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Introduction

1. On the ambiguity of the term “America,” see Isaac, “America’ Between Past and Future,” in America Through European Eyes, 263–64. In the present study, the term “America” refers exclusively to the thirteen British colonies before the War of Independence and the expanding territory under the jurisdiction of the United States of America following their independence in 1783.

2. Regarding the works written by French travelers in the United States during this period, see especially those by Echeverria and Everett, The French Image of America.


4. Vermont in 1791, Kentucky in 1792, Tennessee in 1796, and Ohio in 1803.

5. These successive stages in the expansion of the American nation include the purchase of Louisiana from France (1803), the annexation of Florida (1819), the entry of Texas into the Union (1845), the relinquishing of the Oregon Country by England (1846), and the acquisition of New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, and California following the war with Mexico from 1846 to 1848.

6. The most densely populated of the thirteen colonies at that moment were Virginia, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, and the Carolinas.

7. The censuses completed in each decade in the United States indicate the following numbers of inhabitants: 12,900,000 (1830), 17,100,000 (1840), 23,200,000 (1850), and 31,400,000 (1860).

8. “An inhabitant of Philadelphia who had only seen three coaches, then two or three ships from London each year and some small boats going to the Colonies, had seen, in the course of his life, three hundred coaches and from twelve to fifteen ships leave for all the ports in the world no matter how far-flung” (Moreau de Saint-Méry, Voyage aux États-Unis de l’Amérique, 299).

9. On this question, see Furstenberg, When the United States Spoke French.


11. This inherent challenge to the representation of the United States has continued well after the eighteenth century, owing to its ongoing pursuit of economic and demographic development. Georges Duhamel emphasizes, for example, the city of Chicago, whose rapid growth outstrips any discourse that attempts to characterize it at a given moment: “Chicago stretches along the edge of Lake Michigan for forty-five kilometers. Stretches or rather stretched: before I can finish my sentence, Chicago is a mile longer. Chicago! Tumor City! Cancer City! Where any statistic comes after the battle, where any addition is to be redone before it is finished.” Duhamel, Scènes de la vie future, 89.


15. On Benjamin Franklin’s Avis, see Harsanyi, Lessons from America, 42–43.


17. On this question, see chapter 2, pp. 77–123.

19. The impression of having witnessed a major historical rift is expressed primarily by the contemporaries of the Revolutionary War and of the French Revolution through the evocation of multiple catastrophes in the works examined in this study.


28. On this question, see my article, “Posthumous Louisiana,” 164–81.


32. See the letter of October 2, 1801, from Chateaubriand to Louis de Fontanes in *Correspondance générale*, 150. On October 8, 1801, Chateaubriand published in the *Mercure de France* a “Discussion historique sur les ruines trouvées sur les bords de l’Ohio dans l’Amérique septentrionale et dont il est parlé dans le *Voyage en Pennsylvanie* de M. de Crèvecoeur” (“Historical discussion on the ruins found on the banks of the Ohio in North America of which it is spoken in the *Voyage en Pennsylvanie* by M. de Crèvecoeur”). This text was republished in 1802 in the *Génie du christianisme*, 546–47; 1130–32.

33. The hero of Alain Mabanckou declares: “The religion of the dream is anchored in the consciousness of the youth of the country. . . . I also felt the duty to keep the dream alive” (*Bleu-Blanc-Rouge*, 139).


35. On this question, see pp. 127–29.

36. On this question, see pp. 124–27.

37. The study of Thomas Philbrick, *St. John de Crèvecoeur*, is an exception. It demonstrates the originality of the *Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie* compared to *Lettres d’un cultivateur américain*, whereas the first text is often compared negatively to the second. Howard C. Rice refers to it, most notably, as a “mediocre book” (*Le Cultivateur américain*, 104).

38. Passages of Crèvecoeur’s narrative that weren’t included in Plet’s edition are quoted from the original edition: *Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie et dans l’État de New York, par un membre adoptif de la Nation Onéida, traduit et publié par l’auteur des Lettres d’un cultivateur américain*, 3 vols. (Paris: Édition Marandan, Year ix [1801]).


