



PROJECT MUSE®

Terrorists on Trial

De Graaf, Beatrice, Schmid, Alex P.


Published by Leiden University Press

De Graaf, Beatrice and Alex P. Schmid.

Terrorists on Trial: A Performative Perspective.

first ed. Leiden University Press, 0.

Project MUSE.muse.jhu.edu/book/46327.



➔ For additional information about this book

<https://muse.jhu.edu/book/46327>

Access provided at 25 Jan 2020 17:30 GMT with no institutional affiliation



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

13. Literature on Terrorism Trials—A Selective Bibliography

Compiled by Jaclyn A. Peterson¹

13.1. Books and Book Chapters

- Addicott, J. (2009). *Terrorism law: Materials, cases, comments*. 5th ed. Tucson, AZ: Lawyers and Judges Publishing.
- Allen, T. (2006). *Trial justice: The international criminal court and the Lord's Resistance Army*. London: Zed Books.
- Anderson, D. (2005). *Histories of the hanged: Britain's dirty war in Kenya and the end of empire*. London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson.
- Andrain, C. (2008). *Political justice and religious values*. New York; London: Routledge.
- Aradau, C. and Munster, R. van (2008). Taming the future. The dispositif of risk in the war on terror. In: Amoores, L. and Goede, M. de (eds), *Risk and the war on terror*. (pp. 23–40). London: Routledge.
- Aust, A. (2011). *Handbook of International Law*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Austin, J.L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard UP.
- Barry, B. (1973). *The liberal theory of justice: A critical examination of the principal doctrines in 'A Theory of Justice' by John Rawls*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- (1989). *Democracy, power and justice: Essays in political theory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- (1989). *Theories of justice*. London: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- (1995). *Justice as impartiality*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Bennett, J.R. (1995). *Political prisoners and trials: A worldwide annotated bibliography, 1990–1993*. Jefferson, NC: McFarland.
- Berger, F.R. (1984). *Happiness, justice, and freedom: The moral and political philosophy of John Stuart Mill*. Berkeley; London: University of California Press.
- Bhargava, R., Dusche, M. and Reifeld, H. (2008). *Justice: Political, social, juridical*. New Delhi; London: SAGE Publications.
- Bianchi, H. (1992). *Politiek en Criminaliteit*. Kampen: J.H. Kok.

- Bloxham, D. and Gerwarth, R. (eds) (2011). *Political violence in twentieth century Europe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Boudouris, K. (2007). *Values and justice in the global era*. Athens: Ionia Publications.
- Bovard, J. (2003). *Terrorism and tyranny: Trampling freedom, justice and peace to rid the world of evil*. London: Macmillan.
- Brighouse, H. (2004). *Justice*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Brown, C. (2002). *Sovereignty, rights, and justice: International political theory today*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Buckle, S.R.T. and Buckle, L.G. (1977). *Bargaining for justice: Case disposition and reform in the criminal courts*. New York; London: Praeger.
- Burnham, D. (1996). *Above the law: Secret deals, political fixes and other misadventures of the U.S. Department of Justice*. New York; London: Scribner.
- Butler, J. (1997). *Excitable Speech: A politics of the performative*. New York: Routledge.
- Campbell, D.S. (1994). *Free press v. fair trial: Supreme Court decisions since 1807*. Westport, CT, London: Praeger.
- Campbell, T. (2009). *Justice*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Carr, M. (2006). *Unknown soldiers: How terrorism transformed the modern world*. London: Profile Books.
- Cassese, A. (1989). *Terrorism, politics and law*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Chadwick, E. (1996). *Self-determination, terrorism and the international humanitarian law of armed conflict*. The Hague: Nijhoff.
- Cherif Bassiouni, M. (ed.) (1975). *International terrorism and political crimes*. Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas Publisher.
- Chesney, R.M. (2007). Anticipatory prosecution in terrorism-related cases. In: Worrall, J.L. and Nugent-Borakove, M.E. (eds), *The changing role of the American prosecutor*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Christenson, R. (1989). *Political trials. Gordian knots in the law*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Clarke, R.V.G. and Newman, G.R. (2006). *Outsmarting the terrorists*. Westport, CT; London: Praeger Security International.
- Clausewitz, C. von (1972). *Vom Kriege: Hinterlassenes Werk des Generals Carl von Clausewitz*. Bonn: Dümmler Verlag.
- Cohen, R.L. (1986). *Justice: Views from the social sciences*. New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Connolly, W.E. (1993) *The terms of discourse*. 3rd ed. Princeton: Princeton University Press
- Conquest, R. (1968). *The great terror*. Harmondsworth: Penguin.
- Cotterrell, R. (1992). *The Sociology of Law: An Introduction*. London: Butterworths.
- Coulthard, M. (1985). *An introduction to discourse analysis*. 2nd ed. New York: Longman.

- Crank, J.P. and Gregor, P.E. (2005). *Counter-terrorism after 9/11—Justice, security and ethics revisited*. Ohio: Anderson Publishing.
- Crenshaw, M. (ed.) (1983). *Terrorism, legitimacy, and power: The consequences of political violence*. Middletown: Wesleyan University Press.
- Cryer, R., Friman, H., Robinson, D. and Wilmshurst, E. (2010). *An introduction to international criminal law and procedure*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Daniels, N. (1989). *Reading Rawls: Critical studies on Rawls' a theory of justice*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Danner, M. (2005). *Torture and truth: Abu Ghraib and America in Iraq*. New York: Review Books.
- Denbeaux, M. and Hafetz, J. (eds) (2009). *The Guantánamo lawyers: Inside a prison outside the law*. New York: New York University Press.
- Deutsch, M. (1985). *Distributive justice: A social-psychological perspective*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Dijk, J.J. van (2008). *The world of crime: Breaking the silence on problems of security, justice, and development across the world*. Thousand Oaks, CA; London: Sage.
- Donohue, L.A. (2008). *The cost of counterterrorism: Power, politics, and liberty*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2001). *Counter-terrorism law and emergency powers in the United Kingdom, 1922–2000*. Dublin; Portland, OR: Irish Academic Press.
- Douzinas, C. and Gearey, A. (2005). *Critical jurisprudence: The political philosophy of justice*. Oxford: Hart.
- Duffy, H. (2005). *The 'war on terror' and the framework of international law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Eisenstein, J. and Jacob, H. (1997). *Felony justice: An organizational analysis of criminal courts*. Boston: Little, Brown and Co.
- Ellis, L. and Walsh, A. (2000). *Criminology. A global perspective*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Emerson, S. (2008). Prosecuting homegrown extremists: Case study of the Virginia 'paintball jihad' cell. In: Norwitz, J.H. (ed.), *Armed groups: Studies in national security, counterterrorism, and counterinsurgency*. Washington: Government Printing Office.
- Feifer, G. (1964). *Justice in Moscow*. New York, NY: Simon and Schuster.
- Fields, L.G. (1981). Bringing terrorists to justice—The shifting sands of the political offence exception. In: Lillich, R.B. (ed.), *International Aspects of Criminal Law Enforcing United States Law in the World Community*. Charlottesville, VA: Michie Co.
- Fischer-Lichte, E. (2003). Performance, Inszenierung, Ritual. Zur Klärung kulturwissenschaftlicher Schlüsselbegriffe, in: Martschukat, J. and Patzold, S. (eds),

- Geschichtswissenschaft und 'performative turn'. *Ritual, Inszenierung und Performance vom Mittelalter bis zur Neuzeit* (pp. 34–54). Cologne; Weimar; Vienna: Böhlau Verlag.
- Fletcher, G.P. (2002). *Romantics at war: Glory and guilt in the age of terrorism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Fleurbaey, M., Salles, M. and Weymack, J.A. (2008). *Justice, political liberalism, and utilitarianism: Themes from Harsanyi and Rawls*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Forst, R. (2002). *Contexts of justice: Political philosophy beyond liberalism and communitarianism*. Berkeley, CA; London: University of California Press.
- Freeman, M. (2005). Order, rights, and threats: Terrorism and global Justice. In: Wilson, R.A. (ed.), *Human rights in the 'war on terror'* (pp. 37–56). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Friedrich, C.J. and Chapman, J.W. (1963). *Justice*. New York: Atherton Press.
- Frohlich, N. and Oppenheimer, J.A. (1992). *Choosing justice: An experimental approach to ethical theory*. Berkeley, CA; London: University of California Press.
- Godwin, W.K. (et al.) (1971). *Enquiry concerning political justice, with selections from Godwin's other writings*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Graaf, B.A. de (2011). *Evaluating counterterrorist performance: A comparative study*. Oxford; New York: Routledge/Francis and Taylor.
- (2011). *Terrorists on trial: A performative perspective*, ICCT Expert Paper. ICCT: The Hague.
- (2012). *Terroristen vor Gericht. Terrorismusprozesse als Fortsetzung des Kampfes um die Kommunikationshoheit. Eine Typologie*. In Weinbauer, K. and Requate, J. (eds), *Terrorismus als Kommunikationsprozess. Eskalation und Deeskalation in historischer Perspektive* (pp. 281–298). Frankfurt; New York: Campus Verlag.
- and Härter, K. (eds) (2012). *Vom Majestätsverbrechen zum Terrorismus. Politische Kriminalität, Recht, Justiz und Polizei zwischen Früher Neuzeit und 20. Jahrhundert*. Schriftenreihe des Max Planck Instituts für europäische Rechtsgeschichte. Frankfurt am Main: Vittorio Klostermann.
- (2013). *Terrorist trials as a stage. Some notes on performativity*. In: Staal, J. (ed.), *New world academy reader 1. Towards a people's culture* (pp. 109–121). Utrecht: BAK.
- , Heide, E.J. van der, Wanmaker, S. and Weggemans, D.J. (2013). *The Anders Behring Breivik trial: Performing justice, defending democracy*. ICCT-research paper (pp. 1–20). The Hague: ICCT.
- (2014). *The Van Gogh murder and beyond*. In: Hoffman, B. and Reinares, F. (eds), *Evolving global terrorism threat: Cases from 9/11 to Osama Bin Laden's death* (pp. 144–187). New York: Columbia University Press.

- (2015). *Terrorismus als performativer Akt. Die Bundesrepublik, Italien und die Niederlande im Vergleich*. In: Johannes Hürter (ed.), *Terrorismusbekämpfung in Westeuropa—Demokratie und Sicherheit in den 1970er und 1980er Jahren* (pp. 93–115). Berlin; München; Boston: De Gruyter Oldenbourg.
- Greer, S.C. (1995). *Supergrasses: A study in anti-terrorist law enforcement in Northern Ireland*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Grossman, J.B. (1974). *Political justice and system maintenance: An overview of some major variables*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Guthrie, C. and Quinlan, M. (2007). *Just war: The just war tradition. Ethics in modern warfare*. London: Bloombury Publishing.
- Hagan, F.E. (1997). *Political crime: Ideology and criminality*. Boston, MA; London: Allyn and Bacon.
- Hall, W.G.C. (1923). *Political crime: A critical essay on the law and its administration in cases of a certain type*. London: G. Allen and Unwin.
- Halverson, J.R., Goodall, H.L., Jr. and Corman, S.R. (2011). *Master narratives of Islamist extremism*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hanif, N. (1999). *Islamic concept of crime and justice*. New Delhi: Sarup and Sons.
- Heitkamp, S. *Terrorism as ethnic antagonism and violence: A view from sociology*. Manuscript.
- Henderson, H. (2001). *Global terrorism: The complete reference guide*. New York, NY: Checkmark Books.
- Hersh, S.M. (2004). *Chain of command: The road from 9/11 to Abu Ghraib*. New York, NY: Harper Collins.
- Heyman, P.B. (1998). *Terrorism and America. A commonsense strategy for a democratic society*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Hoffe, O. (1995). *Political justice: Foundations for critical philosophy of law and the state*. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.
- Honderich, T. (2002). *After the terror*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Human Security Network (2003). *Understanding human rights. Manual on human rights education*. Graz: Human Security Network.
- Ignatieff, M. (2004). *The lesser evil: Political ethics in an age of terrorism*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Ingraham, B.L. (1979). *Political crime in Europe: A comparative study of France, Germany, and England*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Kaplan, M.A. (1976). *Justice, human nature and political obligation*. New York: Free Press.
- Kee, R. (1986). *Trial and error: The Maguires, the Guildford Pub bombings and British justice*. London: Hamish Hamilton.

- Keenan, A. (2003). *Democracy in question: Democratic openness in a time of political closure*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Kelk, C. (2005). *Studieboek materieel strafrecht*. Deventer: Kluwer.
- Kennedy, D. (2006). *Of war and law*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Kennedy, L.W. and McGarrell, E.F. (eds) (2011). *Crime and terrorism risk: Studies in criminology and criminal justice*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Kirchheimer, O. (1961). *Political Justice. The use of legal procedures for political ends*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Kittrie, N.N. and Wedlock, E.D. (1998). *The tree of liberty: A documentary history of rebellion and political crime in America*. Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University.
- Knight, J. (2001). Social norms and the rule of law: Fostering trust in a socially diverse society. In: Cook, K.S. (ed.). *Trust in society*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Koch, H.W. (1989). *In the name of the volk: Political justice in Hitler's Germany*. London: Tauris.
- Krieken, P. (2002). *Terrorism and the international legal order*. The Hague: T.M.C. Asser Press.
- Kukathas, C. and Pettit, P. (1990). *Rawls: A theory of justice and its critics*. Cambridge, MA: Polity Press.
- Kushner, H.W. (2002). *Essential readings on political terrorism: Analyses of problems and prospects for the 21st century*. Lincoln, NE: Gordian Knot Books.
- Laqueur, W. (2004). *Voices of terror: Manifestos, writings, and manuals of Al Qaeda, Hamas, and other terrorists from around the world and throughout the ages*. New York, NY: Reed Press.
- Law, R.D. (ed.) (2015). *The Routledge history of terrorism*. Oxon/New York: Routledge.
- Luthringer, C. (2000). *So what is justice anyway?* New York: Rosen Publishing Group.
- Lynch, A. and Williams, G. (2006). *What price security? Taking stock of Australia's anti-terrorism laws*. Sydney: University of New South Wales Press.
- Mackie, J.L. (1977). *Ethics: Inventing right and wrong*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books.
- Mandle, J. (2009). *Rawls' a theory of justice: An introduction*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Marongiu, P. and Newman, G.R. (1987). *Vengeance: The fight against injustice*. Totowa, NJ: Rowman and Littlefield.
- McCarthy, A. (2008). *Willful blindness: A memoir of jihad*. New York, NY: Encounter Books.
- McWilliams, J.P. and Cooper, J.F.A. (1972). *Political justice in a republic: James Fenimore Cooper's America*. Berkley, CA: University of California Press.

