with the relevant expertise. The most prestigious of these traveling experts or episcopi vagantes is surely Professor Wande Abimbola, who bears the title (conferred on him by the Ooni of Ife) of Awiše ni Agbaye (Spokesperson for Ifa throughout the World).\textsuperscript{34} He has been active in the World Orisha Conferences held periodically since 1981, which have tended to promote Nigerian Yoruba practice as normative—and significantly won more support in Brazil than in Cuba, with its own distinctive and strongly instituted Regla de Ifa.

A paradoxical reversal lurks in these moves toward re-Africanization, insofar as these can be justified as a kind of desyncretization: the removal of Catholic accretions to bring orisha religion back to its pure, primordial form.\textsuperscript{35} For in most writing about modern African religion, the term “syncretism” has been used to express this concern when directed the other way: the anxiety of Christians and Muslims of the mainline traditions that distinctively African manifestations of those faiths (e.g., healing practices in Aladura churches, Sufi devotional rituals) have become corrupted by “pagan” elements.\textsuperscript{16} As I argued above, the dominant movements in contemporary Nigeria, both Muslim and Christian, are run strongly against such “contamination” of their own traditions. So a war against syncretism appears to be the ordre du jour across the whole religious field. But while a notion of syncretism as spiritual danger seems to sit easily with doctrinally grounded faiths addressed to a single, jealous God “of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob,” it is hard to see how it can be applied plausibly or coherently to orisha religion, which was both undogmatic and accommodative to new cults joining its ensemble. Moreover, it appears that a certain spirit of mix-and-match arising from within American culture is producing fresh syncretisms, whether with various forms of “Afrikan” Protestant Christianity, with New Age spiritualities, or with other Afrocentric traditions. Where more likely than New Jersey to find an Egbe Sankofa Kingdom of the Gods of Afrika?\textsuperscript{37}

Iifa has always been a critical interface between orisha religion and the scriptural monotheisms. Early on, these latter were often seen in terms of Ifa—for example, as where their scriptures were seen as analogous to the signs made in the dust on the diviner’s tray and treated as a vehicle of predictive prophecy—but later, as Islam and Christianity acquired a general hegemony over the Yoruba religious field, the assimilation has tended to go the other way. The Church of Orunmila, which
appeared in the 1920s, modeled its services upon those of Protestant churches; and although this appealed to only a small minority, it has become common for educated Yoruba to read Ifa in a fundamentalist fashion, similarly to how Muslims regard the text of the Koran or evangelical Christians conceive of the Bible: as an inerrant, unchanging, pristine transcript of God’s Word, set in a primordial Ile-Ife. When this perspective is applied to Ifa in other, non-Yoruba settings, as in early twentieth-century Dahomey (as recorded by Bernard Maupoil for the Fon diviner Gedegbe) or in the Regla de Ifa in Cuba, any variations in its content will have to be seen as deviations from or corruptions of its pristine character. That this is false to the historical reality of how Ifa has been produced, as an evolving and ever self-adapting system of practical oracular wisdom, is cogently shown by Noel Amherd in his study of Ifa in Ijebu Remo.

In recent years the question has been raised of “orisha devotion as world religion,” as in the title of an edited volume based on the papers of a large conference on Yoruba religion held in Miami in 1999. Does the evident globalization of a religion so far strongly identified with its ethnolinguistic origins indicate that it has the potential truly to become “a world religion,” as the volume editors suggest? Certainly “Yoruba” religion is a very different case from the sort of “glocalized” religious phenomenon represented by, say, Mouridism among Senegalese migrants in Paris or New York or the Deobandi-derived Tablighi Jamaat among Pakistani migrants and their descendants in London, in that Yoruba are not now its primary vectors but people from the Hispanic Caribbean or African-Americans. When Nigerian Yoruba go to the U.K. or the USA, they are overwhelmingly more likely to set up branches of the Redeemed Christian Church of God or ofNASFAT than congregations of orishi. Yet some Yoruba cultural entrepreneurs, including a few converts to the orisha from Christianity, have made contact with African-Americans and produced forms of orisha worship that incorporate a declamatory, testifying style like what is found in North American Protestantism. Ironically, that same style, taken eastward across the Atlantic by Pentecostal evangelists (more white than black) over recent decades, has become naturalized in born-again Christianity in Nigeria. The outcomes of this cross-play of religious forces—in North America between Nigerian Yoruba migrants and visitors, African-Americans, and Latino orichá devotees—on both sides of the Atlantic remain wide open.