40. It is, for example, regrettable that chapter 3 of the third volume is absent from Plet’s edition. Significantly placed at the end of the first half of the book, this “eighteenth-century version of the journey into ‘the heart of darkness’” (Philbrick, *St. John de Crèvecoeur*, 155) describes the manner in which the characters bond again with a past that American civilization has forsaken.


42. Published by Prault in 1792 and reprinted in 1800, the *Letters Written from the Banks of the Ohio* were reedited by Benjamin Hoffmann and translated into English for the first time by Alan J. Singerman in 2017. All the quotations from the text refer to this recent edition (published by Penn State University Press).
Chapter 1

6. This term is used by Chevignard in *Au miroir de la mémoire* [*In the Mirror of Memory*], 16.
9. Lezay-Marnésia celebrated his fifty-fifth birthday a month after his arrival in the United States, on July 29, 1790.
13. On this question, see the letter from Crèvecœur to Franklin quoted by Gilbert Chinard in *Les Amitiés américaines de Madame d’Houdetot*, 13.
14. Lezay-Marnésia’s stay in the United States lasted from July 1790 to May 1792; Chateaubriand’s from July to December 1791.
17. Lopez, *Mon Cher Papa*, 162. Élisabeth-Françoise-Sophie de La Live de Bellegarde, comtesse d’Houdetot (1730–1813), inspired a violent passion in Jean-Jacques Rousseau, as well as the character of Julie in *La Nouvelle Héloïse*. In 1757, she began a love affair with Jean-François de Saint-Lambert that lasted for half a century. In addition, she enthusiastically supported the cause of the American rebels and became very close to Benjamin Franklin and Thomas Jefferson. The sympathy she felt for the United States, as well as a friendship she had formed years before with the father of Crèvecœur, led her to help the author of the *Letters from an American Farmer*: she hosted him in her home in 1782 and, as the reader shall see, played a decisive role in the literary and diplomatic career of her protégé. On Madame d’Houdetot and Crèvecœur, see Chevignard, “Les Souvenirs de Saint-John de Crèvecœur sur Mme d’Houdetot.”
20. Quoted by Chevignard in *Au miroir de la mémoire*, 20–21.
32. In “Crèveœur Revisited,” Cunliffe rejects the term “collaborator” to characterize Crèveœur, preferring “neutralist” or “quietist” (135).
34. Crèveœur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 1:405.
35. This text is titled “Esquisse de ma vie depuis ma sortie de prison à New York le 17 septembre 1779 jusques à mon retour dans la même ville comme consul de France le 17 novembre 1783” (“Outline of my life from my release from prison in New York on September 17, 1779, until my return to the same city as the French Consul on November 17, 1783”). It is introduced by Chevignard in “St. John de Crèveœur à New York en 1779–1780,” 161–73.
40. Brissot, Examen critique des Voyages dans l’Amérique septentrionale de Monsieur le marquis de Chastellux, ou Lettre à Monsieur le marquis de Chastellux, dans laquelle on réfute principalement son opinion sur les Quakers, sur les Nègres, sur le Peuple et sur l’Homme, 17.
42. Brissot, Mémoires sur ses contemporains et la Révolution française, 2:410.
44. On the French translation and the rewriting of the Letters, see pp. 25–35.
45. On Sophie d’Houdetot’s circle of acquaintances, see Chinard, Les Amitiés américaines de Madame d’Houdetot, and Lopez, Mon Cher Papa.
47. Other than “the night of fire at Port-Royal” (from November 23 to November 24, 1654), during which Blaise Pascal had a mystical experience that influenced the rest of his opus, one may also put in this category the experience Rousseau had on the way to visit Diderot at the Vincennes prison that inspired him to treat the question on the arts and sciences proposed by the Académie de Dijon.
48. The first letter of the third volume of the Lettres d’un cultivateur américain (1787, 3:1–34) recounts the circumstances in which Crèveœur learned, upon returning to the United States in 1783, of the destruction of Pine Hill and the death of his wife.
51. On this problem, see Aldridge, “Le problème de la traduction, au xviième siècle et aujourd’hui,” 747.