- Meisels, T. (2011). *The Trouble with terror. Liberty, security, and the response to terrorism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Midlarsky, M.I. (1949). *Origins of Political Extremism. Mass Violence in the Twentieth Century and Beyond*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mitchell, R.H. (1992). *Janus-faced justice: Political criminals in imperial Japan*. Honolulu, HI: University of Hawaii Press.
- Montesquieu, C. (1949). *The spirit of the laws*. Hafner Classics.
- Moore, B. (1978). *The social bases of obedience and revolt*. London: Macmillan.
- Mueller, J. (2012). *Terrorism since 9/11: The American cases*. [<http://psweb.sbs.ohio-state.edu/faculty/jmueller/SINCE.pdf>].
- Musloff, A. (1996). *Krieg gegen die Oeffentlichkeit. Terrorismus und politischer Sprachgebrauch*. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag.
- Nadelmann, E.A. (1993). *Cops across borders: The internationalization of U.S. criminal law enforcement*. University Park, PA: Pennsylvania State University Press.
- O'Brien, W.V. (1991). *Law and morality in Israel's war with the P.L.O.* New York, NY: Routledge.
- O'Keefe, M.P. and Coady, C.A.J. (2002). *Terrorism and justice: Moral arguments in a threatened world*. Carlton South, Vic.: Melbourne University Press.
- Olwig, K. and Mitchell, D. (2009). *Justice, power and the political landscape*. London: Routledge.
- Ostrom, B.J., Ostrom Jr., C.W., Hanson, R.A. and Kleiman, M. (2007). *Trial courts as organizations*. Philadelphia: Temple University Press.
- Peden, C.E. and Hudson, Y.E. (1990). *Terrorism, justice and social values*. Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen Press.
- Pettit, P. (1980). *Judging justice: An introduction to contemporary political philosophy*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Pitkin, H.F. and Wittgenstein, L. (1972). *Wittgenstein and justice: On the significance of Ludwig Wittgenstein for social and political thought*. Berkley, CA: University of California Press.
- Post, J.M. (2002). *Terrorist on trial: The context of political crime*. In: Kushner, H.W. *Essential readings in political terrorism*. Lincoln: Gordon Knot Books.
- Proal, L. (2009). *Political crime*. Holmes Beach, FL: Gaunt, Inc.
- Prost, K. (2003). *Extradition: The practical challenges*. In: Yepes-Enriquez, R. and Tabassi, L. (eds), *Treaty enforcement and international cooperation in criminal matters. With special reference to the chemical weapons convention*. The Hague: T.M.C. Asser Press.
- Pruzan, E.R. (1989). *The concept of justice in Marx*. New York: Peter Lang.
- Quinn, G.E. (et al.) (1995). *Justice and legal theory in Ireland*. Dublin: Oak Tree Press.

- Ratnapala, S. (2009). *Jurisprudence*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Rawls, J. (1999). *A theory of justice*. Revised ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Reichel, P.L. (2002). *Comparative criminal justice systems: A topical approach*. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.
- Revon, C. (1978). *Terrorism and political defense*. *Deviance et société*. Geneva: Faculte de Droit.
- Richardson, L. (2006). *What terrorists want. Understanding the enemy, Containing the threat*. New York: Random House.
- Rishikof, H., Baker, S. and Horowitz, B. (eds) (2012). *Patriots debate: Contemporary issues in national security*. American Bar Association Standing Committee on Law and National Security.
- Roberts, J.V. and Stalans, L.J. (1997). *Public Opinion, Crime, and Criminal Justice*. Boulder: Westview.
- Robertson, G. (2007). Fair Trials for Terrorists? In: Wilson, R.A. (ed.). *Human Rights in the 'War on Terror'*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Roche, D. (1999). *Bread not bombs: A political agenda for social justice*. Edmonton: University of Alberta Press.
- Roebuck, J.B. and Weeber, S.C. (1978). *Political crime in the United States: Analyzing crime by and against government*. New York: Praeger.
- Ross, J.L. (2003). *The dynamics of political crime*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Ruggiero, V. (2006). *Understanding political violence: A criminological approach*. Maidenhead, Berkshire: Open University Press.
- Saul, B. (2006). *Defining terrorism in international law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Schaefer, D.L. (1979). *Justice or tyranny? A critique of John Rawls's 'a theory of justice.'* Port Washington: Kennikat Press.
- Schafer, S. (1974). *The political criminal: The problem of morality and crime*. New York: Free Press.
- Schmid, A.P. (ed.) (2011). *The Routledge handbook of terrorism research*. London; New York: Routledge.
- (2001). The concept of rule of law. In: Schmid, A.P. and Boland, E. (eds), *The rule of law in the global village: Issues of sovereignty and universality*. Milan: ISPAC.
- Seery, J.E. (1996). *Political theory for mortals: Shades of justice, images, of death*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Sen, A. (2009). *The idea of justice*. London: Penguin Books.
- Shawcross, W. (2011). *Justice and the enemy: Nuremberg, 9/11, and the trial of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed*. New York, NY: Public Affairs.

- Shields, C.A., Damphousse, K.R. and Smith, B.L. (2008). *Assessment of defense and prosecutorial strategies in terrorism trials: Implications for state and federal prosecutors*. Rockville, MD: NCJRS.
- Smelser, N.J. and Baltes, P.B. (eds) (2001). *International encyclopedia of the social and behavioral sciences*, 12. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Sofaer, A.D. (1985). The Political offence exception and terrorism, *Current policy*, no. 762. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of State.
- Sterba, J.P. (2003). *Justice: Alternative political perspectives*. Australia: Thomas Wadsworth.
- Teubner, G. (1997). 'Global Bukowina': Legal pluralism in the world society. In: Teubner, G. (ed.), *Global Law Without a State*. Dartmouth: Aldershot.
- Tunnell, K.D. (1993). *Political crime in contemporary America: A critical approach*. New York: Garland Publishing.
- Van Dyke, V. (1995). *Ideology and political choice: The search for freedom, justice and virtue*. Chatham, NJ: Chatham House.
- Verma, V. (2000). *Justice, equality and community: An essay in Marxist political theory*. New Delhi: SAGE.
- Walker, C. and Starmer, K. (eds) (1993). *Justice in error*. London: Blackstone Press.
- Walker, C. (1999). Miscarriages of justice in principle and practice. In: Walker, C. and Starmer, K. *Miscarriages of justice: A review of justice in error*. London: Blackstone Press.
- Weggemans, D.J., en Graaf, B.A. de (2015). *Na de Vrijlating. Een exploratieve studie naar recidive en re-integratie van jihadistische ex-gedetineerden*. Amsterdam: Stapel & De Koning.
- Wilson, C. (2004). *The history of murder*. Edison, N.J.: Castle Books.

13.1.1. Interrogation and Torture Debate

- Alexander, M. (2008). *How to break a terrorist: The US interrogators who used brains, not brutality, to take down the deadliest man in Iraq*. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Carle, G. (2011). *The interrogator: An education*. New York, NY: Nation Books.
- Conrow, J. (2001). *Unspeakable acts, ordinary people: The dynamics of torture*. Oakland: University of California Press.
- Greenberg, K.J. (ed.) (2006). *The torture debate in America*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- and Dratel, J.L. (eds) (2005). *The torture papers: The road to Abu Ghraib*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Levinson, S. (ed.) (2004). *Torture: A collection*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Mackey, C. and Miller, G. (2004). *The interrogators: Inside the secret war against Al-Qaeda*. New York, NY: Little, Brown and Company.
- (2005). *The interrogators: Task Force 500 and America's secret war against Al-Qaeda*. New York, NY: Back Bay Books/Little, Brown and Company.
- McCoy, A. (2006). *A question of torture: CIA interrogation from the Cold War to the war on terror*. New York, NY: Metropolitan Publishing.
- Minitzer, R. (2011). *Mastermind: The many faces of the 9/11 architect, Khalid Shaikh Mohammed*. New York, NY: Sentinel.
- Office of the Director of National Intelligence (2011). *Intelligence interviewing: Teaching papers and case studies (with accompanying CD-ROM: "report on educating information")*. New York: Nova Science Publishers.
- Peters, E. (1999). *Torture*. Expanded Edition. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Rodriguez, Jr., J.A. (2012). *Hard measures: How aggressive CIA actions after 9/11 saved American lives*. New York, NY: Threshold Editions.
- Skerker, M. (2010). *An ethics of interrogation*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Soufan, A. (2011). *The black banners: The inside story of 9/11 and the war against Al-Qaeda*. New York, NY: W.W. Norton and Company.
- Thiessen, M. (2010). *Courting disaster: How the CIA kept America safe and how Barack Obama is inviting the next attack*. Washington, DC: Regnery Publishing.
- Toliver, R.T. and Scharff, H.J. (1997). *The interrogator: The story of Hanns Joachim Scharff: Master interrogator of the Luftwaffe*. Updated Edition. Atglen, PA: Schiffer Publishing.
- Williamson, T., Milne, B., and Savage, S. (eds) (2009). *International developments in investigative interviewing*. Portland, OR: Willan Publishing.

13.1.2. Media

- Hachten, W.A. and Scotton, J.F. (2002). *The world news prism: Global media in an era of terrorism*. 6th ed. Ames: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hess, S. and Kalb, M.L. (eds) (2003). *The media and the war on terrorism*. Washington D.C.: Brookings Institution Press.
- Kavoori, A.P. and Fraley, T. (2006). *Media terrorism and theory: A reader*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers.
- Nacos, B.L. (2007). *Mass-mediated terrorism: The central role of the media in terrorism and counterterrorism*. 2nd ed. Plymouth, UK: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers.
- (1996). *Terrorism and the media*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

- Norris, P., Kern, M. and Just, M. (eds) (2003). *Framing terrorism: The news media, the government, and the public*. New York; London: Routledge.
- Palmer, N. (ed.) (2003). *Terrorism, war, and the press*. Hollis, NH: Puritan Press.

13.1.3. State Terrorism

- Byman, D. (2005). *Deadly connections: States that sponsor terrorism*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Dror, Y. (1980). *Crazy States: A counterconventional strategic problem*. New York: Kraus, Milwood.
- Mann, M. (2005). *The dark side of democracy: Explaining ethnic cleansing*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- McSherry, J.P. (2005). *Predatory states: Operation Condor and covert war in Latin America*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers.
- O'Sullivan, M.L. (2003). *Shrewd sanctions: Statecraft and state sponsors of terrorism*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Stohl, M. and Lopez, G. (eds) (1984). *The state as terrorist: The dynamics of governmental violence and repression*. Westport, CT: Greenwood.
- Wright, T.C. (2007). *State terrorism in Latin America: Chile, Argentina, and international human rights*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield Publishers.

13.2. Periodicals

- Abrahms, M. (2006). Why terrorism does not work. *International Security*, 31:2, pp. 42–78.
- Addicott, J.F. (2004). Into the Star Chamber: Does the United States engage in the use of torture or similar illegal practices in the war on terror? *Kentucky Law Journal*, 92:4, pp. 849–912.
- Ahmad, M.I. (2009). Resisting Guantanamo: Rights at the brink of dehumanization. *Northwestern University Law Review*, 103:4, pp. 1683–1764. [<http://www.law.northwestern.edu/lawreview/v103/n4/1683/LR103n4Ahmad.pdf>].
- Aldana-Pindell, R. (2002). The 9/11 'national security' cases: Three principles guiding judges' decision-making. *Oregon Law Review*, 81:4, pp. 985–1049.
- Algard, Z.O. (1990). Political trials of the postwar era. *Ponte*, 46:7, pp. 145–450.
- Ali, S.S. and Rehman, S. (2005). The concept of jihad in Islamic international law. *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*, 10:3, pp. 321–343.

- Allo, A.K. (2010). The “show” in the show trials: Contextualizing the politicization of the courtroom. *Barry Law Review*, 15:1, pp. 41–72.
- Alvarez, J.E. (2006). Torturing the law. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 175–223.
- Ambos, K. (2008). May a state torture suspects to save the life of innocents? *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 6:2, pp. 261–287.
- Anderlini, L. (1993). Hide-and-seekers—parties and criminal—trials (political). *Il Ponte*, 49:1, pp. 12–16.
- Anderson, J.F. (2006). Reflections on standing: Challenges to searches and seizures in a high technology world. *Mississippi Law Journal*, 75:4, pp. 1099–1141. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1344516].
- Andrews, D.R. (2004). A Thorn on the tulip—A Scottish trial in the Netherlands: The story behind the Lockerbie trial. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36:2–3, pp. 307–318.
- Anzenbacher, A. (2001). Cooperation, conflict and recognition—The systematic development of a concept of justice. *Zeitschrift Fur Evangelische Ethik*, 45:3, pp. 168–180.
- Aptel, C. (2007). Some innovations in the statute of the special tribunal for Lebanon. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 5:5, pp. 1107–1124.
- Arrigo, J.M. (2004). A utilitarian argument against torture interrogation of terrorists. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 10:3, pp. 543–572.
- Athey, S. (2007). The terrorist we torture: The case of Abdul Hakim Murad. *South Central Review*, 24:1, pp. 73–90.
- Bagaric, M. and Clarke, J. (2005). Not enough official torture in the world? The circumstances in which torture is morally justifiable. *University of South Florida Law Review*, 39:3, pp. 581–616.
- Bahar, M. (2007). Attaining optimal deterrence at sea: A legal and strategic theory for naval anti-piracy operations. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, 40:1, pp. 1–85.
- Ballin, M.H. (2008). Inside view of Dutch counterterrorism strategy: Countering terrorism through criminal law and the presumption innocence. *The Journal of the Institute of Justice and International Studies*, 8:1, pp. 139–151.
- Barkin, A.A. (2003). Corporate America—Making a killing: An analysis of why it is appropriate to hold American corporations who fund terrorist organizations liable for aiding and abetting terrorism. *California Western Law Review*, 40:1, pp. 169–193.
- Başoğlu, M., Livanou, M. and Crnobaric, C. (2007). Torture vs. other cruel, inhuman, and degrading treatment: Is the distinction real or apparent? *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 64:3, pp. 277–285. [<http://archpsyc.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=482225>].

