Hazard or Hardship

Hilgert, Jeffrey

Published by Cornell University Press

Hilgert, Jeffrey.
Hazard or Hardship: Crafting Global Norms on the Right to Refuse Unsafe Work.

For additional information about this book
https://muse.jhu.edu/book/43572
Index

Note: Page numbers followed by t and n indicate tables and notes.

ABC News, 5–6
Aberfan disaster, Wales, 121–25
Air Line Pilots Association, 27
Alleluia Cushion Co., Inc., worker association-based norm and NLRB, 72–76, 82–85, 83t
Allied Chemical Company, 21
American Federation of Teachers, 27
American Oil Company, 25
Anaconda (Mercier), 27
Antiregulatory business ideologies. See Countermobilization, of businesses and employers; Washington Consensus
Are Workers’ Rights Human Rights? (McIntrye), 39
Arrowhead Concrete Works, 4–5
Asbestos Recommendation, of ILO, 66
Ashford, Nicolas, 21, 22
AT&T, 27
Atleson, James, 33, 36
Bachrach, Peter, 47
B.A. Oil Company, 18
Baratz, Morton, 47
Beaudry, Jean, 126
Belgium, 149, 156
Bias. See Mobilization of bias
Blakeney, Allan, 131
Blanchard, Francis, 141–42
Blanco, Kathleen, 7, 173n10
Bootlegger, Inc. v. Couture, 96
BP America, 7
Brion, Arturo, 97
Brown, Douglas, 7
Brown, Richard, 18–19, 55, 97
Business Roundtable, 52
Business Week, 22, 26