52. Literal translation was defended by those who saw it as a way to learn foreign languages. In Some Thoughts Concerning Education (1693), John Locke recommended to novice Latinists to throw away their grammar books and take up the translation of pleasant books.
53. On this question, see Aldridge, “Le problème de la traduction,” 754–55.
54. The twelve letters of the Letters from an American Farmer (comprising 173 pages in Moore’s edition) became the three volumes of the 1787 edition of the Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, including, respectively, 478, 437, and 592 pages in the Cuchet edition.
55. On this question, see the prologue of chapter 1, pp. 19–21.
58. Named consul in New York in July 1783, Crévecoeur left for America in September of the same year. He had to entrust the publication to Saint-Lambert, Lacretelle, and Target, who assumed the responsibility of negotiating with the publisher, Cuchet, and reading the proofs for him.
59. On this question, see p. 31.
60. For example, Crévecoeur, *Lettres d’un cultivateur américain*, 1784, 1:289.
61. Bernardin de Saint-Pierre alludes to the “Anecdote d’un chien sauvage,” in *Paul and Virginia* (1787), 139.
63. On this question, see Rousseau, *Émile, ou de l’éducation* (1762), in *Œuvres complètes*, 4:598.
64. On the reception of this text, see Rice, *Le Cultivateur américain*, 82–83.
65. On this question, see F. Lestringant, *Cannibals*.
67. On this topic, see Rousseau, “Discourse on Inequality,” 66.
68. Rousseau himself emphasizes the dangers of an excessive use of the concept of state of nature: “Our politicians offer the same sophisms about love of freedom as our philosophers do about the state of nature” (“Discourse on Inequality,” 106).
69. The tendency of the French partisans of the independence of the United States to repeat the same set of ideas is emphasized by Echeverria in *Mirage in the West*, 79.
70. This idyllic vision is expressed many times in the *Lettres* and notably in the following excerpt: “Ah! Why, my friend, hadn’t I learned to play the lyre? I could perhaps have tried to put into song our American water nymphs, our pastoral Gods, the green of our mountains, the fertility of our valleys, the majesty of our rivers” (1784, 1:73).
73. On the use of Crévecoeur’s *Lettres* by the Scioto Company, see chapter 2, pp. 83–84.
76. Book IV of the *Georgics* is devoted to bees.
82. Chateaubriand declares, concerning the *Mémoires* of Madame de Rémusat: “The author burned them during the Hundred Days, and then wrote them again: they are now only memories reproduced by memories; the color has faded. . . .”
85. The “Pensées d’un cultivateur américain” are signed “St. John.” Although one must be careful not to identify the author completely by his alter ego in the *Lettres d’un cultivateur américain*, the choice of this signature nonetheless indicates that the content is autobiographical.
90. On this dichotomy, see the prologue to chapter 1, pp. 16–17.
91. Chateaubriand, Mémoires, 2:410; emphasis added.
92. On this question, see pp. 47–48.
93. Crèveceur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 1:228–29; emphasis added.
The autobiographical dimension of this text is not only emphasized by the signature—St. John—but by a footnote by the editor, who states: “This scene took place between the author and his daughter” (Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 1:229).
96. Gracq, En lisant en écrivant, 97.
97. On this question, see Compagnon’s preface to Du côté de chez Swann, 7–45.
98. On the origin of the Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, see Rice, Le Cultivateur américain, 103.
100. On this mythical period evoked by Hesiod—who located it in a distant past—see Minois, L’Âge d’or.
101. On this question, see Moore’s introduction in More Letters from the American Farmer, lii.
102. For a comparison of an American colonist and Robinson Crusoe, see Crèveceur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 1:126.
103. Crèveceur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 1:55.
104. The power of paternal sentiment is discussed several times in the Lettres d’un cultivateur américain (e.g., 1784, 1:54, 259).
105. Crèveceur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 2:54–55; emphasis added.