- Bassiouni, M.C. (2006). The institutionalization of torture under the Bush administration. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 389–425. [<http://www.case.edu/orgs/jil/vol.37.2.3/Bassiouni.pdf>].
- (1981). Terrorism, law enforcement, and the mass media: Perspectives, problems, proposals. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 72:1, pp. 1–51.
- Beale, S.S. and Feldman, J.E. (2002). The consequence of enlisting federal grand juries in the war on terrorism: Assessing the USA Patriot Act's changes to grand jury secrecy. *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 25:2 pp. 699–720.
- Becker, J.S. (2003). A legal war on terrorism: Extending *New York v. Quarles* and the departure from enemy combatant designations. *DePaul Law Review*, 53:2, pp. 831–873.
- Bell, J.T. (2010). Trying Al-Qaeda: Bringing terrorists to justice. *Perspectives on Terrorism*, 4:4, pp. 73–81. [<http://www.terrorismanalysts.com/pt/index.php/pot/article/view/115>].
- Bellamy, A.J. (2006). No pain, no gain?: Torture and ethics in the war on terror. *International Affairs*, 82:1, pp. 121–148.
- Bennett, G. (2005). Legislative responses to terrorism: A view from Britain. *Penn State Law Review*, 109:4, pp. 947–966.
- Bennoune, K. (2010). Terror/Torture redux. *Tulane Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 19:1, pp. 173–185.
- Ben-Yehuda, N. (1997). Political assassination events as a cross-cultural form of alternative justice. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*, 38:1, pp. 25–47.
- Bergen, P. (2001). The Bin Laden trial: What did we learn? *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, 24:6, pp. 429–434.
- Betti, S. (2006). The duty to bring terrorists to justice and discretionary prosecution. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 4:5, pp. 1104–1116.
- Bianchini, S. (1990). Purges and political trials in Yugoslavia, 1948–1954. *Rivista Di Storia Contemporanea*, 19:4, pp. 587–615.
- Blaauw-Wolf, L. (2004). The Hamburg terror trials—American political poker and German legal procedure: An unlikely combination to fight international terrorism. *German Law Journal*, 5:7, pp. 791–828. [http://www.germanlawjournal.com/pdfs/Vol05No07/PDF_Vol_05_No_07_791-828_Public_Wolf.pdf].
- Black, R. (2004). Lockerbie: A satisfactory process but a flawed result. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36:2–3, pp. 443–451.
- Blakeley, R. (2011). Dirty hands, clean conscience? The CIA Inspector General's investigation of 'enhanced interrogation techniques' in the war on terror and the torture debate. *Journal of Human Rights*, 10:4, pp. 544–561.

- Blank, L.R. (2010). Defining the battlefield in contemporary conflict and counterterrorism: Understanding the parameters of the zone of combat. *Georgia Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 39:1, pp. 1–38.
- Boeving, J.N. (2007). The right to be present before military commissions and federal courts: Protecting national security in an age of classified information. *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 30:2, pp. 463–577. [http://www.law.harvard.edu/students/orgs/jlpp/Vol30_No2_Boevingonline.pdf].
- Bolgiano, D.G. and Banks, L.M. (2010). Military interrogation of terror suspects: Imaginative does not have to be mean unlawful. *Military Review*, 90:6, pp. 2–9.
- Bonner, D. (1988). Combating terrorism: Supergrass trials in Northern Ireland. *Modern Law Review*, 51:1, pp. 23–53.
- Bradley-Engen, M.S., Dampousse, K.R., and Smith, B.L. (2009). Punishing terrorists: A re-examination of U.S. federal sentencing in the postguidelines era. *International Criminal Justice Review*, 19:4, pp. 433–455.
- Brill, S. (2010). The national security court we already have. *Yale Law and Policy Review*, 28:2, pp. 525–537. [http://yalelawandpolicy.org/sites/default/files/Brill_28.pdf].
- Broxmeyer, E. (2004). The problems of security and freedom: Procedural due process and the designation of foreign terrorist organizations under the Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act. *Berkeley Journal of International Law*, 22:3, pp. 439–488.
- Bryant, A.C. and Tobias, C. (2002). Youngstown revisited. *Hastings Constitutional Law Quarterly*, 29:3, pp. 373–438. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1090205].
- Callen, J. (2004). Unlawful combatants and the Geneva Conventions. *Virginia Journal of International Law*, 44:4, pp. 1025–1072.
- Caro Coria, D.C. (2010). Prosecuting international crimes in Peru. *International Criminal Law Review*, 10:4, pp. 583–600.
- Cassel, D. (2008). Pretrial and preventive detention of suspected terrorists: Options and constraints under international law. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 98:3, pp. 811–852. [<http://www.law.northwestern.edu/jclc/symposium/Cassel.pdf>].
- Chadha, S. (2006, Winter). Lynne Stewart, jihadi lawyer. *Middle East Quarterly*, 13:1, pp. 59–65. [<http://www.meforum.org/887/lynne-stewart-jihadi-lawyer>].
- Chanbonpin, K.D. (2008). Ditching the disposal plan: Revisiting Miranda in an age of terror. *St. Thomas Law Review*, 20:2, pp. 155–182.
- (2011). ‘We don’t want dollars, just change’: Narrative counter-terrorism strategy, an inclusive model for social healing, and the truth about torture commission. *Northwestern University Journal of Law and Social Policy*, 6:1, pp. 1–46.

- Chesney, R.M. (2007). Federal prosecution of terrorism-related offenses: Conviction and sentencing data in light of the 'soft-sentence' and 'data reliability' critiques. *Lewis and Clark Law Review*, 11:4, pp. 851–901.
- (2005). The sleeper scenario: Terrorism-support laws and the demands of prevention. *Harvard Journal on Legislation*, 42:1, pp. 1–89. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=587442].
- Choi, E.Y. (2007). Veritas, not vengeance: An examination of the evidentiary rules for military commissions in the war against terrorism. *Harvard Civil Rights-Civil Liberties Law Review*, 42:1, pp. 139–189. [http://www.law.harvard.edu/students/orgs/crcl/vol42_1/choi.pdf].
- Claeys, G. (1983). The concept of political justice in Godwin political justice—A reconsideration. *Political Theory*, 11:4, pp. 565–584.
- Clarke, A. (2003). Terrorism, extradition, and the death penalty. *William Mitchell Law Review*, 29:3, pp. 783–808.
- Clark, K. (2005). Ethical issues raised by the OLC torture memorandum. *Journal of National Security Law and Policy*, 1:2, pp. 455–472.
- Clover, J.S. (2004). 'Remember, we're the good guys': The classification and trial of the Guantanamo Bay detainees. *South Texas Law Review*, 45:2, pp. 351–393.
- Cohen, S. (2009). Inside a terror trial. *City Journal*, 19:3. [http://www.city-journal.org/2009/19_3_al-kassar.html].
- Colb, S. (2009). Why is torture 'different' and how 'different' is it? *Cardozo Law Review*, 30:4, pp. 1411–1473.
- Cole, D. (2012). The taint of torture: The roles of law and policy in our descent to the dark side. *Houston Law Review*, 49:1, pp. 53–69.
- (2012). Torture and just war. *Journal of Religious Ethics*, 40:1, pp. 26–51.
- Collins, J.M. (2002). And the walls came tumbling down: Sharing grand jury information with the intelligence community under the USA Patriot Act. *American Criminal Law Review*, 39:3, pp. 1261–1286.
- Condon, J.B. (2008). Extraterritorial interrogation: The porous border, torture and U.S. criminal trials. *Rutgers Law Review*, 60:3, pp. 647–704.
- Connors, T.P. (2010). How not to process stateless enemies: A review of Andrew McCarthy's *Willful Blindness: A Memoir of the Jihad*. *Pace Law Review*, 30:2, pp. 832–839.
- Corn, G.S. and Finegan, S. (2011). America's longest held prisoner of war: Lessons learned from the capture, prosecution, and extradition of General Manuel Noriega. *Louisiana Law Review*, 71:4, pp. 1–31. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1762898].

- Coughenour, J.C. (2003). Reflections on Russia's revival of trial by jury: History demands that we ask difficult questions regarding terror trials, procedures to combat terrorism, and our federal sentencing regime. *Seattle University Law Review*, 26:3, pp. 399–430.
- Crenshaw, M. (1992). Current research on terrorism: The academic perspective. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, 15:1, pp. 1–11.
- Creegan, E. (2011). Cooperation in foreign terrorism prosecutions. *Georgetown Journal of International Law*, 42:2, pp. 491–529.
- Cullinan, K. (2010, January). Tracking terrorist trials. *News Media and the Law*, 34:1, pp. 4–6.
- Cunningham, K.V. and Srader, J.L. (2004). The post 9–11 war on terrorism ... What does it mean for the attorney-client privilege? *Wyoming Law Review*, 4:1, pp. 311–363.
- Damphousse, K. and Shields, C. (2007). The morning after: Assessing the effect of major terrorism events on prosecution strategies and outcomes. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 23:2, pp. 174–194.
- Danner, A.M. (2005). Beyond the Geneva Conventions: Lessons from the Tokyo tribunal in prosecuting war and terrorism. *Virginia Journal of International Law*, 46:1, pp. 83–130.
- Davidson, S. (1997). Blood money: When media expose others to risk of bodily harm. *Hastings Communication and Entertainment Law Journal*, 19:2, pp. 225–307.
- Davis, M. (2005). The moral justifiability of torture and other cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment. *International Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 19:1, pp. 161–178.
- Davis, M.D. (2009). Historical perspective on Guantanamo Bay: The arrival of the high value detainees. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 42: 1–2, pp. 115–124.
- Davis, S.W. (2006). Incitement to terrorism in media coverage: Solutions to Al-Jazeera after the Rwandan media trials. *George Washington International Law Review*, 38:4, pp. 749–778
- Debowski, A. (2009). Old dogs, new tricks: Public interest lawyering in an age of terror. *Alternative Law Journal*, 34:1, pp. 15–20.
- Della Porta, D. (1992). Institutional responses to terrorism: The Italian case. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, 4:4, pp. 151–170.
- Dervan, L.E. (2010). The surprising lessons from plea bargaining in the shadow of terror. *Georgia State University Law Review*, 27:2, pp. 239–298.
- Desai, A.R. (2003). How we should think about the constitutional status of the suspected terrorist detainees at Guantanamo Bay: Examining theories that interpret the constitution's scope. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, 36:5, pp. 1579–1618.

- Deutsch, M.E. and Susler, J. (1991). Political prisoners in the United States: The hidden reality. *Social Justice*, 18:3, pp. 92–106.
- Dickinson, L.A. (2002). Using legal process to fight terrorism: Detentions, military commissions, international tribunals, and the rule of law. *Southern California Law Review*, 75:6, pp. 1407–1492.
- (2006). Torture and contract. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 267–275.
- Donohue, L.K. (2007). Terrorism and trial by jury: The vices and virtues of British and American criminal law. *Stanford Law Review*, 59:5, pp. 1321–1364. [http://cisac.stanford.edu/publications/terrorism_and_trial_by_jury_the_vices_and_virtues_of_british_and_american_criminal_law].
- Dratel, J.L. (2003). Ethical issues in defending a terrorism case: How secrecy and security impair the defense of a terrorism case. *Cardozo Public Law, Policy and Ethics Journal*, 2:1, pp. 81–105.
- Drumbl, M.A. (2002). Terrorist crime, Taliban guilt, Western victims, and international law. *Denver Journal of International Law and Policy*, 31:1, pp. 69–79.
- Ducos, M. (1990). The concept of justice in the works of Tacitus. *Revue des Etudes Latines*, 68, pp. 99–111.
- Dunlap, C.J. (2008). Lawfare today: A perspective. *Yale Journal of International Affairs*, 3:1, pp. 146–154.
- and Letendre, L.A. (2008). Military lawyering and professional independence in the war on terror: A response to David Luban. *Stanford Law Review*, 61:2, pp. 417–442. [<http://www.stanfordlawreview.org/print/article/military-lawyering-and-professional-independence-war-terror-response-david-luban>].
- Eckhardt, W.G. (2003). Lawyering for Uncle Sam when he draws his sword. *Chicago Journal of International Law*, 4:2, pp. 431–444.
- Eijkman, Q. and Ginkel, B. van (2011). Compatible or incompatible? Intelligence and human rights in terrorist trials. *Amsterdam Law Forum*, 3:4, pp. 3–6. [http://www.clingendael.nl/publications/2011/20111100_cscp_artikel_ginkel.pdf].
- Eldredge, J. (2004). Detainment of United States citizens as enemy combatants under a Fourth Amendment historical analysis. *Journal of Law and Social Challenges*, 6, pp. 19–43.
- Eler, J. (2010). Rights trump torture: How Dworkin's system of rights should include a right not to be tortured and defeat ticking time-bomb scenario type arguments. *Macalester Journal of Philosophy*, 19:1, pp. 66–87.
- Elias, S.B. (2009). Rethinking 'preventive detention' from a comparative perspective: Three frameworks for detaining terrorist suspects. *Columbia Human Rights Law Review*, 41:1, pp. 100–234.

- Elsea, J.K. (2007). Lawfulness of interrogation techniques under the Geneva Conventions. *International Journal of Terrorism and Political Hot Spots*, 2:1–2, pp. 35–70.
- (2008). Treatment of ‘battlefield detainees’ in the war on terrorism. *International Journal of Terrorism and Political Hot Spots*, 3:1–2, pp. 125–178.
- Emerson, S. (2004). The Lockerbie terrorist attack and Libya: A retrospective analysis. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36:2–3, pp. 487–490.
- Enders, W. and Jindapon, P. (2011). On the economics of interrogation: The big 4 versus the little fish game. *Journal of Peace Research*, 48:3, pp. 287–301.
- Esposito, S.A. (1998). Source utilization in legal journalism: Network TV news coverage of the Timothy McVeigh Oklahoma City bombing trial. *Communications and the Law*, 20:2, pp. 15–34.
- Evans, J.R. (et al.). (2010). Criminal versus HUMINT interrogations: The importance of psychological science to improving interrogative practice. *Journal of Psychiatry and Law*, 38:1–2, pp. 215–249.
- Falvey, J.L. and Eck, B.D. (2010). Holding the high ground: The operational calculus of torture and coercive interrogation. *Campbell Law Review*, 32:4, pp. 561–593.
- Farhang, M. (1994). Terrorism and military trials in Egypt: Presidential decree no. 375 and the consequences for judicial authority. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 35:1, pp. 225–237.
- Ferrara, A. (2003). Two notions of humanity and the judgment argument for human rights. *Filosoficky Casopis*, 51:4, pp. 639–663.
- Fichtelberg, A. (2005). Crimes beyond justice? Retributivism and war crimes. *Criminal Justice Ethics*, 24:1, pp. 31–46.
- Findlay, W. (2002). Deciphering the ‘humpty-dumpty’ protocol: Political crime, political prisoners and 19th-century Ireland. *Cahiers Victoriens and Edouardiens*, 55, pp. 363–376.
- Findlay, M. (2007). Terrorism and relative justice. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 47:1, pp. 57–68.
- Finnin, S.J. (2003). The proposed trials by the US military commissions. *Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law*, 6, pp. 409–423.
- (2007). Update on United States military commissions. *Yearbook of International Humanitarian Law*, 10, pp. 198–231.
- Fiss, O.M. (2006). The war against terrorism and the rule of law. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 26:2, pp. 235–256.
- Fitzpatrick, J. (2003). Speaking law to power: The war against terrorism and human rights. *European Journal of International Law*, 14:2, pp. 241–264.

