



PROJECT MUSE®

Great Powers, Small Wars

Deriglazova, Larisa

Published by Johns Hopkins University Press

Deriglazova, Larisa.

Great Powers, Small Wars: Asymmetric Conflict since 1945.

Johns Hopkins University Press, 2014.

Project MUSE., <a href="

<https://muse.jhu.edu/>.



➔ For additional information about this book

<https://muse.jhu.edu/book/60315>

Access provided at 3 Apr 2020 03:11 GMT with no institutional affiliation



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

List of Tables

Table 1.1. Participation of Great Powers in Armed Conflicts with Casualties of at Least 25 People, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	5
Table 2.1. Share of Asymmetric Conflicts in the UCDP Database, 1946–2006	71
Table 2.2. Share of Asymmetric Conflicts in the COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	73
Table 2.3. Use of Asymmetric Strategies in Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	80
Table 2.4. Use of Multiple Asymmetric Strategies in Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	81
Table 2.5. Results of Struggle in Asymmetric Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	86
Table 2.6. Participation of Different Countries and Nonstate Actors in Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	90
Table 2.7. Use of Asymmetric Strategies in Conflicts Involving the Great Powers, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	93
Table 2.8. Outcomes of Asymmetric Armed Conflicts Involving the Great Powers, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	94
Table 2.9. Participation of the Great Powers in Asymmetric Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	97

Table 2.10. Participation of the Great Powers on Opposite Sides of Asymmetric Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	99
Table 2.11. Breakdown of Great Power Participation in Asymmetric Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	101
Table 2.12. Behavior of Great Powers in Armed Conflicts, COSIMO Database, 1945–1999	104
Table 3.1. British Army Operations in Dependencies, 1945–1982	131
Table 4.1. Estimated Availability of Essential Services in Iraq, 2008–2011	213
Table 4.2. Global Rankings of the Business Environment in Iraq, 2008–2012	214
Table 4.3. Iraqi Opinion on the Coalition Invasion, 2004–2009	216
Table 4.4. Iraqi Assessment of the Situation in Iraq, 2005–2009	216
Table 4.5. Iraqi Assessment of Personal Situation (General Poll), 2004–2009	217
Table 4.6. Iraqi Assessment of Personal Situation, by Community, March 2008 and February 2009	218
Table 4.7. Iraqi Assessment of Coalition Performance in Iraq, 2005–2009	218
Table 4.8. Iraqi Assessment of Coalition Performance in Iraq, by Community, March 2008 and February 2009	219
Table 4.9. Iraqi Level of Trust in US Occupation Forces, 2003–2009	220
Table 4.10. Iraqi Assessment of Government Control, 2005–2009	220
Table 4.11. Iraqi Level of Confidence in Different Institutions, 2003–2009	222

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.12. Iraqi Preferences on Governance (General Poll), 2004–2009	224
Table 4.13. Iraqi Preferences on Governance, by Community, February 2007 and February 2009	225
Table 4.14. Iraq Coalition Military Fatalities by Year, 2003–2012	227
Table 4.15. Approval Ratings of the Bush Administration’s Handling of the Iraq War, by Party Affiliation, April 2008	237
Table 4.16. Opinions on Continuation of War into Next Presidential Administration, by Party Affiliation, April 2008	239
Table 4.17. Opinions on US Military Success in Iraq, by Party Affiliation, August 2010	242
Table 4.18. American Predictions of Outcome after US Troop Withdrawal, December 2011	245

This page intentionally left blank