Canada
collective bargaining in, 17–19
Ham Commission, 125–130
ILO Convention No. 155 effectiveness
and, 90–99, 93r, 117–18
ILO Convention No. 155 negotiations
and, 140–44, 148, 149
worker opposition to new refusal
formulas, 130–39
Canadian Manufacturers Association, 135
Carey, Alex, 51
Carlson, Duane, 4–5
Carter, Chris, 44
Carter administration, 26
Chambishi Copper Mine, Zambia, 6
Chang, Ha-Joon, 10
Chavez, Cesar, 26
Chemicals Recommendation, of ILO,
66–67
Citizenship rights, in workplace, 2–3
Clegg, Stewart, 44
Cobb, Martin, 151, 158
Collective bargaining, Ham Commission
and, 128–29
Collective bargaining, hazard definition
and, 15–34
national level empowerment, 16–23
refusal rights as human rights, 28–34
safety and health in work environment
and, 23–28
Cominco mining company, 27
Commission de la santé et de la sécurité du
travail (CSST), 96
Committee of Experts on the Application
of Conventions and Recommendations
(CEACR), 58
Committee on Economic, Social and
Cultural Rights (CESCR)
establishment of, 29
ILO Convention No. 155 and, 29–32
meaningful participation principle
and, 32
right to human health and, 30–32
Committee on Freedom of Association
(CFA), 58, 60
Commodification of labor. See “Labor is
not a commodity” principle
Commoner, Barry, 25
Common law tradition, individual
employment rights and, 36–37
Communications Workers of America, 27
Compa, Lance, 39
Congress of Industrial Organizations
(CIO), 27
Countermobilization, of businesses and
employers, 50–52
Cowie, Jefferson, 41–42
de Bruijn, A., 151
decision making, mobilization of bias
and, 43, 46–47, 50
Declaration of Fundamental Principles and
Rights at Work statement, of ILO, 12
Declaration of Philadelphia, of ILO, 9–10,
58
Deepwater Horizon oil rig, 7
Department of Labor, OSHA and, 101–2
Devine, Grant, 131
Dismissal protection, ILO and freedom of
association, 60, 62, 157
Dominion Foundries and Steel Company,
135
Douglas, Thomas C., 131
Dunlop, John, 11, 43–44
Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC),
of UN, 29
England. See Great Britain
Environmental protection, collective
bargaining, hazard definition, and,
23–28
Evans, Tony, 30
Fabian industrial relations theory, 120–21,
124–25
False consensus, 49
Final investigation report (FIR), of
OSHA, 104–5
Fitzsimmons, Frank, 26
Flexibility issues, 12, 22, 71, 88–89, 166–67
France, 146, 149
Freedom of association
CECSR and, 32
dismissal protection and, 60, 62, 157
Ham Commission and, 128–29
individual employment rights and,
39–40, 51
international labor law standards and,
58–63
preorganizational activity and, 54–55,
58–60, 62
right to strike and, 60–62
Frymer, Paul, 37
Gaventa, John, 46–49, 50
General Motors, 24–25
Germany, 156
Gizbert, Richard, 5–6
Gladstone, Arthur L., 126
Glass Bottle Blowers union, 27
Globalization, ILO Convention No. 155
negotiations, 144–46
Global labor standards. See International
labor law
“Good faith belief,” 56
Goodrich, Carter, 17
Gray, Cameron, 126
Gray, Garry C., 95–96
Great Britain
Aberfan disaster, Robens Commit-
tee Report, and evolution of global
refusal framework, 121–25
ILO Convention No. 155 negotiations
and, 142, 143, 146, 149, 151, 154–55,
158
Grounded theory method, 50, 178n54
Guenther, George, 22
Ham, James M., 126
Ham Commission, in Canada, 125–130,
133–35
Harcourt, Mark, 98
Hardy, Cynthia, 48
Hazard-threshold-based norm, ILO
Convention No. 155 and, 53–57,
63–72, 82–85, 83r
adjudicating hazards, 67–69
mandating managerial procedures, 69
qualification by national policies and
practices, 69–72
Hebdon, Robert, 94
Henley, Jack, 73–76
Hirschman, Albert, 51
Hôtel-Dieu de Québec v. Lévesque, 96
Human health, CESCR and right to, 30–32
Hume, Frederick, 126
Hurricane Katrina, 7, 173n10
ILO Convention No. 155, effectiveness of
refusal rights, 86–118
burden of proof and employer defenses,
109–13, 110r
Canadian policy and, 90–99, 93t,
117–18
complainant and investigator relations-
ships, 113–15
flexibility clause and, 88–89
General Survey on Occupational Safety
and Health, 89–90, 89t
in liberal market economies, 90–92
nations ratifying, 86–87, 87t
objective versus constructive concerted
activities, 115–17, 116t
U.S. policy and, 90–91, 99–109, 101t,
105t, 117–18
ILO Convention No. 155, generally, 28,
29–32, 33
ILO Convention No. 155, hazard-
threshold-based norm and, 53–57,
63–72, 82–85, 83r
adjudicating hazards, 67–69
mandating managerial procedures, 69
qualification by national policies and
practices, 69–72
ILO Convention No. 155, ideological
origins of, 119–139
Canada and Ham Commission,
125–130
Canada and worker opposition to new
refusal formula, 130–39
developing market-based models,
119–121
Great Britain and Robens Committee
Report, 121–25
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ILO Convention No. 155, negotiation of “safe” rights versus social justice, 140–158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cultural frameworks and tripartite negotiations, 144–151, 150t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>final negotiations and altered text of draft, 151–55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>initial long-term plans and drafting processes, 140–43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 28 on right to refuse, 143–44 use of power and, 155–58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Imminent and serious danger” standard, 15, 18, 21, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual employment rights, 35–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>human rights issues and, 37–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>key characteristics, 36–37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mobilization of bias and, 45–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>political and social exclusion, 42–45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social mobilization thesis, 40–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury benefit systems, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interests, study of, in power process, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal responsibility system (IRS), in Canada, 55, 92–99, 125–130, 