106. On the American Dream, defined as “the first of those great moments of secular mysticism which modern man has been experiencing for the last two hundred years,” see Echeverria, Mirage in the West, 116.
108. See the letter of February 16, 1788, from Franklin to Crèveceur, translated by R. de Crèveceur, Saint-John de Crèveceur, 372.
111. On the readers of Crèveceur’s Lettres who decided to emigrate to the United States, see Rice, Le Cultivateur américain, 204–13.
112. Echeverria, Mirage in the West, 144–61.
113. Echeverria, Mirage in the West, 147.
114. On this subject, see Condorcet, Writings on the United States.
115. Echeverria, Mirage in the West, 155.
116. Trained as a doctor, Georges Duhamel compares the Americans to bearers of the virus of the “material or mechanical civilization” that threatens to contaminate the “moral or genuine civilization” of which Europe is the cradle (Scènes de la vie future, 13).
117. Rice, Le Cultivateur américain, 96.
118. Sollors, Beyond Ethnicity, 76–77.
119. Sollors, Beyond Ethnicity, 80.
120. “Ubi panis ibi patria” is the motto of all emigrants” (Letters from an American Farmer, 31). “Ubi panis ibi patria”: Latin for “Where my bread is, there is my homeland.”
121. On this question, see the prologue of chapter 1, pp. 22–24.
123. Letter from Crèvecoeur to La Rocheffoucauld, New York, December 1, 1788, in Chevignard, "D’une révolution à l’autre," 74; emphasis added.
124. On this question, see Lopez, Mon Cher Papa, 165–66.
125. On Crèvecoeur’s supporters, see R. de Crèvecoeur, Saint-John de Crèvecoeur, 78.
127. Jefferson attended the marriage of America-Francés de Crèvecoeur with the diplomat Louis-Guillaume Otto on April 13, 1790, in New York.
128. See the "Épître dédicatoire" of the Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, i:iii–vi.
130. Crèvecoeur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, i:v.
132. Letter from Crèvecoeur to Brissot, December 20, 1787, quoted by Chevignard, Au miroir de la mémoire, 105–6; emphasis added.
133. Chevignard, Au miroir de la mémoire, 102.
134. Gracq, En lisant en écrivant, 97.
135. Référence GEN MSS 722, Box 1, Folder 6. J. Hector St. John de Crèvecoeur papers, Series II, Writings. The tables of contents of the manuscript and the 1787 volume are reproduced in the appendix, pp. 187–88.
136. There is a German, a Frenchman, an Englishman, a Scot, and an Irishman.
139. Crèvecoeur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1787, 3:85.
142. See especially the “Forty-First Anecdote” (Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1787, 3:139), in which the generosity of the patriots is demonstrated.
147. Crèvecoeur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1787, 3:524.
152. On this question, see R. de Crèvecoeur, Saint-John de Crèvecoeur, 95.
153. Quoted by Chevignard, Au miroir de la mémoire, 103.
154. On this episode in Crèvecoeur’s life, see pp. 23–24.
156. Crèvecoeur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1787, 3:495.
157. Crèvecoeur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1787, 3:497; emphasis original.
158. Anne-Robert-Jacques Turgot is the author of Réflexions sur la formation et la distribution des richesses [Reflections on the Creation and Distribution of Wealth, 1776], which was a source of inspiration for Adam Smith’s The Wealth of Nations (1776). Crèvecoeur was a distant relative.
159. On the physiocratic school, see Vardi, The Physiocrats.
160. “This nation, like all those that are republican and commercially oriented, nearly always puts the sentiment of self-interest before that of honor.” Letter from D’Annemours to Castries, Baltimore, March 31, 1783, quoted by Chevignard, “D’une révolution à l’autre,” 69.
163. On this question, see Crèvecœur, Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, 1801, 1:vii.
164. Crèvecœur, Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, 1801, 1:viii.
165. Crèvecœur, Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, 1801, 1:xii; emphasis added.
166. Letter from Lezay-Marnésia to his wife, Philadelphia, April 24, 1792, quoted by Bourget-Besnier, Une famille française sous la Révolution, 53.
167. Chateaubriand, Mémoires, 1:539.
175. Crèvecœur, Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 1:229.
179. See, for example, “Le père infortuné” [“The Unfortunate Father”], in Lettres, 1784, 1:356–61.
