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Abstract
A greatly expanded treatment is presented of the history of the family, life and work of Percy Ludgate, nearly 50 years after the 1971 and 1982 papers by Brian Randell revealed his work on a mechanical computer, and almost 100 years after Ludgate’s death. The new material that has recently been obtained about this successor of Charles Babbage includes two very significant discoveries. The first is of a hitherto unknown contemporary published description of Ludgate’s Analytical Machine, incorporating the only surviving drawing of it yet found; the second is of American descendants of Ludgate’s niece, who have been allowed to erect a commemorative headstone on his previously unmarked grave.
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Introduction

The story of how in 1834, over a hundred years before the first electronic computers, the brilliant but eccentric English scientist, Charles Babbage, started to design a huge sequence-controlled mechanical digital computer,¹ his ‘Analytical Engine’,² to improve on the human ‘computers’ then employed,³ is reasonably well known. However, less is known of Percy Edwin Ludgate, who was the second person to design a sequence-controlled mechanical digital computer in the pre-electronic era.

Remarkably, Ludgate, who was born in Skibbereen in 1883 and employed in Dublin until his death in 1922, was just a clerk to a corn merchant and subsequently a qualified accountant. He worked on his design in his spare time between 1903 and 1909, and was not aware of Babbage’s work until his initial design was completed. His ‘Analytical Machine’,⁴ as he called it, was quite unlike Babbage’s in that it was largely based on multiplication using novel mechanical ideas, while Babbage’s was very different mechanically, and was, more conventionally, based on addition. Brian Randell’s 1982 paper states that:

although Ludgate had, at least during the later stages of his work, known of Babbage’s machine, much of his work was clearly entirely original – and indeed with respect to program control, a distinct advance on Babbage’s ideas. In fact, all three main components of Ludgate’s Analytical Machine – the store, the arithmetic unit, and the sequencing mechanism – show evidence of considerable ingenuity and originality.⁵

Both machines embraced the core concept of being able to be automatically sequenced through a set of operations,⁶ using perforated paper in Ludgate’s case, Jacquard-style strung-together punched cards in Babbage’s, and in particular both machines supported what is now known to be the critical concept of being able to change the sequencing mechanism’s behaviour based on the results of

---

¹ A ‘sequence-controlled digital computer’ is known as a ‘program-controlled digital computer’ or ‘programmable digital computer’, typically abbreviated to ‘digital computer’ in modern terminology.
³ A term used since the early seventeenth century for a person performing mathematical calculations.
⁶ Otherwise referred to here as ‘sequence-controlled’, i.e., ‘program-controlled’ or ‘programmable’ (see n. 1), a core concept for modern computers.
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Prior calculations. Thus both machines would be capable of doing everything a modern computer of their scale and class could do, although very slowly. If they had not incorporated these concepts, both the designs and their designers would be much less significant with respect to the history of computing.

Ludgate died in 1922, his work already having faded into obscurity. Almost nothing further was heard of him until Randell’s 1971 and 1982 papers, and Riches’ 1973 project. Since then Ludgate’s work has often been described in general accounts of the history of computing, and there have been many summaries of Randell’s papers, in, for example, the Dictionary of Irish Biography, although nothing new was published until Jim Byrne’s 2011 paper provided some extra detail on Ludgate’s parents. Meanwhile, in 1991, the Department of Computer Science in Trinity College Dublin instigated an annual prize in his memory, the only official recognition worldwide until recently.

An investigation was initiated in 2016, under the aegis of the John Gabriel Byrne Computer Science Collection, aimed at fully exploring and documenting Ludgate’s life and work. To that end, information relating to Ludgate’s own life and early career, his close family members and their children, and his ancestors back to the early eighteenth century, domestic situation, legal issues, and 1909 paper submission, have all been examined, and one important discovery has been made concerning his family. Moreover, joint outreach activities