- (2003). Rendition and transfer in the war against terrorism: Guantanamo and beyond. *Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Review*, 25:3, pp. 457–492.
- Flanagan-Hyde, K. (2006). The public's right to access to the military tribunals and trials of enemy combatants. *Arizona Law Review*, 48:3, pp. 585–613. [<http://www.arizonalawreview.org/pdf/48-3/48arizlrev585.pdf>].
- Fokkens, J.W. (2004). Strafrecht en terrorisme. *Nederlands Juristenblad*, pp. 1347–1351.
- Foley, B.J. (2007). Guantanamo and beyond: Dangers of rigging the rules. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 97:4, pp. 1009–10069.
- Frouville, O. de (2011). The influence of the European Court of Human Rights' case law on international criminal of torture and inhuman or degrading treatment. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 9:3, pp. 633–649.
- Gallagher, K. (2008). Universal jurisdiction in practice: Efforts to hold Donald Rumsfeld and other high-level United States officials accountable for torture. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 7:5, pp. 1087–1116.
- Garcia, M.J. (2009). Renditions: Constraints imposed by laws on torture. *International Journal of Terrorism and Political Hot Spots*, 4:3–4, pp. 297–326.
- (2009). U.N. Convention Against Torture (CAT): Overview and application to interrogation techniques. *International Journal of Terrorism and Political Hot Spots*, 4:3–4, pp. 411–436.
- (2009). Interrogation of detainees: Requirements of the Detainee Treatment Act. *International Journal of Terrorism and Political Hot Spots*, 4:3–4, pp. 437–453.
- Gardella, T.M. (2006). Beyond terrorism: The potential chilling effect on the internet of broad law enforcement legislation. *St. John's Law Review*, 80:2, pp. 655–692.
- Gardes, J. (1988). Terrorist on trial: The legal dilemmas. *Criminal Justice Journal*, 11:1, pp. 235–265
- Garrison, A.H. (2003). Hamdi, Padilla and Rasul: The war on terrorism on the judicial front. *American Journal of Trial Advocacy*, 27:1, pp. 99–148.
- (2004). The war on terrorism on the judicial front, part II: The courts strike back. *American Journal of Trial Advocacy*, 27:3, pp. 473–516.
- Gauthier, A. (2002). Secret justice: Access to terrorism proceedings. *News Media and the Law*, 26:1.
- Gershman, B.L. (2005). How juries get it wrong. Anatomy of the Detroit terror case. *Washburn Law Journal*, 44:2, pp. 327–354. [<http://digitalcommons.pace.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1123&context=lawfaculty>].
- Ghetti, M.W. (2008). The terrorist is a star! Regulating media coverage of publicity-seeking crimes. *Federal Communications Law Journal*, 60:3, pp. 481–533.

- Gibbons, J.J. (2011). Does 9/11 justify a war on the judicial branch. *Rutgers Law Review*, 63:4, pp. 1101–1116.
- Gilbert, G. (1992). The Irish interpretation of the political offense exemption. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 41:1, pp. 66–84.
- Glazier, D.W. (2005, Fall). Precedent lost: The neglected history of the military commission. *Virginia Journal of International Law*, 46:1, pp. 5–82.
- Goede, M. de and Graaf, B.A. de (2013). Sentencing risk. Temporality and precaution in terrorism trials. *International Political Sociology*, 7:3, pp. 313–331.
- Goldstone, R. (2006). Combating terrorism: Zero tolerance for torture. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 343–348.
- Green, L.C. (1981). Terrorism and the courts. *Manitoba Law Journal*, 11:4, pp. 333–358.
- Greer, C. and McLaughlin, E. (2011). Trial by media: Policing, the 24–7 news media sphere and the politics of outrage. *Theoretical Criminology*, 15:1, pp. 23–46. [<http://tcr.sagepub.com/content/15/1/23.full.pdf+html>].
- Greer, E. (2004). ‘We don’t torture people in America’: Coercive interrogation in the global village. *New Political Violence*, 26:3, pp. 371–387.
- Gregory, E.J. (2010). Trying unlawful combatants at general courts-martial: Amending the UCMJ in light of the military commissions experience. *Military Law Review*, 203:1, pp. 150–188. [http://www.loc.gov/rr/frd/Military_Law/Military_Law_Review/pdf-files/203-spring-2010.pdf].
- Gross, E. (2003). Trying terrorists—Justification for differing trial rules: The balance between security consideration and human rights. *Indiana International and Comparative Law Review*, 13:3, pp. 777–786.
- Guiora, A.N. (2005). Legislative and policy responses to terrorism. A global perspective. *San Diego Journal of International Law*, 7:1, pp. 125–172.
- (2007). Where are terrorists to be tried: A comparative analysis of rights granted to suspected terrorists. *Catholic University Law Review*, 56:2, pp. 805–836.
- (2008). Creating a domestic terror court. *Washburn Law Journal*, 48:3, pp. 617–632.
- (2008). Interrogation of detainees: Extending a hand or a boot? *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform*, 41:2, pp. 375–420.
- (2008). Military commissions and national security courts after Guantanamo. *Northwestern University Law Review*, 103, pp. 199–208.
- (2010). The quest for individual adjudication and accountability: Are international tribunals the right response to terrorism? *Emory International Law Review*, 24:2, pp. 497–513.

- (2012). Due process and counterterrorism. *Emory International Law Review*, 26:1, pp. 163–188. [<http://www.law.emory.edu/fileadmin/journals/eilir/26/26.1/Guiora.pdf>].
- and Page, E.M. (2006). The unholy trinity: Intelligence, interrogation and torture. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 427–446.
- and Parry, J.T. (2008). Light at the end of the pipeline?: Choosing a forum for suspected terrorists. *University Pennsylvania Law Review*, 156, pp. 356–378.
- Haines, S.M. (2004). Rounding up all the usual suspects: The rights of Arab detainees in a post-September 11 world. *Arkansas Law Review*, 57:1, pp. 105–142.
- Hajjar, L. (2002). The making of a political trial: The Marwan Barghouti case. *Middle East Report*, 225, pp. 30–37.
- Hannay, W.M. (1980). International terrorism and the political offense exception to extradition. *Columbia Journal of Transnational Law*, 18:3, pp. 381–412.
- Harel, A. and Sharon, A. (2008). What is really wrong with torture? *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 6:2, pp. 241–259.
- Harper, J. (2009). Defining torture: Bridging the gap between rhetoric and reality. *Santa Clara Law Review*, 49:3, pp. 893–928.
- Harris, G.C. (2003). Terrorism, war and justice: The concept of the unlawful enemy combatant. *Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Journal*, 26:1, pp. 31–36.
- Heilbrunn, J. (1991, Winter). The NY Times and the Moscow show trials. *World Affairs*, 15:3, pp. 87–101.
- Hemming, S. (2010). The practical application of counter-terrorism legislation in England and Wales: A prosecutor's perspective, *International Affairs*, 86:4, pp. 955–969.
- Herrmann, K.L. (2010). Reviewing Bush-Era counterterrorism policy after 9/11: Reconciling ethical and practical considerations. *Homeland Security Review*, 4:2, pp. 139–155.
- Hert, P.J.A. de (2005). Balancing security and liberty within the European Human Rights framework: A critical reading of the court's case law in the light of surveillance and criminal law enforcement strategies after 9/11. *Utrecht Law Review*, 1:1, pp. 68–96.
- Herzig, M. (2002). Is Korematsu good law in the face of terrorism? Procedural due process in the security versus liberty debate. *Georgetown Immigration Law Journal*, 16:3, pp. 685–703.
- Hill, S. (2001). Extradition and international terrorism: Part II. *Crime and Justice International*, 17:52.

- Hocking, J. (2003). Counter-terrorism and the criminalization of politics: Australia's new security powers of detention, proscription, and control. *Australian Journal of Politics and History*, 49:3, pp. 355–371.
- Hodgkinson, S.L. (2010). Are ad hoc tribunals an effective tool for prosecuting international terrorism cases? *Emory International Law Review*, 24:2, pp. 515–525.
- Holmes, S. (2009). In case of emergency: Misunderstanding tradeoffs in the war on terror. *California Law Review*, 97:2, pp. 301–355.
- Hooks, G. and Mosher, C. (2005). Outrages against personal dignity: Rationalizing abuse and torture in the war on terror. *Social Forces*, 83:4, pp. 1627–1645.
- Hufnagel, S.M. (2008). German perspectives on the right to life and human dignity in the 'war on terror.' *Criminal Law Journal*, 32:100, pp. 100–113.
- Hughes, K. (2011). Anatomy of a terrorism prosecution: Dr. Rafil Dhafir and the help the needy Muslim charity case. *National Law Guild Review*, 68:4.
- Huq, A.Z. (2012). Forum choice for terrorism suspects. *Duke Law Journal*, 61, pp. 1415–1509. [<http://scholarship.law.duke.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1534&context=dlj>].
- Hutchinson, A.C. and Monahan, P.J. (1984). Law, politics and the critical legal scholars: The unfolding drama of American legal thought. *Stanford Law Review*, 36:1–2, pp. 199–245.
- Ip, J. (2010). The Supreme Court and House of Lords in the war on terror: Inter arma silent leges? *Michigan State Journal of International Law*, 19:1, pp. 1–61.
- (2009). Two narratives of torture. *Northwestern Journal of International Human Rights*, 7:1, pp. 35–77. [<http://www.law.northwestern.edu/journals/jihr/v7/n1/2/Ip.pdf>].
- Iraola, R. (2003). Terrorism, grand juries, and the Federal Material Witness statute. *St. Mary's Law Journal*, 34, pp. 401–428.
- Ivey, L.M. (2002–2003). Ready, aim, fire? The president's executive order authorizing detention, treatment and trial of certain non-citizens in the war on terrorism is a powerful weapon, but should it be upheld? *Cumberland Law Review*, 33, pp. 107–134.
- Jackola, A.T. (2004). A second bite at the apple: How the government's use of the doctrine of enemy combatants in the case of Zacarias Moussaoui threatens to upset the future of the crime justice system. *Hamline Law Review*, 27, pp. 101–132.
- Jackson, J. (2003–2004). Trial of the accused Taliban and al Qaeda operatives captured in Afghanistan and detained on a U.S. military base in Cuba. *Cumberland Law Review*, 34, pp. 195–229.
- Jackson, J.D. and Doran, S. (1993). Conventional trials in unconventional times: The Diplock court experience. *Criminal Law Forum*, 4:3, pp. 503–520.

- Jacobs, L.M. (2010). It's time to leave the Troubles behind: Northern Ireland must try paramilitary suspects by jury rather than Diplock-type courts. *Texas International Law Journal*, 45:3, pp. 655–665.
- Jaehnig, W.B. (1978). Journalists and terrorism: Captives of the libertarian tradition. *Indiana Law Journal*, 53, pp. 717–744.
- Jakobs, G. (2006). Terroristen als Personen im Recht? *Zeitschrift für die gesamte Strafrechtswissenschaft*, 117:4, pp. 839–851.
- Janik, Jr., A.L. (2002). Prosecuting Al-Qaeda: America's human rights policy interest are best served by trying terrorists under international tribunals. *Denver Journal of International Law and Policy*, 30:4, pp. 498–531.
- Jenks, C. (2009). Notice otherwise given: Will in absentia trials at the special tribunal for Lebanon violate human rights? *Fordham International Law Journal*, 33:1, pp. 57–100.
- and Jensen, E.T. (2010). All human rights are equal, but some are more equal than others: The extraordinary rendition of a terror suspect in Italy, the NATO SOFA, and human rights. *Harvard National Security Journal*, 1, pp. 171–202.
- and Jensen, E.T. (2011). Indefinite detention under the laws of war. *Stanford Law and Policy Review*, 22:1, pp. 41–92.
- Johnson, B.D. (2012). Cross-classified multilevel models: An application to the criminal case processing of indicted terrorists. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 28:1, pp. 163–189.
- Jonakait, R.N. (2003–2004). A double due process denial: The crime of providing material support or resources to designated foreign terrorist organizations. *New York Law School Law Review*, 48, pp. 125–172.
- Joyce, D. (2007). The Judith Miller case and the relationship between reporter and source: Competing visions of the media's roll and function. *Fordham Intellectual Property Media and Entertainment Law Journal*, 17:3, pp. 555–589.
- Joyner, C.C. (2003). International extradition and global terrorism: Bringing international criminals to justice. *Loyola of Los Angeles International and Comparative Law Review*, 25, pp. 493–451.
- Kacprowski, N.A. (2003). Stacking the deck against suspected terrorists: The dwindling procedural limits on the government's power to indefinitely detain United States citizens as enemy combatants. *Seattle University Law Review*, 26, pp. 651–697.
- Kadidal, S. (2011). Confronting ethical issues in national security cases: The Guantanamo habeas litigation. *Seton Hall Law Review*, 41:4, pp. 1397–1426. [<http://erepository.law.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1407&context=shlr>].
- Kane, J. (1996). Justice, impartiality, and equality: Why the concept of justice presume equality. *Political Theory*, 23:3, pp. 375–393.

- Kantor, E. (2008, April). New threats, old problems: Adhering to Brandenburg's imminence requirement in terrorism prosecutions. *George Washington Law Review*, 76:3, pp. 752–785. [<http://groups.law.gwu.edu/LR/ArticlePDF/76-3-Kantor.pdf>].
- Keith, D. (2004). In the name of national security or insecurity? The potential indefinite detention of noncitizen certified terrorists in the United States and the United Kingdom in the aftermath of September 11. *Florida Journal of International Law*, 16, pp. 405–481.
- Kelly, C.A. (2003). The Pen is mightier than the sword or why the media should exercise self-restraint in time of war. *Florida Bar Journal*, 77, pp. 22–29.
- Kelly, M.J. (2003). Executive excess v. judicial process: American judicial response to the government's war on terror. *Indiana International and Comparative Law Review*, 13, pp. 787–823.
- (2003). Cheating justice by cheating death: The doctrinal collision for prosecuting foreign terrorists—Passage of *aut dedere aut iudicare* into customary law and refusal to extradite based on the death penalty. *Arizona Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 20, pp. 491–532.
- Kendall, E.C. (2011, Winter). The alien terrorist removal court and other national security measures you may have never heard of: The need for comprehensive national security reform. *Texas Wesleyan Law Review*, 18:2, pp. 253–274. [http://www.texaswesleyanlawreview.org/index.php?option=com_articlemanager&Itemid=andtask=details&id=53].
- Keyes, T.W. (1985). Does Marx have a concept of justice. *Philosophical Topics*, 13:2, pp. 277–286.
- Kim, J. (2004). Making state sponsors of terrorism pay: A separation of powers discourse under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act. *Berkeley Journal of International Law*, 22, pp. 513–540.
- Kinneally, J.J., III (1987). The political offence exception: Is the United States-United Kingdom supplementary extradition treaty the beginning of the end? *American University Journal of International Law and Policy*, 2:1, pp. 203–227.
- Kirtley, J.E. (2006). Transparency and accountability in a time of terror: The Bush' Administration's on freedom of information. *Communication Law and Policy*, 11, pp. 479–509.
- Knowles, J.B. (2004). The Lockerbie judgments: A short analysis. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36, pp. 473–486.
- Koh, H.H. (2002, April). The case against military commissions. *American Journal of International Law*, 96:2, pp. 337–344. [http://digitalcommons.law.yale.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2842&context=fss_papers].