180. In the Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, Crèvecœur establishes no autobiographical pact with his reader, since “Saint-John” is presented as the son of an American colonist, who is a fictitious double for the author and not for Crèvecœur himself. The choice of this name nonetheless indicates that the author recognizes that he was, at least partially, inspired by his own experiences in creating his character, and if it is an interpretive leap to identify Crèvecœur with Saint-John, it would be impossible to assert that the fictional character owes nothing to the biography of the author who purposefully gave him his own surname.
181. On this question, see Mitchell, St. Jean de Crèvecœur, 293.
182. On this question, see Rice, Le Cultivateur américain, 38.
183. Brissot, Mémoires, 2:400.
187. Mitchell, St. Jean de Crèvecœur, 293.
188. “I write thirteen hours a day that feel like thirteen minutes.” Letter from Casanova to Opiz, January 10, 1791, quoted by Luna, Casanova mémorialiste, 55.
189. Childs, Casanova, 59.
191. “You did not want me to know that you existed until I arrived here, because you feared that I would turn around and go back to have the satisfaction of seeing you; but I will see you tomorrow. You had me informed that your house would always be open to me. But no. The order you gave to Marcoline shows me that you do not want to see me again right now. You have perhaps left this morning, God knows to go where” (Casanova, Histoire de ma vie, 2:1195; emphasis added).
194. “A Snow Storm as it Affects the American Farmer,” in More Letters, 142–45. The letter titled “Description d’une chute de neige” is its translation and rewriting (Lettres d’un cultivateur américain, 1784, 1:261–84).
195. On Crèvecoeur’s borrowings, see Philbrick, St. John de Crèvecoeur, 149–50.
198. On Benjamin Franklin and his rise to the rank of “public figure” in the French consciousness in the eighteenth century, see Lilti, Figures publiques, 87–95.
200. For example: “(The chapter that contained the details of the journey up to this fort was, with the exception of a few lines, so badly damaged that we were unable to translate it)” Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, 2002, 82.
203. On this subject, see Chevignard, “Entre débris et débuts” [“Between Debris and Beginnings”], 124–25.
204. Chateaubriand, Mémoires, 1:463–66.
205. Napoléon is described as the “Washington of France” in the Voyage (1801, 1:viv).
207. Crèvecoeur, Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, 1801, 1:204.
208. On the fascination Crèvecoeur expressed for the vestiges of the past, see his text written in 1803 and quoted by R. de Crèvecoeur, Saint-John de Crèvecoeur, 5.
209. Volney, Les Ruines, xi–xii; regarding Chateaubriand’s “vertiges,” see Chevignard, “Entre débris et débuts,” 122; for the references to the Voyage in the Génie du christianisme, see p. 190, note 32.
211. Crèvecoeur, Letters from an American Farmer, 81.
212. For a reflection on this passage, see Hollier, “Incognito,” 25–43.
214. On these two movements that developed during the second half of the nineteenth century, see Figueiredo, “Aux sources du débat écologique contemporain,” 69–82.
220. Crèvecoeur, Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, 1801, 3:112; emphasis added.
225. Crèvecoeur, Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie, 1801, 3:118.
226. On this topic, see Chateaubriand, Voyage en Amérique, 178.
228. Crèvecoeur, Letters from an American Farmer, 81.
236. Chateaubriand’s *Voyage en Amérique* (1827) describes a comparable discovery of ruins whose origin remains unknown (*Voyage*, 221).
239. Mémoires, 1:470. Chateaubriand returns to this idea, that he was already formulating in 1797 in the *Essai historique, politique et moral sur les révolutions anciennes et modernes, considérées dans leurs rapports avec la Révolution française* [*Historical, Political, and Moral Essay on Ancient and Modern Revolutions Considered with Respect to Their Relationship with the French Revolution*], 147.
240. Chateaubriand, *Voyage*, 137.
242. On this question, see Chateaubriand, *Mémoires*, 2:44.
244. For a comparison of the destinies of *Atala* and the *Voyage dans la Haute Pensylvanie*, see also Rice, *Le Cultivateur américain*, 104–5.