Despite the positive appeal of re-Africanization for many of those drawn to orisha religion today, whether for racial or religious reasons, the strains between it and global outreach can only grow. Religions can only become world religions if they are able to loosen their links with their racial and linguistic origins and adjust their distinctive forms to a wide range of new situational demands. Classic Santería and Candomblé were successful in doing this, but syncretism—in Bengt Sundkler’s sense of “new wine [i.e., meeting religious demand from non-Yoruba in non-African settings] in old wineskins [i.e., Yoruba cultural forms]” was integral
to it. Even the monotheistic world religions, for all their inherent suspicion of syncretism, have in their expansion depended on employing a good deal of it in practice, though their adherents are prone to disavow it. What is certain is that these cultural struggles of oríṣa religion within Circle 3 can be resolved only there, despite the importance of cultural resources from Circle 1 (especially when conveyed by ritual experts from Nigeria). For granted the strength of anti-oríṣa sentiment in Circle 2, the cultural resource-base for Nigerian intervention in oríṣa religion outside Africa can be expected only to erode further in years to come. If this happens, it may even come about—and not for the first time, as witness the Amish or the Shakers—that a religion of Old World origins makes its primary home in the New.

I have treated Circle 1 as the baseline for two divergent lines of historical development: The first is a story of oríṣa religion’s contraction in situ, where many contextual features remain in place, whereas the second is a story of expansion in radically new contexts of the diaspora, which have resulted in a push-and-pull between adaptation to new demands and struggles to maintain or recover the tradition. This contrast poses a general issue so far not addressed. Are we merely dealing with two different histories with a common starting point but driven each by its own contingencies? The comparison may have usefully pinpointed some of these, but can we also derive from it a theoretical understanding of the differences that they have produced? To do this, we need to be able to typify the two religious outcomes—the Abrahamic faiths as practiced by Nigerian Yoruba in Circle 2 and the oríṣa religion of Circle 3—in such a way as to be able to match them with a fitting general characterization of the circumstances in which they exist.

What first comes to mind here is Robin Horton’s contrast between a cultic focus on a host of subordinate deities as against a High God or Supreme Being, correlating respectively with the local community (microcosm) and a world of wider social relations (macrocosm). But this contrast does not allow us to place Circle 3 in a coherent or persuasive way, since its cosmology of multiple deities does not correspond to a sociology of localized relations. Rather, the deities transited from their original microcosm(s), proving themselves equally serviceable to their devotees in the relative macrocosm of the plantations and towns of Cuba and Brazil with their populations of heterogeneous origins; and then onward to the greater macrocosm of the cities of eastern North America. On the way they took on a further macrocosmic dimension not embraced within Horton’s theory: they attracted fresh devotees of diverse origins, many of non-Yoruba or even non-African origin. So it is hard to see that the worship of oríchás in Havana, Miami, or New York, or of orixás in Bahia or Rio de Janeiro, is functionally either more or less microcosmic or macrocosmic than the Islam or Christianity practiced in Lagos or Ibadan.
Another dimension of religious difference seems more promising: How far, or in what respects, is any religion, or religion as such, to be seen as an instrumental or an expressive phenomenon—that is, as providing its adherents with a set of adaptive instruments or of intrinsic satisfactions? Most religions have something of both, but Christianity in the modern West has relinquished most of its erstwhile instrumental functions to science-based technology and medicine, leaving itself largely an expressive phenomenon. The instrumental and expressive aspects of religion may each take various forms, some more intrinsic and some more contingent, but for present purposes the two most pertinent are, first, where religion is an instrument for the “explanation, prediction and control” (in Horton’s phrase) of this-worldly phenomena, and second, where it becomes the vehicle for the expression of an ethnic or racial identity. A strongly instrumental attitude toward the sacred was largely transferred from Circle 1, through the experience of conversion, into the Yoruba Christianity (and Islam) of Circle 2. Those who carried the orisha with them in their hearts across the Atlantic into the nascent Circle 3 no doubt fully shared this orientation too, initially with no sense of their expressing thereby a racial or ethnic identity but merely one of devotion to their own orisha or Ifa as their personal guardian and helper. For the orisha of Circle 1, where it all began, were not at that stage marked as Yoruba, still less as black or as part of a system that some others called idolatry. But under the conditions of Circle 3, where slaves (and later freedmen) of Yoruba origin mingled with many different ethnic others, as well as with a white racial other, some kind of ethnoracial marking became inevitable. So in Cuba a Lucumi religion came into being—in Brazil, Nago—which was probably the first time that the practitioners (as against the missionary opponents) of orisha religion came to see it as a single, overall entity, as a religion.