- Kontorovich, E. (2010). A Guantanamo on the sea: The difficulties of prosecuting pirates and terrorists. *California Law Review*, 98, pp. 234–276.
- Korn, A. (2003). From refugees to infiltrators: Constructing political crime in Israel in the 1950s. *International Journal of the Sociology of Law*, 31:1, pp. 1–22.
- Koskenniemi, M. (2002). Between show trials and impunity. *Max Planck Yearbook of United Nations Law*, pp. 1–15.
- Kreimer, S.F. (2005). ‘Torture lite’, ‘full bodied’ torture, and the insulation of legal conscience. *Journal of National Security Law and Policy*, 1:2, pp. 187–229.
- Krejci, O. (1985). The conservative concept of justice. *Filosoficky Casopis*, 33:6, pp. 845–859.
- Kuhn, W. (2012). The modern development of homegrown creative justice: The speedy trial rights of military detainees. *Syracuse Law Review*, 62:2, pp. 209–253.
- Kumar, M.A. (2011). Secret witnesses, secret information and secret evidence: Australia’s response to terrorism. *Mississippi Law Journal*, 80:4, pp. 1371–1394. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1949575].
- Lasson, K. (2007). Civil liberties in uncivil times: The perilous quest to preserve American freedoms. *London Law Review*, 2, pp. 2–130.
- Lebowitz, M.J. (2011). ‘Terrorist speech’: Detained propagandists and the issue of extraterritorial application of the First Amendment. *First Amendment Law Review*, 9, pp. 573–601.
- (2011). The value of claiming torture: An analysis of al-Qaeda’s tactical lawfare strategy and efforts to fight back. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 43:1–2, pp. 357–392.
- Lee, P. (2006). Interrogational torture. *American Journal of Jurisprudence*, 51, pp. 131–147.
- Legge, Jr., J.S. (2011). Prosecuting Nazi collaborators and terrorists: Eli Rosenbaum and managing the Office of Special Investigations. *Public Administration Review*, 71:2, pp. 247–284.
- Lederer, F.I. (2004). The potential use of courtroom technology in major terrorism cases. *William and Mary Bill of Rights Journal*, 12:3, pp. 887–938. [<http://scholarship.law.wm.edu/wmbrj/vol12/iss3/16/>].
- Leiken, J. (2004). Leaving wonderland: Distinguishing terrorism from other types of crime. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36:2–3, pp. 501–505.
- Lepri, T.J. (2003). Safeguarding the enemy within: The need for procedural protections for U.S. citizens detained as enemy combatants under *Ex Parte Quirin*. *Fordham Law Review*, 71, pp. 2565–2598.
- Levin, B. (2007, May). Trials for terrorists: The shifting legal landscape of the post-9/11 era. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 23:2, pp. 198–218.

- Levinson, S. (2003, Summer). The debate on torture: War against virtual states. *Dissent*, 30:3, pp. 79–90.
- (2005). In quest of a ‘common conscience’: Reflections on the current debate about torture. *Journal of National Security Law and Policy*, 1:2, pp. 231–252.
- Levitt, K.J. (2005). The CIA and the torture controversy: Interrogation, authorities and practices in the war on terror. *Journal of National Security Law and Policy*, 1:2, pp. 341–356.
- Lieberman, D.M. (2006). Sorting the revolutionary from the terrorist: The delicate application of the ‘political offense’ exception in US extradition cases. *Stanford Law Review*, 59:1, pp. 181–212.
- Lippman, M. (1989). Political offender exception in international extradition law: Terrorism versus human rights. *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*, 13:2, pp. 45–59.
- Lochner, T. (2008, April). Sound and fury: Pretextual prosecution and Department of Justice antiterrorism efforts. *Law and Policy*, 30:2, pp. 168–193.
- Locy, T. (2004). The trials of al Qaeda: Federal court vs. military commission. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36:2–3, pp. 507–512.
- Luban, D. (2008). Lawfare and the legal ethics in Guantanamo. *Stanford Law Review*, 60:6, pp. 1981–2026. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1092451].
- (2009). Human dignity, humiliation and torture. *Kennedy Institute of Ethics Journal*, 19:3, pp. 211–230.
- Lynch, A. (2006). Legislating with urgency—The enactment of The Anti-Terrorism Act [No 1] 2005. *Melbourne University Law Review*, 31, pp. 747–781.
- (2006). Maximising the drama: ‘Jihad Jack’, the court of appeal and the Australian media. *Adelaide Law Review*, 27, pp. 311–334. [http://www.gtcentre.unsw.edu.au/sites/gtcentre.unsw.edu.au/files/mdocs/ALynch_ALR.pdf]
- (2012). The impact of post-enactment review on anti-terrorism laws: Four jurisdictions compared. *Journal of Legislative Studies*, 18:1, pp. 63–81.
- , McGarrity, N., and Williams, G. (2009). The proscription of terrorist organizations in Australia. *Federal Law Review*, 37, pp. 1–39. [<http://www.gtcentre.unsw.edu.au/sites/gtcentre.unsw.edu.au/files/mdocs/Proscription.pdf>].
- Madison, B. (2006). Trial by jury or by military tribunal for accused terrorist detainees facing the death penalty? An examination of principles that transcend the U.S. Constitution. *University of Florida Journal of Law and Public Policy*, 17, pp. 347–428. [http://regentuniversity.org/acad/schlaw/faculty_staff/docs/madison/trialbyjury.pdf].
- Margulies, P. (2003). The virtues and vices of solidarity: Regulating the roles of lawyers for clients accused of terrorist activity. *Maryland Law Review*, 62, pp. 173–217.

- (2004). Judging terror in the ‘zone of twilight’: Exigency, institutional equity, and procedure after September 11. *Boston University Law Review*, 84, pp. 383–443.
- Martinez, L. (2002). Prosecuting terrorists at the International Criminal Court: Possibilities and problems. *Rutgers Law Journal*, 34, pp. 1–62.
- May, L. (2005). Torturing detainees during interrogation. *International Journal of Applied Philosophy*, 19:2, pp. 193–208.
- McCarthy, A. (2004). Terrorism on trial: The trials of al Qaeda. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36:2–3, pp. 513–522.
- McComas, B.C. (2010, Spring). Article III by default: Constitutional requirements for the capital prosecution of unprivileged enemy belligerents. *University of San Francisco Law Review*, 44, pp. 979–1005.
- McDonnell, M.H.M., Nordgren, L. and Loewenstein, G. (2011). Torture in the eyes of the beholder: The psychological difficulty of defining torture in law and policy. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, 44:1, pp. 87–122. [<http://law.vanderbilt.edu/publications/journal-of-transnational-law/archives/volume-44-number-1/index.aspx>].
- McGarrity, N. (2010). ‘Testing’ our counter-terrorism laws: The prosecution of individuals for terrorism offences in Australia. *Criminal Law Journal*, 34, pp. 92–127. [<http://www.law.utoronto.ca/documents/workshops/Constitutional-111019-McGarrity.pdf>].
- (2011). Fourth estate or government lapdog? The role of the Australian media in the counter-terrorism context. *Continuum: Journal of Media and Cultural Studies*, 25:2, pp. 273–283.
- McGregor, A. (2010). ‘The Chechen network’ on trial: Terrorist prosecutions in Paris. *North Caucasus Analysis*, 7:18. [http://www.jamestown.org/single/?no_cache=1&tx_ttnews%5Btt_news%5D=3232].
- McMahan, J. (2006). Torture, morality and law. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 241–248. [<http://www.case.edu/orgs/jil/vol.37.2.3/McMahan.pdf>].
- McNeal, G.S. (2008). Organizational theory and counterterrorism prosecutions: A Preliminary Inquiry. *Regent University of Law Review*, 21, pp. 307–329.
- Mégret, F. (2003). Justice in times of violence. *European Journal of International Law*, 14:2, pp. 327–345.
- Meijers, T. and Glasius, M. (2013). Expression of Justice or Political Trial? Discursive battles in the Karadžić case. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 35:3, pp. 720–752.
- Meringolo, J.C. (2010). The media, the jury, and the high-profile defendant: A defense perspective on the media circus. *New York Law School Law Review*, 55, pp. 981–1012.

- Minor, W. (1975). Political crime, political justice, and political prisoners. *Criminology*, 12:4, pp. 385–398.
- Moeckli, D. (2006). The selective ‘war on terror’: Executive detention of foreign nationals and the principles of non-discrimination. *Brooklyn Journal of International Law*, 31:2, pp. 1–40.
- Montano, M. (2009). Who may be tried under the Military Commissions Act of 2006? *Standard Law Review*, 61:5, pp. 1281–1332. [<http://www.stanfordlawreview.org/print/article/who-may-be-tried-under-military-commissions-act-2006>].
- Moore, K. (2007). The role of federal criminal prosecutions in the war on terrorism. *Lewis and Clark Law Review*, 11:4, pp. 837–849.
- Morgan, R. (2000). The utilitarian justification of torture: Denial, desert, and disinformation. *Punishment and Society*, 2:2, pp. 181–196.
- Mullenix, P. (2007). Interrogation strategies for an unconventional extremist enemy. *Polygraph*, 36:3, pp. 121–132.
- Murali, J. (2004). Extraditing terrorists hits a death penalty kibosh. *Wisconsin International Law Journal*, 22, pp. 163–185.
- Murray, C.R.G. (2011). The ripple effect: Guantanamo Bay in the United Kingdom’s courts. *Pace International Law Review*, 23, pp. 15–40.
- Nagel, T. (2005). The problem of global justice. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, 33:2, pp. 113–147.
- Neuman, G.L. (2004). Counter-terrorist operations and the rule of law. *European Journal of International Law*, 15:5, pp. 1019–1029.
- Newton, M.A. (2003). International criminal law aspects of the war against terrorism. *U.S. Naval War College International Law Studies*, 79, pp. 323–352.
- (2006). War by proxy: Legal and moral duties of ‘other actors’ derived from government affiliation. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 249–265.
- Nichols, G. (2008). Repercussions and recourse for specially designated terrorist organizations acquitted of materially supporting terrorism. *Review of Litigation*, 28:1, pp. 263–293.
- Nordgren, L.F., McDonnell, M.H. and Loewenstein, G. (2011). What constitutes torture?: Psychological impediments to an objective evaluation of interrogation tactics. *Psychological Science*, 22:5, pp. 689–694.
- Nouwen, S. and Werner, W. (2010). Doing justice to the political: The ICC in Uganda and Sudan. *European Journal of International Law*, 11:4, pp. 1–25.
- Nugent-Borakove, M.E. (2008). Precursor crimes to terrorism and the local prosecutor’s role in disruption. *International Journal of Comparative and Applied Criminal Justice*, 32:1, pp. 9–21.

- O'Connell, M.E. (2005). Affirming the ban on harsh interrogation. *Ohio State Law Journal*, 66:6, pp. 1231–1267.
- (2008). Defining armed conflict. *Journal of Conflict Security Law*, 13, pp. 393–400.
- Odeshoo, J.R. (2004). Truth or dare? Terrorism and 'truth serum' in the 9/11 world. *Stanford Law Review*, 57, pp. 209–255.
- O'Donnell, M. (2008). Stories of Jack: Myth, media and the law. *Law Text Culture*, 12:1, pp. 188–213. [<http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1013&context=ltc>].
- Ohlin, J.D. (2008). The bounds of necessity. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 6:2, pp. 289–308.
- Olson, L.M. (2010). Prosecuting suspected terrorists: The 'war on terror' demands reminders about war, terrorism, and international law. *Emory International Law Review*, 24:2, pp. 479–496.
- Packer, H.L. (1964). Two models of the criminal process. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, 113:1, pp. 1–68.
- Padmanabhan, V.M. (2009). Norm internalization through trials for violations of international law: Four conditions for success and their application to trials of detainees at Guantanamo Bay. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Law*, 31:2, pp. 427–492. [<http://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1121&context=jil>].
- Parry, J.T. (2005). 'Just for fun': Understanding torture and understanding Abu Ghraib. *Journal of National Security Law and Policy*, 1:2, pp. 253–284.
- (2009). Torture nation, torture law. *Georgetown Law Journal*, 97, pp. 1001–1054.
- and White, W.S. (2002). Interrogating suspected terrorists: Should torture be an option. *University of Pittsburgh Law Review*, 63, pp. 743–766.
- Paust, J.J. (2003). Judicial power to determine the status and rights of persons detained without trial. *Harvard International Law Journal*, 44, pp. 503–532.
- (2009). Serial war crimes in response to terrorism can pose threats to national security. *William Mitchell Law Review*, 35:5, pp. 5201–5221.
- Peterson, A. (2008) Addressing tomorrow's terrorists. *Journal of National Security Law and Policy*, 2:2, pp. 297–354.
- Petty, K.A. (2009). Are you there, Geneva? It's me, Guantanamo. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 42:1–2, pp. 171–186. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1528491].
- Phillips, R.S. (1997). The political offence exception and terrorism: Its place in the

- current extradition scheme and proposals for its future. *Dickinson Journal of International Law*, 15:1, pp. 337–362.
- Posner, E. and Vermeule, A. (2006). Should coercive interrogation be legal? *Michigan Law Review*, 104:4, pp. 671–707.
- Post, J.M. (2000). Terrorist on trial: The context of political crime. *Journal of the American Academy of Psychiatry and the Law*, 28:2, pp. 171–178.
- Punch, M. and Cramphorn, C. (2007). The murder of Theo van Gogh and the Islamic Jihad Division (Divisie Islamitische Jihad) in the Netherlands. *Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism*, 2:1, pp. 34–53.
- Radsan, A.J. (2006). A better model for interrogating high-level terrorists. *Temple Law Review*, 79:4, pp. 1227–1286.
- Rassera, M. (2005). The Dutch response to Moluccan terrorism, 1970–1978. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, 28:6, pp. 481–492.
- Ratner, E. (2012). Anonymous accusers in the Holy Land: Subverting the right of confrontation in the United States’ largest terrorism-financing trial. *Loyola Journal of Public Interest Law*, 13, pp. 575–621.
- Raven-Hansen, P. (2006–2007). National security secrecy in the courts: A comparative perspective from Israel and Ireland. *Cardozo Public Law Policy and Ethics Journal*, 5, pp. 63–74.
- Raviv, A. (2004). Torture and justification: Defending the indefensible. *George Mason Law Review*, 13:1, pp. 135–181.
- Rejali, D. (2003). Modern torture as a civic marker: Solving a global anxiety with a new political technology. *Journal of Human Rights*, 2:2, pp. 153–171.
- Reid, M. (2010). Secrets behind secrets: Disclosure of classified information before and during trial and why CIPA should be revamped. *Seton Hall Legislative Journal*, 32:2, pp. 272–300.
- Resnik, J. (2010). Detention, the war on terror, and the federal courts. *Columbia Law Review*, 110:2, pp. 579–686.
- Reyes, H. (2007). The worst scars are in the mind: Psychological torture. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 89:867, pp. 591–617. [<http://www.ikrk.org/eng/assets/files/other/irrc-867-reyes.pdf>].
- Rice, P.R. and Saul, B.P. (2002, Summer). Is the war on terrorism a war on attorney-client privilege? *Criminal Justice*, 17:2. [http://www.americanbar.org/publications/criminal_justice_magazine_home/crimjust_cjmag_17_2_privilege.html].
- Richardson, C.L. (2010, Summer). The creation of judicial compromise: Prosecuting detainees in a national security court system in Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. *Homeland Security Review*, 4:2, pp. 119–138.