Chapter 2

1. Editor’s foreword, in *Letters Written from the Banks of the Ohio*, 45–46.
3. Behind the allusion to “biased authors” we recognize the Jesuit Joseph-François Lafitau: in his *Moeurs des sauvages américains, comparés aux moeurs des premiers temps* [*Manners of the American Savages Compared to the Manners of Antiquity*, 1724], he establishes a regular parallel between the Amerindians and the peoples of antiquity.
7. It is to Lezay-Marnésia that Volney is referring behind the anonymous prophet of the “Empire of Ohio”: “We are pretty far from the poetic felicity sung by the *American Farmer* and from the delights of the future capital of the *Empire of Ohio* prophesied by another writer. If the makers of such fictions could hear their own panegyrics, surely they would be disgusted by this banal rhetorical talent that, in the present case, has destroyed the fortune of five hundred families” (*Tableau du climat et du sol*, 2:391).
9. The poem “Les Lampes” was published for the first time in the *Journal de Paris* of April 27, 1788, before being reprinted in *Les Paysages, ou essai sur la nature champêtre, poème* [*Landscapes, or Essay on Rural Nature, Poem*, 1800].
12. The Northwest Territory was a territory created in 1787 by the United States in which slavery was forbidden. It included the present-day states of Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, and Wisconsin, and disappeared in 1803 when its southeast section was admitted to the Union as the State of Ohio. The colony of “Asylum” is an example of other options available at this time to French emigrants like Lezay-Marnésia and his followers. Founded in 1793 at the instigation of Antoine-Omer Talon and the vicomte de Noailles, it was situated in the State of Pennsylvania and intended for refugees from France and Saint-Domingue (today the island shared by Haiti and the Dominican Republic). On Asylum, see Furstenberg, *When the United States Spoke French*, 227–45.


15. On this question, see Bonnel, *Éthique et esthétique du retour à la campagne au xviiie siècle*, 56–67.


22. On this question, see Knee, “*Wolmar comme médiateur politique*,” 117–27.

23. The *corvée* was unremunerated work that was required of a lord’s serfs; *mortmain* was the right of a lord to inherit the property of his vassals.


26. On the question of intermediate bodies, see Carcassonne, *Montesquieu et le problème de la constitution française au xviiième siècle*. Regarding the organization of provincial states and the political role of the nobility, see, respectively, Lezay-Marnésia, *Le Bonheur dans les campagnes*, 28 and 88–89.


29. The contract with the American Congress stipulated that the Ohio Company would make four payments of $500,000 each, and that at the time of each payment, it would take ownership of one-quarter of the total area. Consequently, it had to have a minimum of $500,000 on hand to be able to sell the slightest parcel of land. On this question, see Moreau-Zanelli, *Gallipolis*, 15–38.

30. The first document (Paris: Prault, 1789), twenty-four pages long, is not signed, but everything indicates that its author was William Playfair. The second document is held at the Bibliothèque nationale de France (Pb 1597).

31. On January 9, 1789, two pacts were made between General Arthur Saint Clair and various Amerindian tribes in the Northwest Territory. These pacts were never respected and, as early as the spring of 1789, there was an Amerindian attack on an isolated homestead in the region. The unrest grew increasingly worse until the Battle of the Wabash on November 4, 1791, which was a major victory for the Amerindiands. On this battle, see p. 200, note 50.


35. Moreau-Zanelli, *Gallipolis*, 188.

36. Lezay-Marnésia bought more land than the minimum required for inclusion in the Société des Vingt-Quatre: on January 14, 1790, he acquired twenty thousand acres for
himself and one thousand acres for his daughter. On the fifteenth, he bought another one thousand acres, then one hundred more on February 11.

37. Alfred de Lezay-Marnésia, My Memories [1851] in Letters Written from the Banks of the Ohio, 146; emphasis added.

38. Letter from Lezay-Marnésia to his wife (Paris, November 9, 1789), quoted by Bourget-Besnier, Une famille française, 25.

39. Despite their entreaties to Pope Pious VI, the Twenty-Four failed in their attempt to obtain the creation of a new bishopric. On Lezay-Marnésia's plans in the area of religion, see Moreau-Zanelli, Gallipolis, 199–208.

40. Lezay-Marnésia remarks, revealingly, “If I had been able to choose my fate, it is that of Abraham or Boaz that I would have preferred” (Letters, 75).

41. Moreau-Zanelli, Gallipolis, 189.

42. Some of the members of the Society of the Twenty-Four did not belong to the aristocracy: Jean-Paul Guérin, Lezay-Marnésia’s secretary, for instance, and Jean-Daniel Smith, a medical doctor, were among the partners.