What did not happen in Cuba was that it became marked as a black religion, as happened later in the USA. Is it possible to say why Cubans who were phenotypically white not only joined Santería but sometimes became deep experts in its African knowledge? At this point, Palmié’s argument seems to shift into a different epistemological register, for he turns to explain this through the agency of the orisha themselves: it was not the white initiates who chose their orisha but the orisha who chose them. Thus it becomes irrelevant to ask about the motives of the white Cubans who joined Santería. That certainly accords with what he describes as the “racially unmarked theology of recruitment” of Afro-Cuban religion, which surely has roots in the open recruitment patterns of some orisha in the Yoruba homeland. But whenever was theology a sufficient condition for the religious choices of human beings? Granted the racial barriers of Cuban society in the nineteenth and the early twentieth century, it seems probable that it was instrumental concerns—“to improve their health or to reverse streaks of bad luck”—rather than expressive ones that first drew whites to the orisha, with the expressive satisfactions of cult membership coming later; but it seems unlikely that we can ever
really know. Walter S. King (later Oba Adefunmi), by contrast, seems to have been drawn first to the expressive aspects of orisa religion and later to the instrumental uses of Ifa divination. So neither does the instrumental/expressive distinction serve to explain the difference between the paths taken by Circle 2 and Circle 3. So our quest comes to an end in contingency, indeterminacy, and the unpredictability accorded to the orisa themselves.

THE CONCLUSION, IN WHICH NOTHING IS CONCLUDED

“It was now the time of the inundation of the Nile. A few days after their visit to the catacombs the river began to rise. [Rasselas and his companions] were confined to their house. The whole region being under water gave them no invitations to any excursions, and, being well supplied with materials for talk, they diverted themselves with comparisons of the different forms of life, which they had observed, and with various schemes of happiness, which each of them had formed.”

In his poised and lambent prose, Samuel Johnson—the other one!—takes us back to where the comparative method began, in the social thought of the Enlightenment. The Grand Cham of English letters was not a systematic theorist, still less a writer whom we consider a founding father of social anthropology, yet in The History of Rasselas, Prince of Abissinia, he shows how fully he shared its cognitive and moral assumptions. More an extended philosophical fable than a novel, Rasselas drew its empirical inspiration from the account of Ethiopia written by a Portuguese Jesuit, Fr. Jerónimo Lobo, of which Johnson had published an abridged translation. His own immersion in the comparativism of the age is well evident in how he commends the credibility of Lobo’s account: “Here are no Hottentots without religion, polity or articulate language, no Chinese perfectly polite and completely skilled in all the sciences”; or in how he makes his characters in Rasselas turn to ethnographic comparison as they play with the central question of the book—to which Johnson refuses a definite answer—What is the path to human happiness?