133–38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Bill of Human Rights, 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), 28–29, 30–31, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International labor law, 53–85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freedom of association standards and, 58–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILO Convention No. 155 and hazard-threshold-based norm, 53–57, 63–72, 82–85, 83t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLRB Alleluia Cushion doctrine and worker association-based norm, 72–76, 82–85, 83t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Labor Organization (ILO), 3, 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention No. 158, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention No. 176, 65–67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention No. 187, 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freedom of association standards, 58–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“intellectual shrinkage” of, global capitalism and, 11–13, 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>social justice and, 10–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. membership and, 120, 142, 184n3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See also ILO Convention No. 155 entries; “Labor is not a commodity” principle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Labour Conference (ILC), 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan, 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jellico Agreement of 1893, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jenson, Jane, 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones and Laughlin Steel Company, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal of Management Studies, 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just cause termination, 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas Industrial Court, 16–17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman, Bruce, 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly, John, 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key, Marcus, 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolben, Kevin, 39–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koradecka, Danuta, 146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kornberger, Martin, 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Labor is not a commodity” principle, 9–11, 16–17, 28, 58, 169–170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor market metaphor, 2, 10, 12, 14, 71, 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor unions. See Collective bargaining, hazard definition and right to refuse; specific unions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewis, Stephen, 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichtenstein, Nelson, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieberwitz, Risa, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lohrey, Andrew, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Exception: Rethinking the Place of the New Deal in American History, The (Salvatore and Cowie), 41–42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luka Koper port, Slovenia, 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lukes, Stephen, 46–49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacKenzie, Donald, 149–150, 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mainwaring, John, 140–41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing the Human Factor (Kaufman), 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall, Ray, 144–45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mazzocchi, Tony, 21, 22–23
McIntyre, Richard, 39
McKay, Lamar, 7
Meaningful participation principle, 31
Mercier, Laurie, 27
Meyers Industries, Inc. and Kenneth P. Prill, 79–80
Mine, Mill and Smelter Workers, 27
Miner’s Freedom, The (Goodrich), 17
Mining
Aberfan disaster, Robens Committee Report, and evolution of global refusal framework, 121–25
Ham Commission and internal responsibility system, 125–130
strikes and, 16–17
Mobilization of bias, 45–52
business and employer countermobilization and, 50–52
comparative analysis needed, 50
human resource management and antiunion strategies, 49
institutional environments and, 45–46
three dimensions and three faces of power, 46–48
usefulness of concept, 48–49
Montgomery, David, 42
Morse, David, 141, 142
National Coal Board, Great Britain, 121–23, 184n5
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), 21–22
National Labor Relations Board (NLRB), 117
Alleluia Cushion Co., Inc. and worker association-based norm, 72–76, 82–85, 83r
Netherlands, 146, 151, 158
New Democratic Party (NDP), Saskatchewan, 131–36
New Labor Forum, 39
Newman, Steve, 7
Newspaper Guild, 27
NLRB v. Washington Aluminum Company, 62
Nobel Peace Prize, ILO and, 10
Non-decision-making, and second face of power, 47
Nonmarket forces, individual employment rights and, 43–45
Objective versus constructive concerted activities, OSHA’s effectiveness and, 115–17, 116r
Obligation of conduct, on governments, 33
Occupational Health and Safety Act, Saskatchewan, 131–33, 136, 185n44
Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), U.S.
burden of proof and employer defenses, 109–13, 110r
collective bargaining, hazard definition, and “hands-off” approach, 20–22, 24
complainant and investigator relationships, 113–15
objective versus constructive concerted activities, 115–17, 116r
Section 11(c)’s effectiveness, 99–109, 101r, 105r
Office of Inspector General (OIG), 102
Oil, Chemical and Atomic Workers (OCAW), 21, 23–26
Ontario, 94, 113–14
Ham Commission and internal responsibility system, 125–130
internal responsibility ideology and, 133–38
Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), 12, 14
Perry, Edmund A., 126
Perverse effect thesis, 51
Phillips, Susan, 93
Piore, Michael, 40–41
Poland, 146, 151, 158
Polanyi, Karl, 10
“Policy-based” approaches, ILO’s shift to, 69–72
Pope, James Grey, 16
Porter, John, 126–27
Preorganizational activity, freedom of association and, 54–55, 58–60, 62

