



PROJECT MUSE®

Seeking Impact and Visibility

Trotter, Henry, Kell, Catherine

Published by African Books Collective

Trotter, Henry and Catherine Kell.

Seeking Impact and Visibility: Scholarly Communication in Southern Africa.

African Books Collective, 2014.

Project MUSE.muse.jhu.edu/book/32990.



➔ For additional information about this book

<https://muse.jhu.edu/book/32990>

Tables and figures

Table 2.1	Ranking of SADC countries in terms of ISI papers per million of the population	20
Table 2.2	Total number of participants in SCAP's formal research processes	30
Table 3.1	Comparative national indicators	41
Table 3.2	Comparative institutional indicators	41
Table 5.1	University salary scales	92
Table 5.2	Comparison of values: why scholars conduct and disseminate research	96
Table 5.3	Response to statement: "African scholarship should be freely available on the web"	103
Table 5.4	Most important print materials	108
Table 5.5	Online sources consulted "often" for academic materials	109
Table 5.6	Responses to: "Do you circulate your research prior to publication?"	113
Table 5.7	Responses to: "Is your research available online for the general public?"	121
Table 5.8	UCT Comm scholars' performance assessment weights	132
Table 5.9	UoM Promotion assessment guidelines	133
Table 5.10	UNAM point allocations for scholarly outputs	136
Table 6.1	Comparison of CMS vs repository benefit for SALDRU content curation	153
Table 6.2	Phases in the SALDRU pilot round-table process	154
Figure 1.1	Representation of global scientific output, by proportion of ISI article production	12
Figure 2.1	Representation of an activity system in the CHAT tradition	23
Figure 2.2	Diagrammatic overview of the SCAP operational approach	25
Figure 2.3	Overview of SCAP research and implementation schedule	26
Figure 2.4	UoM FoS activity system triangle populated with change laboratory material	27
Figure 5.1	Faculty age profiles	90
Figure 5.2	Faculty positions/ranks profiles	91
Figure 5.3	Self-reported proportion of time spent on teaching-related activities	93
Figure 5.4	Values motivating UB FoH scholars to conduct and disseminate research	97
Figure 5.5	Values motivating UCT Comm scholars to conduct and disseminate research	99
Figure 5.6	Values motivating UoM FoS scholars to conduct and disseminate research	100
Figure 5.7	Values motivating UNAM FHSS scholars to conduct and disseminate research	101
Figure 5.8	Comparison of primary values motivating production of research at faculties	102
Figure 5.9	Traditional "closed" research and communication cycle	107
Figure 5.10	New "open" research and communication cycle	107
Figure 5.11	Top funding sources for respondents' research projects over the past two years	110
Figure 5.12	UB FoH research production over two years	116
Figure 5.13	UCT Comm research production over two years	117
Figure 5.14	UoM FoS research production over two years	119
Figure 5.15	UNAM FHSS research production over two years	120
Figure 5.16	Response to: "Do you feel part of a broader research network of scholars?"	123
Figure 5.17	Location of scholarly research networks and communities	124
Figure 5.18	Visual representation of rewards and incentives' relationship to impact	136
Figure 6.1	Scientific collaboration – global perspective	157
Figure 6.2	Scientific collaboration – Mauritius in perspective	157
Figure 6.3	Comparative international download speeds (kbps)	159
Figure 6.4	African undersea cables present and planned to 2013	160
Figure 6.5	UNAM institutional repository location in the scholarly communication system	170