43. Moreau-Zanelli, Gallipolis, 195.


45. March 22, 1790, quoted by Bonnel, Éthique et esthétique, 381.

46. On this question, see Moreau-Zanelli, Gallipolis, 195–96.

47. Dillon, Mémoires, 205.

48. Bonnel, Éthique et esthétique, 381.


50. At the beginning of the expedition, General Saint Clair commanded around two thousand men, accompanied by around two hundred civilians. But in the month of November, desertions and illnesses reduced the expedition to 1,120 members, including the civilians. At dawn on November 4, 1791, Saint Clair’s men were surprised by a thousand warriors led by Little Turtle, chief of the Miamis, and Blue Jacket, chief of the Shawnees. After several hours of combat, Saint Clair gave the order to retreat. Of his 920 men who fought that day, 631 were killed and 264 wounded. As for the two hundred civilians, they were nearly all massacred. The Amerindian forces only had to grieve twenty-one dead and forty wounded. The rout of Saint Clair at the Battle of the Wabash is the worst defeat ever suffered by the American army while fighting the Amerindians. On this battle, see Eckert, That Dark and Bloody River, 558–69.

51. The defeat of Saint Clair had long-term repercussions in the history of the United States. After the House of Representatives began its investigation into the military disaster at the Battle of the Wabash, George Washington established the position that the president has the right to refuse to divulge documents or other materials that contain information that he believes should remain confidential. This decision gave birth to the doctrine of executive privilege that was invoked, notably, by Richard Nixon at the time of the Watergate affair and by Bill Clinton during the Lewinsky affair. On the history of executive privilege, see Garvey and Dolan, “Presidential Claims of Executive Privilege,” 1–42.
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124. See the excerpt of the *Itinéraire* quoted in note 121.
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170. Might Chateaubriand be thinking of Presidents Martin Van Buren (1837–41), William Henry Harrison (1841), or John Tyler (1841–45)?

171. On this question, see pp. 146–56.

172. Chateaubriand, Voyage, 381.

173. Whereas Chateaubriand announced the formation of an aristocratic class in the United States, Tocqueville asserted that the law on inheritance served the cause of social equality: having adopted the principle of equal shares between heirs, the United States mitigated the bequeathing of entire estates to one person. On this question, see Tocqueville, De la Démocratie en Amérique, 1:42.

174. As Paul Krugman observes, “The current generation of the very rich in America may consist largely of executives rather than rentiers, people who live off accumulated capital, but these executives have heirs. And America two decades from now could be a rentier-dominated society even more unequal than Belle Époque Europe” (“Why We’re in a New Gilded Age”).
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176. On this question, see Roger, The American Enemy.

Conclusion

1. Philo-Americanism is a discourse expressing admiration and enthusiasm first for the English colonies in North America and subsequently for the United States itself (there was a philo-Americanism before and after the War of Independence). America was thus conceived as a model that the French were to imitate as they sought to reform their own political institutions and to promote values that, supposedly, had found their full expression across the Atlantic (in particular, religious tolerance and freedom of speech, as well as equality before the law and of social classes). Philo-Americanism is organized around recurrent themes and based on the same authorities whoever the speaker was, turning it into a coherent and systematic discourse rather than a disparate assortment of pro-American sentiments. Its characteristics will be further developed in the course of this conclusion. In regard to anti-Americanism, Roger, in his benchmark study of the topic, gives the following definition: “Anti-Americanism is an unbridled discourse, not only because it is rife with irrationality and bubbling with humors, but also because it takes an essayistic form, rather than that of a dissertation or a demonstration. (It does not follow ‘orders’ either; there is no anti-American conspiracy.) Its logic is one of accumulation, accretion—‘I’ll take that one’ or ‘give me a little bit more of that’—in short, it is a mad dash that ignores the Aristotelian principle of non-contradiction” (The American Enemy, xvi–xvii).


3. Echeverria, Mirage in the West, 183. By “American Dream,” Echeverria is referring to the whole set of opinions favorable to the United States in Old Regime France and not to what this idea evokes today, that is, the myth of guaranteed prosperity for hardworking people
who have come to seek a better life in America. In the rest of this conclusion, the expression is used in the sense given by Echeverria here.


5. In regard to French anti-Americanism in this period, see Echeverria, *Mirage in the West*, 175.


8. Regarding Duhamel and the discourse of French intellectuals on America in the modern period, see Mathy, *Extrême-Occident*, 52–103.


11. See, respectively, Debray, *Contretemps*, 104, and Aron and Dandieu, *Le Cancer américain*.