Progressive realization, CECSR and issue of, 32–33, 166
Pugliese, Gilbert, 24
Pulp, Sulphite and Paper Mill Workers, 27–28
Québec, 94–96, 130
Reich, Robert, 51
Renauld, Marc, 94–95, 96
Rethinking Industrial Relations (Kelly), 44
Riggin, R. Peter, 126
“Rights consciousness,” 38–39, 41–42
Right to refuse, generally, 3–4
commonalities in exercise of, 7–8
difficulty of exercising, 14
examples of consequences of, 4–7
as moral question for society, 9, 13–14
Right to strike, ILO and freedom of association, 60–62
Robens, Alfred, and Committee Report of, 22, 121–25, 138, 184n5
Ham Commission and, 128–29
influence on ILO Convention No. 155 negotiations, 151–52, 155, 158
Roosevelt, Franklin D., 10
“Safe” rights, 50–51. See also ILO Convention No. 155, negotiation of “safe” rights versus social justice
Safford, Sean, 40–41
Salvatore, Nick, 41–42
Saskatchewan, political management of right to refuse dissent, 130–33
Sass, Bob, 132, 133, 138
Schattschneider, E. E., 46–47
Science, 25
Scott, Deborah, 5
Second dimension of power, 46–47
Second face of power, 47–48
Semi-Sovereign People: A Realist’s View of Democracy in America, The (Schattschneider), 46
Sex workers, in Asia, 6–7
Shell Oil Company, 25
Slichter, Sumner, 49
Smuckler, Kenneth, 79
Social and political exclusion, individual employment rights and, 42–45
Social atomism, individual employment rights and, 39
Social justice, ILO and labor policies, 10–11. See also ILO Convention No. 155, negotiation of “safe” rights versus social justice
Social mobilization thesis, individual employment rights and, 40–42
Steel Company of Canada, 18–19
Stephenson, Bette M., 126
Stewart, Potter, 79
St-Jacques, Chantal, 94–95, 96
Supercapitalism (Reich), 51
Suppression, concept of, 46
Taking the Risk Out of Democracy (Carey and Lohrey), 51
Taylor, Charles, 39
Taylor, George, 22
Teamsters Union, 26
Third dimension of power, 46, 48
Third face of power, 48
Three dimensions/three faces of power, 46–49
Transocean, 7
Tucker, Eric, 92, 94
UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), 29
Union Carbide, 7
United Auto Workers (UAW), 23
United Farm Workers (UFW), 23, 26–27
United Kingdom. See Great Britain
United Mine Workers (UMW), 23
United States
ILO Convention No. 155 effectiveness and, 90–91, 99–109, 101t, 105t
ILO Convention No. 155 negotiations and aims of, 140, 144–46, 149–150, 158
ILO membership and, 120, 142, 184n3
United Steelworkers of America (USWA), 23–24, 27
Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948), 28, 32
Unobtrusive power (third face of power), 48
Urban Environment Conference (1971), 23
Useem, Michael, 126–27
U.S. National Labor Relations Act of 1935 (Wagner Act), 17

Wagner Act, 17
Walters, Vivienne, 95, 98, 135
Washington Aluminum decision, 62
Washington Consensus, 37, 120, 140
Webb, Sidney, 124–25, 155

Weil, Simone, 33
Whirlpool Corp. v. Marshall, 78–80
Whistleblower Protection Program, of OSHA, 100–104, 101r, 107
Wilensky, Harold, 52
Worker commodification. See “Labor is not a commodity” principle
“Work now, grieve later” standard, 17, 18
World Bank, challenge to ILO, 12
World Employment Program (WEP), 11

Youngdahl, Jay, 39
Zimmerman, Donald, 80–81