Rasselas first appeared in 1759, that annum mirabilis for the emergent British Empire, when the Atlantic slave trade was close to its height yet the abolitionist movement was starting to gain momentum. Johnson was well known for his passionate opposition to slavery, as well as for his personal sympathy for black people. His book gained a large readership on both sides of the Atlantic, but it seems to have resonated particularly with the experience and aspiration of some of the black victims of the slave trade. It makes sense that Rasselas was a name not uncommonly given to (or taken by) liberated slaves, like the Rasselas Belfield (d. 1822) who lies under a handsome gravestone in the churchyard of Bowness-on-Windermere in the English Lake District. For Rasselas was an African prince,
and in identifying with him these former slaves were asserting an ancestral dignity, thus mildly anticipating the African-Americans who adopt Yoruba names through the roots divination offered at Oyotunji village. More than that, Johnson’s book gave a significant boost toward that image of Abyssinia or Ethiopia as an idealized African homeland or the focus of an authentic spiritual life for people of African descent, from the Rastafarians to the Ethiopian Church that Adeniran Oke proclaimed to his fellow Yoruba in the aftermath of the influenza pandemic of 1918. Of course, all this goes much further than what Johnson intended—but that is the way with potent stories. Rasselas has often been compared with Voltaire’s Candide, but the archetype of the Happy Valley from which Rasselas escaped in search of knowledge of the world’s diversity is surely the Eden of Milton’s Paradise Lost. An essential feature of both stories—in contrast to the various attempts to reverse history by a returning to a primordial Ife or Mecca, places where the lineaments of the good life are divinely fixed—is that the principal actors are not able to return to the paradisal state but have to go forth to make an original history through their choices:

The world was all before them, where to choose
Their place of rest, and Providence their guide.
They, hand in hand, with wandering steps and slow,
Through Eden took their solitary way.
GLOSSARY OF YORUBA AND ARABIC TERMS
APPEARING IN THE TEXT AND NOTES

YORUBA

adua  prayer (Muslim) [Tones: low, high, low]
adura  prayer (Christian) [Tones: low, high, low]
afin  palace [Tones: low, mid]
agbada  man’s gown [Tones: mid, high, high]
aiye  age, world, time [Tones: mid, high]
alasalatu  member of women’s Muslim prayer group [Tones: mid, high, low, high, low]
alfa  Muslim cleric [Tones: low, high]
aluFa  Christian clergyman [Tones: low, low, high]
babalawo  diviner, priest of Ifa [Tones: third syllable high, others mid]
balogun  war chief, warlord [Tones: mid, high, mid]
Basorun  Oyo’s main subroyal chief [Tones: mid, low, mid]
egbe  club, society, association [Tones: mid, high]
esin  religion (especially world religion) [Tones: low, low]
ilu  town, community [Tones: low, high]
Imale  Muslim [Tones: low, unmarked middle, low]
iton  story, historical narrative [Tones: low, low]
Ijemmu  Imam [Tones: low, high, low]
mogaji  Ibadan lineage head [Tones: high, low, high]
Nobi  deputy to Imam [Tones: high, -, high]
oba  king, ruler [Tones: mid, mid]
oду  sacred division of Ifa verses [Tones: mid, low]
ọlaju  enlightened person [Tones: low, high, high]
ologun  warrior, war leader [Tones: mid, high, mid]
oogun  medicine [Tones: mid, low, low]
oriki  praise name [Tones: mid, then high and low on each -i-]
orisa  traditional deity [Tones: low, low, low]
oyinbo  white man, Westerner [Tones: low, low, high]
ratibi  minor local mosque, from “stipend” in Arabic [Tones: low, mid, low]
saraa  sacrifice, alms, religious feast [Tones: low, high, high]
waka  Islamic song, specially heard in Ramadan [Tones: high, low]
woli  Christian prophet [Tones: low, high-low]

ARABIC

alhaji  pilgrim to Mecca
bid’a  heresy
dhimmi  protected non-Muslim
hadith  recognized traditions of the Prophet’s sayings
hajj  annual pilgrimage to Mecca
hijab  women’s head scarf
hijra  emigration (esp. of the Prophet from Mecca to Medina)
jahiliyya  pre-Islamic supposed disorder
jumat  Friday prayers
mujaddid  centennial revivalist of the Islamic faith
muqaddam  facilitator, especially in Sufi rituals
salaf  ancestor, Muslim of the early generations
shirk  idolatry
sunna  the way of the Prophet
tafsir  interpretation, exegesis
tahajjud  voluntary night prayer	 takfir  excommunication, expulsion from Islam
tariqa  a Sufi order or fellowship
tawhid  unity (of God)
ulama  Muslim clerics (sing. alim)
umma  the Muslim community at large