- Rishikof, H. (2003). Is it time for a federalist terrorist court? Terrorists and prosecutions: Problems, paradigms, and paradoxes. *Suffolk Journal of Trial and Appellate Advocacy*, 7, pp. 1–37.
- Roach, K. (2008). The consequences of compelled self-incrimination in terrorism investigations: A comparison of American grand juries and Canadian investigative hearings. *Cardozo Law Review*, 30:3, pp. 1089–1115. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1622260].
- Roach, K. (2011). ‘Constitution chicken’: National security confidentiality and terrorism prosecutions after *R. v. Ahmad*. *Supreme Court Law Review*, 54, pp. 357–390. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2123496].
- (2012). Uneasy neighbors: Comparative American and Canadian counterterrorism. *William Mitchell Law Review*, 38:4, pp. 1701–1803.
- and Trotter, G. (2005). Miscarriages of justice in the war against terrorism. *Penn State Law Review*, 109:4, pp. 967–1041.
- Robbins, C.W. (2012). Finding terrorists’ intent: Aligning civil antiterrorism law with national security. *St. John’s Law Review*, 83:4, pp. 1201–1273. [<http://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1046&context=lawreview>].
- Rose, G. and Nestorovska, D. (2007). Australian counter-terrorism offences: Necessity and clarity in federal criminal law reforms. *Criminal Law Journal*, 31, pp. 20–55. [<http://ro.uow.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1029&context=lawpapers>].
- Ross, J. (2007). Black letter abuse: The US response to torture since 9/11. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 89:867, pp. 561–590. [<http://www.icrc.org/eng/assets/files/other/irrc-867-ross.pdf>].
- Rostow, N. (2011). The laws of war and the killing of suspected terrorists: False starts, rabbit holes, and dead ends. *Rutgers Law Review*, 63:1, pp. 1215–1233.
- Sadat, L.N. (2006). Ghost prisoners and black sites: Extraordinary rendition under international law. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 309–342.
- (2007). Extraordinary rendition, torture, and other nightmares from the war on terror. *George Washington Law Review*, 75:5–6, pp. 1200–1248.
- Said, W.E. (2010). The terrorism informant. *Washington Law Quarterly*, 85:4, pp. 687–738.
- (2012). Ten years after 9/11: Rethinking counterterrorism: The message and means of the modern terrorism prosecution. *Transnational Law and Contemporary Problems*, 21, pp. 175–195.
- Sallon, C. (2006, Spring). Taking liberties with justice—The legal landscape in Britain post September 11th. *Stetson Law Review*, 34, pp. 983–999.
- Sands, P. (2008). Torture team: The responsibility of lawyers for abusive interrogation. *Melbourne Journal of International Law*, 9:2, pp. 365–380.

- Saul, B. (2011). Legislating from a radical The Hague: The UN special tribunal for Lebanon invents an international crime of transnational terrorism. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 24:3, pp. 677–700.
- Schabas, W.A. (2006). The crime of torture and the international criminal tribunals. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 349–364.
- Scharf, M.P. (2000). Terrorism on trial: The Lockerbie criminal proceedings. *ILSA Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 6, pp. 355–361.
- Scheppele, K.L. (2005). Hypothetical torture in the ‘war on terrorism’. *Journal of National Security Law and Policy*, 1:2, pp. 285–340.
- (2005). ‘We forgot about the ditches’: Russian constitutional impatience and the challenge of terrorism. *Drake Law Review*, 53, pp. 963–1027.
- Schlink, B. (2007). Problem with ‘torture lite’. *Cardozo Law Review*, 29:1, pp. 85–89.
- Schmid, A.P. (2004). Terrorism: The definitional problem. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 36:2–3, pp. 375–419.
- Schmid, E. (2009). The right to a fair trial in times of terrorism: A method to identify the non-derogable aspects of article 14 of the international covenant on civil and political rights. *Goettingen Journal of International Law*, 1:1, pp. 29–44.
- Schmidt, S.A. and Dreatel, J.L. (2003/2004). Turning the tables: Using the government’s secrecy and security arsenal for the benefit of the client in terrorism prosecutions. *New York Law School Law Review*, 48, pp. 69–90.
- Schulhofer, S.J. (2008, Fall). Prosecuting suspected terrorists: The role of the civilian courts. *Advance: The Journal of the ACS Issue Groups*, 2:2, pp. 64–71. [<http://www.acslaw.org/files/Prosecuting-Suspected-Terrorists.pdf>].
- Shah, T.A. (2001). Islam, Muslims and terrorism: Secret evidence and guilt by association. *Michigan State University-Detroit College of Law Journal of International Law*, 10, pp. 589–602.
- Shields, C.A., Damphousse, K.R., and Smith, B.L. (2006, August). Their day in court: Assessing guilty plea rates among terrorists. *Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice*, 22:3, pp. 261–276.
- Shue, H. (2006). Torture in dreamland: Disposing of the ticking bomb. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 231–239.
- Shulman, M.R. (2009). National security courts: Star Chamber or specialized justice? *ILSA Journal of International and Comparative Law*, 15:2, pp. 533–549. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1328427].
- Sievert, R.J. (2002). War on terrorism or global law enforcement operation? *Notre Dame Law Review*, 78:2, pp. 307–353.

- Silliman, S.L. (2009). The appropriate venue for trying terrorist case: Prosecuting alleged terrorists by military commission: A prudent option. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 41:1–2, pp. 289–297.
- Silver, D. (2011). Media censorship and access to terrorism trials: A social architecture analysis. *Notre Dame Journal of Law, Ethics and Public Policy*, 25, pp. 143–186.
- Simmons, J.A. (2004). In civilian dress and with hostile purpose: The labeling of United States citizens captured on American soil as enemy combatants: Due process vs. national security. *Indiana Law Review*, 37, pp. 579–603.
- Smith, B.L., Dampousse, K.R., Yang, S., and Ginther, C. (2005). Prosecuting politically motivated offenders: The impact of terrorist label on criminal case outcomes. *International Journal of Contemporary Sociology*, 42:2, pp. 209–226.
- , Dampousse, K.R., Jackson, F., and Sellers, A. (2002). The prosecution and punishment of international terrorists in federal courts: 1980–1998. *Criminology and Public Policy*, 1:3, pp. 311–338.
- Smolla, R.A. (2002). From ‘Hit Man to Encyclopedia of Jihad’: How to distinguish freedom of speech from terrorist training. *Loyola of Los Angeles Entertainment Law Review*, 22, pp. 479–490.
- Stratton, S.N. (2004). Taking terrorists to court: A practical evaluation of civil suits against terrorists under the Anti-Terrorism Act. *Suffolk Journal of Trial and Appellate Advocacy*, 9, pp. 27–55.
- Strassfeld, R.N. (2006). American innocence. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 277–308.
- Strauss, M. (2003). Torture. *New York Law School Law Review*, 48, pp. 201–274.
- Sulmasy, G. (2009). The need for a national security court system. *St. John’s Journal of Legal Commentary*, 23:4, pp. 1007–1016. [<http://scholarship.law.stjohns.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1053&context=jcred>].
- Sussman, D. (2006). Defining torture. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 37:2–3, pp. 225–230.
- Tait, D. (2011, December). Deliberating about terrorism: Prejudice and jury verdicts in a mock terrorism trial. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology*, 44:3, pp. 387–403.
- Tarin, D. (2004). Will an attack on America justify an attack on Americans?: Congressional and constitutional prohibitions on the executive’s power to detain U.S. citizens as enemy combatants. *Virginia Journal of International Law*, 22, pp. 1145–1196.
- Terry, J.P. (2010). Federal court or military commission: The legal dilemma posed by those charged with terrorist violence. *Naval Law Review*, 60, pp. 125–140. [<http://www.jag.navy.mil/documents/navylawreview/NLRVolume60.pdf>].

- Thompson, D.K. (1983). The evolution of the political offence exception in an age of modern political violence. *Yale Journal of World Public Order*, 9:2, pp. 315–341.
- Tilstra, S.E. (2003). Prosecuting international terrorists: The Abu Sayyaf attacks and the Bali bombing. *Pacific Rim Law and Policy Journal*, 12:3, pp. 835–869. [<http://digital.law.washington.edu/dspace-law/bitstream/handle/1773.1/745/12PacRimLPolyJ835.pdf?sequence=1>].
- Tobias, C. (2004). Punishment and the war on terrorism. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Constitutional Law*, 6, pp. 1116–1158.
- Tortoroli, C. (2010, Winter). Gangs of New York are terrorists? The misapplication of the New York antiterrorism statute due to lack of comprehensive gang legislation. *St. John's Law Review*, 84:1, pp. 391–421.
- Tracy, J. (2009). Detention and prosecution of alleged terrorists and combatants. *Human Rights Brief*, 16:3, pp. 15–18.
- Trahan, J. (2002). Trying a Bin Laden and others: Evaluating the options for terrorist trials. *Houston Journal of International Law*, 24, pp. 475–508.
- Tsai, R.L. (2010). John Brown's constitution. *Boston College Law Review*, 51:1, pp. 151–207.
- Ulbrick, J.T. (2005). Tortured logic: The (il)legality of United States interrogation practices in the war on terror. *Northwestern University Journal of International Human Rights*, 5:1, pp. 210–237.
- Valero-Garcés, C. and Abkari, A. (2010). Learning from practice: Interpreting at the 11M terrorist attack trial. *The International Journal for Translation and Interpreting Research*, 2:2, pp. 44–56.
- Vervaele, J.A. (2005). Terrorism and information sharing between the intelligence and law enforcement communities in the US and the Netherlands: Emergency criminal law? *Utrecht Law Review*, 1:1, pp. 1–27.
- (2009). Special procedural measures and the protection of human rights, general report. *Utrecht Law Review*, 5:2, pp. 66–103.
- Vidino, L. (2007). The Hofstad group: The new face of terrorist networks in Europe. *Studies in Conflict and Terrorism*, 30:7, pp. 579–592.
- Vidmar, N. (2003). When all of us are victims: Juror prejudice and terrorist trials. *Chicago-Kent Law Review*, 78, pp. 1143–1178.
- Villaverde, M.D. (2003). Structuring the prosecutor's duty to search the intelligence community for Brady material. *Cornell Law Review*, 88:5, pp. 1471–1548.
- Vladeck, S.I. (2010). Terrorism trials and the Article III courts after Abu Ali. *Texas Law Review*, 88:7, pp. 1501–1532. [<http://www.texasrev.com/issues/vol/88/issue/7/vladeck>].

- (2010). The laws of war as a constitutional limit on military jurisdiction. *Journal of National Security and Policy*, 4:295, pp. 295–342.
- Van der Vyver, J.D. (2010). Prosecuting terrorism in international tribunals. *Emory International Law Review*, 24:2, pp. 527–547.
- Wahlquist, J. (2009). Enhancing interrogation: Advancing a new agenda. *Parameters*, pp. 38–49.
- Waldron, J. (2005). Torture and positive law: Jurisprudence for the White House. *Columbia Law Review*, 105:6, pp. 1681–1750.
- Walker, C. (2006). Intelligence and anti-terrorism legislation in the United Kingdom. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 44, pp. 387–422.
- (2009). Prosecuting terrorism: the Old Bailey versus Belmarsh. *Amicus Curiae*, 79, pp. 21–25. [http://sas-space.sas.ac.uk/2564/1/Amicus79_Walker.pdf].
- Wallace, D.H. and Kreisel, B. (2003). Martial law as a counterterrorism response to terrorist attacks: Domestic and international dimensions. *International Criminal Justice Review*, 13:1, pp. 50–75.
- Wallace, D.A. (2008). Torture v. the basic principles of the US military. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*, 6:2, pp. 309–322.
- Wattellier, J.J. (2003). Comparative legal responses to terrorism: Lessons from Europe. *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review*, 27:2, pp. 397–420.
- Weissbrodt, D. and Bergquist, A. (2006). Extraordinary rendition and the torture convention. *Virginia Journal of International Law*, 46, pp. 585–650.
- Weisselberg, C.D. (2008). Terror in the courts: Beginning to assess the impact of terrorism-related prosecutions on domestic criminal law and procedure in the USA. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 50:1–2, pp. 25–46.
- Werner, W. (2010). The curious career of lawfare. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*, 43:1–2, pp. 61–72.
- (2010). The changing face of enmity: Carl Schmitt’s international theory and the evolution of the legal concept of war. *International Theory*, 2:3, pp. 351–380.
- Whealy, A. (2007). Impact of terrorism related laws on judges conducting criminal trials. *The Judicial Review*, 8:3, pp. 353–383.
- Wheatley, J. (2006). The Hamburg 9/11 terrorism trials: Prospects for German legal reform and intelligence sharing. *Journal of International Law and Policy*, 3, pp. 1–25. [https://www.law.upenn.edu/journals/jil/jilp/articles/3-1_Wheatley_Joseph.pdf].
- White, M.J. (2001, Spring). Prosecuting terrorism in New York. *Middle East Quarterly*, 8:2, pp. 11–18. [<http://www.meforum.org/25/prosecuting-terrorism-in-new-york>].
- Wilke, C. (2005, December). War vs. justice: Terrorism cases, enemy combatants, and political justice in US courts. *Politics and Society*, 33:4, pp. 637–669.