---

7 ‘Conditional-branching’ in modern terminology, a critical concept for modern computers.
9 D. Riches, ‘An analysis of Ludgate’s machine leading to the design of a digital logarithmic multiplier’ (unpublished undergraduate project report), Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University College (Swansea), June 1973. Available at n. 14 below.
12 The Percy E. Ludgate Prize in Computer Science is awarded annually to the student who submits the best project in the senior year of the Moderatorship in Computer Science at Trinity College Dublin (TCD).
13 The John Gabriel Byrne Computer Science Collection at TCD, some 3000 items, holds a wealth of literature (including original offprints of Lovelace’s 1843 monograph and of Ludgate’s 1909 paper), plus a wide range of computers, calculating machines, slide rules and computer software, and is recorded in the Schedules to the Statutes as being among the treasures of the College. Copies (in most cases original) of all the known literature and records relating to Ludgate are now held within this collection. The catalogue is available at: https://www.scss.tcd.ie/SCSSTreasuresCatalog/.
14 Ludgate folder at TCD. Available at: https://www.scss.tcd.ie/SCSSTreasuresCatalog/miscellany/TCD-SCSS-X.20121208.002/. For a more mobile-friendly interface to selected Ludgate folder contents, see: https://www.scss.tcd.ie/SCSSTreasuresCatalog/ludgate/. Copies of most of the documents cited in this paper are available at this folder.
with the Ludgate Hub, both in newspapers and at the West Cork History Festival, led to a second very significant discovery: that of the first known contemporary drawing of part of Ludgate’s machine. As a result, it has been possible to gain a new depth of understanding of key mechanisms within his machine, and to attempt to codify this, even though his extensive set of drawings (which he stated, in both his 1909 and 1914 papers, that he had made) has never been found. It is now possible to provide a greatly expanded summary of what is known of the family, life and work of Ludgate, giving a whole range of new material (all older material from Randell’s 1971 paper is explicitly identified to clearly distinguish it from recent research).

In his 1971 investigations Randell established contact with one of Ludgate’s accountancy colleagues, who provided a limited amount of information. But the great majority of what was discovered then of Ludgate’s family and life was obtained from just one person, his niece Violet Ludgate, who Randell stated ‘made extensive efforts herself to trace further possible sources of information’. Nonetheless the family details uncovered related just to Percy Ludgate’s

Pt. I—The eighteenth-century farmhouse (with 4ft-thick walls) of Marble Hill Farm, Kilshannig, Co. Cork (Image courtesy of the John Gabriel Byrne Computer Science Collection).

19 Brian Coghlan, ‘Percy Ludgate’s analytical machine’. Available at n.14 above.
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parents and siblings but even missed his sister. Percy Ludgate’s paternal ancestors have now been traced to the early 1700s, when Matthew and Mary Ludgate (Percy’s paternal great-great-grandparents) are believed to have leased Marble Hill Farm, in the townland of Scarragh, Kilshannig, three miles west of Mallow, Co. Cork, see Plate I.²⁰

Matthew Ludgate appears in the 1766 religious census for Kilshannig.²¹ The baptisms, burials and marriages of his descendants (see Fig. 1) are recorded in Mallow Church of Ireland records, which began in 1731. A very extensive family tree of about 100 descendants of Matthew and Mary can be constructed from these records.²² Of these, their son John and grandson Robert (paternal

---

²⁰ Brian Coghlan, Personal communication with owner of Marble Hill Farm (August 2019).
²¹ National Archives of Ireland (NAI), Return no. 1123 (transcript), MS 5036(a). Religious Census 1766 for Kilshannig, Cloyne.
²² ‘Percy E. Ludgate Prize in Computer Science’ (see n. 14). This is the primary biographical and genealogical reference document. For genealogy, refer specifically to sections: (a) Family tree of Percy Ludgate’s wider Ludgate relations; (b) Ancestors of Percy Edwin Ludgate; (c) Ancestors of Barbara Hopkins; (d) Ancestors of Eileen Mary Ludgate.
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great-grandfather and grandfather of Percy) established their own farms nearby in the townland of Kilshannig.\textsuperscript{23}