- Wilson, R.J. (2003, Fall). Can U.S. courts learn from failed terrorist trials by military commission in Turkey and Peru? *Human Rights Brief*, 11, pp. 12–14. [<http://digitalcommons.wcl.american.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1338&context=hrbrief>].
- Winter, B.T. (1996). Invidious prosecution: The history of seditious conspiracy—Foreshadowing the recent convictions of Sheik Omar Abdel-Rahman and his immigrant followers. *Georgetown Immigration Law Journal*, 10, pp. 185.
- Wolfendale, J. (2006). Training torturers: A critique of the ‘ticking bomb’ argument. *Social Theory and Practice*, 32:2, pp. 269–287.
- (2009). The myth of ‘torture lite’. *Ethics and International Affairs*, 23:1, pp. 47–61.
- Wood, L. (2008). Overview and analysis of Senate amendment concerning interrogation of detainees. *International Journal of Terrorism and Political Hot Spots*, 3:1–2, pp. 1–6.
- Woods, J.C. (2003). The third tower: The effect of the September 11th terrorist attacks on the American jury system. *Alabama Law Review*, 55, pp. 209–229.
- Wright, J. (2011). Mirandizing terrorists? An empirical analysis of the public safety exception. *Columbia Law Review*, 111:6, pp. 1296–1331.
- Yin, T. (2009). Boumediene and lawfare. *University of Richmond Law Review*, 48, pp. 865–892.
- Zarella, J. (2005). Why the traditional First Amendment right to a public trial cannot be applied to military tribunals. *CommLaw Conspectus*, 14, pp. 269–284. [<http://commlaw.cua.edu/articles/v14/Zarella.pdf>].
- Zelikow, P. (2012). Codes of conduct for a twilight war. *Houston Law Review*, 49:1, pp. 1–52. [<http://www.houstonlawreview.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/05/1-Zelikow.pdf>].

13.3. Non-conventional Sources

- Abrams, N. (2012). *Terrorism prosecutions in federal court: Exceptions to constitutional evidence rules and development of a cabined exception for coerced confessions*. (Research Paper No. 11–14). UCLA School of Law. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1846963].
- American Bar Association Standing Committee on Law and National Security, National Strategy Forum, McCormick Foundation (2009, July). *Trying terrorists in Article III courts—A post-workshop report*. Washington, DC. [http://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/migrated/natsecurity/trying_terrorists_artIII_report_final_authcheckdam.pdf].

- Amnesty International (1998). *Fair Trials Manual*. London: Amnesty International Publications.
- (2005, December, 14). *USA: The trial of Ahmed Abu Ali—Findings of Amnesty International's trial observation*. [<http://web.archive.org/web/20070617045947/http://web.amnesty.org/library/index/engamr511922005>].
- Brown, M.A. (2011, March). *Freed: Ripples of the convicted and released terrorist in America*. Naval Postgraduate School.
- Buchhandler-Raphel, M. (2011). *What's terrorism got to do with it? The perils of prosecutorial misuse of terrorism offenses*. [http://works.bepress.com/michal_buchhandler_raphael/15/].
- The Center on Law and Security at NYU School of Law (2006, April). *Prosecuting Terrorism: The Legal Challenge*. *The NYU Review of Law and Security*. [http://www.lawandsecurity.org/Portals/0/Documents/n07_Prosecuting-Terrorism-the-Legal-Challenge.pdf].
- (2011). *Terrorist trial report card: September 11, 2001—September 11, 2011*.
- Center on Law and Security (2009). *Highlights from the Terrorist Trial Report Card, 2001–2009: Lessons Learned*. New York: CLS.
- Council of Europe (1950, amendments 2010). *Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms*. [<http://conventions.coe.int/treaty/en/treaties/html/005.htm>].
- Donohue, L.A. (2001). *In the name of national security: U.S. counterterrorist measures, 1960–2000*. Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs. [http://belfercenter.ksg.harvard.edu/files/in_the_name_of_national_security.pdf].
- Elsa, J.F. (2012, May 9). *Comparison of rights in military commission trials and trials in federal criminal court*. (Rep. No. R40932). Congressional Research Service. [<http://www.fas.org/sgp/crs/natsec/R40932.pdf>].
- Fischer-Lichte, E. (1999, 23 November). *Notwendige Ergänzung des Text-Modells*. *Frankfurter Rundschau*.
- (2000). *Theater als Modell für eine performative Kultur. Zum performative turn in der europäischen Kultur des 20. Jahrhunderts*. *Universitätsreden*, 46. Saarbrücken: Pressestelle der Universität des Saarlandes.
- Goldsmith, J. (2009, February 4). *Long-term terrorist detention and our national security court*. Counterterrorism and American Statutory Law Series No. 5. Brookings Institution, the Georgetown University Law Center, and the Hoover Institution. [<http://www.brookings.edu/research/papers/2009/02/09-detention-goldsmith>].
- Grave de Peralta, R. (2010). *Ensuring the end game: Facilitating the use of classified evidence in the prosecution of terrorist subjects*. Monterey, CA: Naval Postgraduate School. [<http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?AD=ADA518654>].

- Hodgson, J. (2006, November). *The investigation and prosecution of terrorists in France*. An Independent Report Commissioned by the Home Office, United Kingdom. [<http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/law/staff/academic/hodgson/publications/horeportfinal2006.pdf>].
- Litt, R.S. and Bennett, W.C. (2009, May 8). *Better rules for terrorism trials*. Counterterrorism and American Statutory Law Series No. 7. Brookings Institution, the Georgetown University Law Center, and the Hoover Institution. [<http://www.brookings.edu/research/papers/2009/05/08-terrorism-litt-bennett>].
- Paulussen, C. (2012, April). *Impunity for international terrorists? Key legal questions and practical considerations*. International Centre for Counter-Terrorism. The Hague. [<http://www.icct.nl/download/file/ICCT-Paulussen-Impunity-April-2012.pdf>].
- Reagan, R.T. (2011, November 14). *National security case studies: Special case-management challenges*. Federal Judicial Center.
- Rishikof, H. (2007, November). *A federal terrorism court*. Progressive Policy Institute.
- Scheffer, D. (2001, November). *Options for prosecuting international terrorists*. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace. [<http://www.usip.org/publications/options-prosecuting-international-terrorists>].
- Shields, C., Damphousse, K.R., and Smith, B.L. (2009, September). *An assessment of defense and prosecutorial strategies in terrorism trials: Implications for state and federal prosecutors*. Terrorism Research Center, Fulbright University. [<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/228276.pdf>].
- Silver, A. (2006, March 27). *Prosecuting terrorists after September 11*. CFR Backgrounder, Council on Foreign Relations. [<http://www.cfr.org/terrorism-and-the-law/prosecuting-terrorists-after-september-11/p10246>].
- Smith, B.L. and Damphousse, K.R. (2001). *American terrorism study: Patterns of behavior, investigation and prosecution of American terrorists, final report*. [<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/193420.pdf>].
- Turner, S. and Schulhofer, S.J. (2005). *The secrecy problem in terrorism trials*. Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law. [http://brennan.3cdn.net/2941d4bea7c3c450d2_4sm6iy66c.pdf].
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) (ca. 2003). *Unpublished report of the UNODC on the treatment of the political offence exception in international anti-terrorism legal instruments*.
- (2009). *Handbook on criminal justice responses to terrorism*. New York: United Nations.
- United Nations (2002). *Report of the ad hoc committee established by General Assembly*

- resolution 51/210 of 17 December 1996. Sixth session (28 January – 1 February 2002). General Assembly. Official Records. Supplement No. 37 (A/57/37).
- (2003). *Digest of jurisprudence of the United Nations and regional organizations on the protection of human rights while countering terrorism*. New York: United Nations.
- U.S. Department of Defense (2011). *Regulation for trial by military commission*. [http://www.mc.mil/Portals/0/Reg_for_Trial_by_mcm.pdf].
- Vidmar, N. (2006). *Trial by jury involving persons accused of terrorism*. Duke Law School Faculty Scholarship Series. (Paper 54). [http://lsr.nellco.org/duke_fs/54].
- Whealy, A. (2010, April). *Terrorism and the right to a fair trial: Can the law stop terrorism? A comparative analysis*. A Paper presented to the British Institute of International and Comparative Law, London, UK. [http://www.biicl.org/files/5038_terrorism_and_the_right_to_a_fair_trial_2_.pdf].
- Zabel, R.B. and Benjamin, Jr., J.J. (2008). *In pursuit of justice: Prosecuting terrorism cases in the federal courts*. Washington, DC: Human Rights First. [<http://www.humanrightsfirst.org/wp-content/uploads/pdf/080521-USLS-pursuit-justice.pdf>].

13.3.1. Interrogation and Torture Debate

- Ferrara, P. (et al.) (n.d.). *The interrogation memos: Shall we be clueless on terrorism*. Alexandria, VA: American Civil Rights Union. [<http://theacru.org/pdfs/TheInterrogationMemos.pdf>].
- Human Rights Center (2008). *Guantanamo and its aftermath: US detention and interrogation practices*.
- Human Rights Watch (2011, July). *Getting away with torture: The Bush Administration and mistreatment of detainees*. [<http://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/reports/us0711webwcover.pdf>].
- Intelligence Science Board (2006, December). *Educing information: Interrogation: Science and art*. Washington, DC: National Defense Intelligence College. [<http://www.fas.org/irp/dni/educing.pdf>].
- Laufer, J. (2011). *By any means necessary: The quandary the CIA now faces in light of employing enhanced interrogation methods to combat the war on terror*. (Master's thesis, Duquesne University). [<http://gradworks.umi.com/14/90/1490849.html>].

13.3.2. Prosecution and Trial News Coverage By Defendant/Plot

Anti-Terrorism Law General

- Anti-terror tactics 'weaken law' (2009, February 16). BBC News. [<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/7892387.stm>].

General Terrorism and Trials

- Chronology of major terrorism trials in Britain (2007, July 9). Reuters. [<http://uk.reuters.com/article/2007/07/09/idUKL0929297720070709>].
- Eggen, D. and Tate, J. (2005, June 12). U.S. campaign produces few convictions on terrorism charges; statistics often count lesser crimes. *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/06/11/AR2005061100381.html>].
- Fazlollah, M. and Nicholas, P. (2001, December 1). U.S. overstates arrests in terrorism. *Philadelphia Inquirer*. [http://articles.philly.com/2001-12-16/news/25307839_1_terrorism-fbi-computer-system-justice-department].
- I was put on trial by al-Qaeda (2009, February 7). *BBC News*. [<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/7870597.stm>].
- Justice department chronology of terrorism-related convictions (2006, June 23). *Wall Street Journal*. [<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB115108663859289059.html>].
- Montgomery, L. and Ryan, E. (2011, February 24). Terrorist plots uncovered in the US since 9/11. *Christian Science Monitor*. [<http://www.csmonitor.com/USA/2009/0926/p02s08-usgn.html>].
- Perelman, M. (2006, January 19). How the French fight terror. *Foreign Policy*. [http://www.foreignpolicy.com/articles/2006/01/18/how_the_french_fight_terror].
- Recent terrorism-related cases in Canada (2012, June 8). *CBC News*. [<http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/story/2011/01/21/f-terror-cases-quickfacts.html>].

Liquid Bomb Plot

- 7/7 'inspired airline plotters' (2008, April 7). *BBC News Online*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/7334453.stm].
- Aircraft bomb plot trial to begin (2008, April 2). *BBC News Online*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/7326981.stm].
- Airlines bomb plot: The e-mails. (2009, September 7). *BBC News*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8193501.stm].
- Bin Laden 'inspired bomb plotter' (2008, April 10). *BBC News Online*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/7340606.stm].
- Casciani, D. (2009, September 7). Liquid bomb plot: What happened. *BBC News*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8242479.stm].
- Corera, G. (2008, September 9). Bomb plot—the al-Qaeda connection. *BBC News*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/7606107.stm].
- Jury hears 'suicide recordings' (2008, May 7). *BBC News Online*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/7387933.stm].
- Profiles: Airline plot accused (2009, September 7). *BBC News*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/7604808.stm].

- Profiles: Operation Overt (2008, September 8). BBC News Online. [<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/10455915>].
- Reaction to airline convictions (2009, September 7). BBC News. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8242515.stm].
- Stringer, D. (2008, April 3). Airliner bomb plot trial begins in UK. *USA Today*. [http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/2008-04-02-4073236149_x.htm].
- ‘Suicide videos’: What they said (2008, April 4). BBC News Online. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/7330367.stm].
- Sullivan, A. (2008, April 5). British jury in terror case shown ‘martyrdom tapes.’ *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/04/04/AR2008040403468.html>].
- Three guilty of airline bomb plot (2009, September 7). BBC News. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/8242238.stm].
- UK terror suspect says bombs were stunt (2008, June 2). *USA Today*. [http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/2008-06-02-terrorist-uk_N.htm].

Anders Behring Breivik

- Anda, L.G. (2012, April 10). Breivik’s sanity: Norway psychiatrists at odds. BBC News. [<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-17667261>].
- Anders Behring Breivik wants acquittal or death penalty (2012, April 18). BBC News. [<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-17752189>].
- Anderson, C. (2012, May 11). Shoe thrown at defendant in Norway murder trial. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/05/12/world/europe/breivik-trial-in-norway-killings-is-disrupted.html>].
- Deshayes, P.H. (2012, May 23). Norway shooting massacre survivor calls bullet scars ‘the price of democracy.’ *National Post (Canada)*. [<http://news.nationalpost.com/2012/05/23/norway-shooting-massacre-survivor-calls-bullet-scars-the-price-for-democracy/>].
- Lewis, M. (2012, June 21). Prosecutors in Norway seek hospital for gunman. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/06/22/world/europe/anders-breivik-prosecutors-seek-psychiatric-confinement.html>].
- (2012, June 22). At trial’s end, lawyers say Norway killer is not insane. *New York Times*.
- and Cowell, A. (2012, April 16). Norwegian man claims self-defense in killings. *New York Times*. [http://www.nytimes.com/2012/04/17/world/europe/trial-of-anders-behring-breivik-opens-in-norway.html?pagewanted=all&_m=semityn].

- and Cowell, A. (2012, April 17). On witness stand, Norwegian says he would kill again. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/04/18/world/europe/anders-behring-breivik-trial-in-norway.html?pagewanted=all>].
- and Jolly, D. (2012, April 18). Prosecutors press Norwegian on extremist affiliations. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/04/19/world/europe/norway-anders-behring-breivik-trial-extremist-affiliations.html>].
- No appeal from Breivik as case formally ends (2012, September 7). *Seattle Times*. [http://seattletimes.com/html/nationworld/2019089020_apeunorwaymassacre.html].
- Shane, S. (2011, July 24). Killings in Norway spotlight anti-Muslim thought in U.S. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/07/25/us/25debate.html?pagewanted=all>].
- Stephens, B. (2011, July 26). What is Anders Breivik? *Wall Street Journal*. [<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424053111903591104576467773477916948.html>].

Nidal Hassan—Fort Hood Shooting

- Brown, A.K. (2012, September 6). Nidal Hasan, Fort Hood shooting suspect, must shave beard. *Huffington Post*. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/09/06/nidal-hasan-shave-beard-fort-hood-shooting_n_1862241.html].
- Fernandez, M. (2012, August 15). Fort Hood shooting trial delayed pending ruling on beard. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/08/16/us/fort-hood-shooting-trial-delayed-pending-ruling-on-beard.html>].
- Hennessy-Fiske, M. (2012, August 14). Judge fines Ft. Hood suspect for beard, denies trial delay. *Los Angeles Times*. [<http://www.latimes.com/news/nation/nationnow/la-na-nn-fort-hood-shooter-20120814,0,5364782.story>].
- Rizzo, J. (2012, August 30). Accused Fort Hood shooter makes first statement in court. *CNN Security Clearance*. [<http://security.blogs.cnn.com/2012/08/30/accused-fort-hood-shooter-makes-first-statement-in-court/>].

El-Masri

- Court rejects lawsuit related to C.I.A. kidnapping (2010, December 11). *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/12/11/world/europe/11briefs-Germany.html>].
- Fleishman, J. and Goetz, J. (2007, January 31). Germany may indict U.S. agents in abduction. *Los Angeles Times*. [<http://articles.latimes.com/2007/jan/31/world/fg-cia31>].
- Landler, M. (2007, February 1). German court challenges CIA over abduction. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2007/02/01/world/europe/01germany.html>].
- Whitlock, C. (2007, February 1). Germans charge 13 CIA operatives. *Washington*

Post. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/01/31/AR2007013100356.html>].

Virginia Jihad

Lichtblau, E. (2003, June 28). Group of Muslims charged with plotting against India. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2003/06/28/us/group-of-muslims-charged-with-plotting-against-india.html>].

——— (2007, November 21). Wiretap issues leads judge to warn of retrial in terror case. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2007/11/21/washington/21nsa.html>].

Markon, J. (2004, March 10). Final ‘Va. jihad’ defendant acquitted. *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A44463-2004Mar9.html>].

——— (2005, July 30). U.S. judge reduces ‘Va. jihad’ sentences. *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2005/07/29/AR2005072901893.html>].

——— (2006, April 26). Va. terror case sent back to lower court. *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/04/25/AR2006042502004.html>].

——— (2006, June 8). Va. jihad case hailed as key in war on terror. *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/06/07/AR2006060702109.html>].

——— (2007, November 21). Government secrecy may lead to new trial in Va. terrorism case. *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/11/20/AR2007112001913.html>].

O’Dell, L. (2006, May 26). Court hears appeal of jihad cases. *Richmond Times Dispatch*.

Sentences lengthy for Virginia jihad (2004, June 15). *USA Today*. [http://www.usatoday.com/news/nation/2004-06-15-virginia-jihad-sentence_x.htm].

Smith, B. (2007, October 29). Chandia challenges law on terror group. *Legal Times*.

9/11 Guantanamo

American female defense lawyer covers up in traditional Islamic dress at 9/11 Guantanamo trial ‘out of respect’ for her client’s religious beliefs (2012, May 6). *Daily News (UK)*. [<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2140393/9-11-Guantanamo-trial-American-female-defense-lawyer-covers-traditional-Islamic-dress.html>].

Khalid Sheikh Mohammed Guantanamo hearing gets chaotic start (2012, May 6). *BBC News*. [<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-us-canada-17966362>].

McGreal, C. (2012, May 5). 9/11 suspects refuse to answer judge’s questions as Guantá-

- namo trial opens. *The Guardian* (UK). [<http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2012/may/05/9-11-suspects-guantanamo-trial>].
- Pilkington, E. (2008, February 11). US seeks the death penalty as six detained in Guantánamo are charged over 9/11. *The Guardian*. [<http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2008/feb/12/guantanamo.september11?INTCMP=ILCNETTXT3487>].
- Schulz, D. (2012, April 18). Guantánamo trials should be open. *New York Times*.
- Selsky, A.O. (2008, June 5). Accused 9/11 mastermind asks judge to be executed. (2008, June 5). *USA Today*. [http://www.usatoday.com/news/topstories/2008-06-04-146165448_x.htm].
- The Guantanamo docket (n.d.). *New York Times*. [<http://projects.nytimes.com/guantanamo/>].
- Tran, M. (2009, November 13). Guantánamo Bay detainees to face September 11 trial in New York. *The Guardian* (UK). [<http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2009/nov/13/guantanamo-bay-september-11-trial?INTCMP=ILCNETTXT3487>].
- Wittes, B. and Bennett, W. (2012, May 5–6). 9/11 arraignment. *Lawfare Blog*. (Note: there are 14 parts to this). [<http://www.lawfareblog.com/category/event-coverage/page/3/>].

Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri—USS Cole

- Savage, C. (2011, November 9). Abd al-Rahim al-Nashiri arraigned in U.S. Cole bombing. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/11/10/us/abd-al-rahim-al-nashiri-arraigned-in-uss-cole-bombing.html>].
- (2012, April 12). Ruling averts testimony by a detainee, for now. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/04/12/us/politics/judges-ruling-averts-detainees-testimony-for-now.html>].

Fort Dix Six

- Anastasia, G. (2007, May 13). A radical shift in reputation for 6 men. *Philadelphia Inquirer*. [http://articles.philly.com/2007-05-13/news/25229153_1_dritan-duka-agron-abdullahu-shain-duka].
- Erminio, V. (2008, December 17). Terror trial defense's last word: It was all hot air. *Star Ledger* (New Jersey). [http://blog.nj.com/ledgerarchives/2008/12/terror_trial_defenses_last_wor.html].
- Martin, J. (2008, June 6). Jury pool for Fort Dix trial starts big. *Star Ledger* (New Jersey). [http://blog.nj.com/ledgerarchives/2008/06/jury_pool_for_fort_dix_trial_s.html].
- Mittica, C.J. (2011, April). A shadow in the suburbs. *South Jersey Magazine*. [<http://www.southjersey.com/articles/?articleID=24040>].

Aafia Siddiqui

Ambinder, M. (2010, February 11). A terrorist tried in federal court: The case of Aafia Siddiqui. *The Atlantic*. [http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2010/02/a-terrorist-tried-in-federal-court-the-case-of-aafia-siddiqui/35793/].

North Carolina—Daniel Body Cell

Convicted NC terror plotters get long prison terms (2012, August 24). *Washington Examiner*. [http://washingtonexaminer.com/convicted-nc-terror-plotters-get-long-prison-terms/article/feed/2027373].

Fort Hood Bomb Plot

Brown, A.K. (2012, May 25). Naser Jason Abdo guilty in Fort Hood bomb plot. *Huffington Post*. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/05/25/fort-hood-soldier-guilty_n_1545207.html].

Hennessy-Fiske, M. (2012, August 10). Jason Abdo, former AWOL soldier, sentenced in Ft. Hood bomb plot. *Los Angeles Times*. [http://www.latimes.com/news/nation/nationnow/la-na-nn-ft-hood-bomb-20120810,0,2708863.story].

Naser Jason Abdo sentencing delayed in Fort Hood bomb plot trial (2012, August 9). *Huffington Post*. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/08/09/naser-jason-abdo_n_1762276.html].

Lockerbie

Lockerbie evidence not disclosed (2008, August 28). *BBC News Online*. [http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/scotland/south_of_scotland/7573244.stm].

Trial of El Sayyid Nosair

Farber, M.A. (1991, December 9). Kahane trial sets off squabbles by lawyers. *New York Times*. [http://www.nytimes.com/1991/12/09/nyregion/reporter-s-notebook-kahane-trial-sets-off-squabbles-by-lawyers.html?pagewanted=all&src=pm].

McFadden, R.D. (1991, December 23). For jurors, evidence in Kahane case was riddled with gaps. *New York Times*. [http://www.nytimes.com/1991/12/23/nyregion/for-jurors-evidence-in-kahane-case-was-riddled-with-gaps.html?pagewanted=all&src=pm].

Hofstad Group

Vermaat, E. (2008, January 31). Wrong decision by Dutch appeals court in Hofstad group terror case. *PipeLineNews*. [http://www.investigativeproject.org/595/wrong-decision-by-dutch-appeals-court-in-hofstad-group].

——— (2010, November 1). Dutch Hofstad group member Jason Walters renounces terrorism, violence and salafism. *PipeLineNews*. [<http://www.pipelinenews.org/2010/nov/01/Dutch-Hofstad-Group-Member-Jason-Walters-Renounces-Terrorism.html>].

NGOs, Charities and Corporations and Terrorism

Apuzzo, M. (2007). Chiquita pleads guilty in terrorism probe. *Washington Post*. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2007/03/19/AR2007031900641_pf.html].

Kovach, G.C. (2008, November 24). Five convicted in terrorism financing trial. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2008/11/25/us/25charity.html>].

Millennium Bomber: Ressam and Haouari

Coughenour, J.C. (2007, November 1). Op-Ed, How to Try a Terrorist. *N.Y. Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2007/11/01/opinion/01coughenour.html>].

——— (2008, July 27). Op-Ed, The Right Place to Try Terrorism Cases. *Washington Post*. [<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/07/25/AR2008072502759.html>].

Lovett, I. (2012, March 12). Appeals court overturns millennium bomb-plot sentence; calls it too light. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/03/13/us/appeals-court-overturns-millennium-bomb-plot-sentence.html>].

Schwartz, J. (2010, February 3). Appeals court throws out sentence in bombing plot, calling it too light. *New York Times*. [http://www.nytimes.com/2010/02/03/us/03bomber.html?_r=1].

Sullivan, J. (2010, February 3). Court: Ressam sentence failed to protect public. *Seattle Times*. [http://seattletimes.com/html/localnews/2010963552_ressam03m.html].

Lodi Trials

Archibold, R.C. and Kearns, J. (2006, April 26). In California terror case, a mistrial for a father, but a son is guilty. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/04/26/us/26mistrial.html>].

Marshall, C. (2006, May 6). Government will retry terror case. *New York Times*. [<http://www.nytimes.com/2006/05/06/us/06lodi.html>].

Bali Bombing Trial

Bali bombing suspect Umar Patek on trial in Indonesia (2012, February 13). *BBC News*. [<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-asia-17008398>].

Hussain, Z. (2012, March 23). Osama bin Laden Funded Bali Bombing, Terror Trial Reveals. *Jakarta Globe*. [<http://www.thejakartaglobe.com/home/osama-bin-laden-funded-bali-bombing-terror-trial-reveals/506694>].

Madanir, R. and Whiteman, H. (2012, June 21). Indonesian court sentences Bali bomber to 20 years in jail. *CNN*. [<http://www.cnn.com/2012/06/21/world/asia/patek-bali-bombing-verdict/index.html>].

'Jihad' Jack Thomas

Allard, T. (2006, August 29). Jihad Jack wife's terror link. *Sydney Morning Herald*. [<http://www.smh.com.au/news/national/jihad-jack-wifes-terror-link/2006/08/28/1156617275236.html?page=fullpage>].

———, Munro, I. and Nguyen, K. (2006, August 29). Order puts rights of all at risk: Lawyer. *Sydney Morning Herald*. [<http://www.smh.com.au/news/national/order-puts-rights-of-all-at-risk-lawyer/2006/08/28/1156617275327.html>].

'Jihad Jack' guilty in Melbourne (2006, February 26). *BBC News*. [<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/asia-pacific/4751958.stm>].

'Jihad Jack' given five-year term (2006, March 31). *BBC News*. [<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/asia-pacific/4863422.stm>].

'Jihad Jack' conviction quashed (2006, August 18). *BBC News*. [<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/asia-pacific/5262114.stm>].

Leys, N. (2011, May 2). 'Jihad' Jack Thomas welcomes bin Laden death but warns of martyrdom. *Herald Sun*. [<http://www.heraldsun.com.au/news/jihad-jack-welcomes-bin-laden-death/story-e66rf7jo-1226048407755>].

Neighbour, S. (2008, October 24). Accused's trial by media. *The Australian*. [<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/opinion/columnists/accuseds-trial-by-media/story-e66rf7ef-111117839224>].

Schuster, H. (2006, March 3). The story of Jihad Jack. *CNN*. [<http://edition.cnn.com/2006/WORLD/asiapcf/03/03/schuster.column/index.html>].

Squires, N. (2006, August 19). Bin Laden's friend Jihad Jack freed by Australian judges. *The Telegraph (UK)*. [<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/1526744/Bin-Ladens-friend-Jihad-Jack-freed-by-Australian-judges.html>].

Jack Roche

The Jack Roche story (2004, May 28). *Sydney Morning Herald*. [<http://www.smh.com.au/articles/2004/05/28/1085641707742.html>].

Zeky Mallah—2003

McGarrity, N. (2008, September 17). Identifying clear and present danger. *Sydney Morning Herald*. [<http://www.smh.com.au/news/opinion/identifying-clear-and-present-danger/2008/09/16/1221330832350.html>].

Neighbour, S. (2007, July 19). Guilty until proven innocent. *The Australian*. [<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/legal-affairs/guilty-until-proven-innocent/story-e6frg97x-111113987106>].

O'Brien, N. (2009, February 21). First charged with terrorism Zeky Mallah gains passport. *The Australian*. [<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/first-terrorism-charged-gains-passport/story-e6frg606-111118919770>].

Faheem Khalid Lodhi—2006

Lamont, L. (2006, August 23). Lodhi gets 20-year terror term. *Sydney Morning Herald*. [<http://www.smh.com.au/news/national/lodhi-gets-20year-terror-term/2006/08/23/1156012585950.html>].

Wallace, N. (2006, June 19). Lodhi guilty of terror plot. *Sydney Morning Herald*. [<http://www.smh.com.au/news/national/lodhi-guilty-of-terror-plot/2006/06/19/1150569264287.html>].

'Terrorist Training Camps' Case

Bowcott, O. (2008, February 26). Preacher guilty of organising terror training camps. *The Guardian (UK)*. [<http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2008/feb/26/uksecurity>].

Capaccio, T. (2011, June 21). Pentagon Crosses \$ 1 Trillion Threshold In War On Terror Spending, *Bloomberg News*. [<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2011-06-21/afghanistan-pushes-pentagon-over-1-trillion-mark-for-war-on-terror-costs>].

Terror training camps trial marks another first for the Crown Prosecution Service (2008, February 26). *The Crown Prosecution Service*. [http://www.cps.gov.uk/news/latest_news/112_08/].

The evidence that convicted Hamid (2008, February 28). *The Guardian*. [<http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/video/2008/feb/26/mohammed.hamid.terror.training?INTCMP=ILCNETTXT3487>].

Note

- 1 Assisted by Susanne Keesman, Hannah Joosse, Jorrit Steehouder, Mike Spaans, Alex P. Schmid and Daan Weggemans.