Ludgate’s maternal ancestors have also been traced, mostly to the eighteenth century, but less comprehensively, again see Figure 1. It is not known where his paternal grandmother Suzanna Willis was born. His maternal grandfather Thomas McMahon was born in County Armagh, and his maternal grandmother Frances Reed was probably born in south-west County Cork.\textsuperscript{24}

Via his parent’s siblings, and his own siblings, Percy had many close relatives and in-laws. In total on his paternal side he had five uncles, two aunts, and at least seven cousins, while on his maternal side he had three uncles, one maternal aunt, and perhaps cousins. In addition, his sister-in-law Bedelia (Bridget) née Buckley, who was from Douglas, Co. Cork, had eleven siblings, and his other sister-in-law Alice (Alicia) née Walshe from Dublin City had two siblings. In total his close relatives and in-laws included fifteen of his parent’s generation, plus at least twenty of his own generation, all born in the nineteenth century, almost all in the Victorian age of empire and accelerating scientific sophistication.

The eighteenth and nineteenth centuries through which these ancestors of Percy Ludgate lived were two very different scientific eras in the UK. The eighteenth century was the last in which science was primarily conducted by financially independent individuals, and when the more deductive scientific method of ‘natural philosophy’ held sway, whereby derived facts were logically deduced from existing ‘knowledge’.\textsuperscript{25} From the early nineteenth century a more inductive and disciplined scientific method based on precepts outlined by Francis Bacon progressively dominated, whereby collected evidence could by induction engender falsifiable theories and thereby ‘new knowledge’ until proven otherwise.\textsuperscript{26}

Charles Babbage was closely involved in this change, which was passionately promoted in the first half of the nineteenth century by Babbage, William Whewell, John Herschel and Richard Jones (members of the so-called ‘Philosophical Breakfast Club’).\textsuperscript{27} In 1812, Babbage, Herschel and George Peacock established the Analytical Society to pursue (successfully) the move from Newton’s to Leibniz’s notation for calculus. In 1820, Babbage, Herschel and others founded the Royal Astronomical Society. From 1822, Babbage began designing his Difference Engine No.1.\textsuperscript{28} In 1827, Babbage, with help from an Irish

\textsuperscript{23} Griffith Valuation, Parish of Kilshannig. Available at: http://www.askaboutireland.ie/griffith-valuation/.

\textsuperscript{24} ‘Percy E. Ludgate Prize in Computer Science’ (see n. 22).


\textsuperscript{26} William Whewell, History of the inductive sciences, from the earliest to the present time (3 vols, London, 1837).

\textsuperscript{27} Laura J. Snyder, The Philosophical Breakfast Club: four remarkable friends who transformed science and changed the world (New York, 2011).

\textsuperscript{28} Babbage was also the first person to design what he called a ‘difference engine’, a large machine consisting of a number of linked adding mechanisms capable of calculating and printing mathematical tables of polynomial functions.
friend,\textsuperscript{29} Thomas Colby, published a much-improved table of logarithms.\textsuperscript{30} In 1831, William Harcourt, David Brewster, Babbage, Whewell and others founded the British Association for the Advancement of Science (Whewell coined the term ‘scientist’ at its 1833 meeting). From 1834, Babbage began designing his Analytical Engine.\textsuperscript{31} In 1834, Babbage, Jones, Whewell and others founded the Royal Statistical Society. In 1837, Babbage published his controversial \textit{The Ninth Bridgewater Treatise}, employing difference engine concepts in a discussion of how science and religion interrelate.\textsuperscript{32} And from 1828–39, he held the Lucasian Professorship of Mathematics at Cambridge, the prestigious chair that Isaac Newton once held. Herschel and Whewell were reportedly the more influential scientists of their generation, but in relation to computing, Babbage’s contribution was seminal; he was the first person to design a sequence-controlled computer, his Analytical Engine.

Babbage produced many drawings, but they are all two-dimensional. The most evocative visual representations of his Analytical Engine, and in particular its scale, are those produced by Sydney Padua, for her graphic novel \textit{The thrilling adventures of Lovelace and Babbage},\textsuperscript{33} and also the image created by her for this paper, which is reproduced within Figure 2. His Analytical Engine was designed in four principal parts: the arithmetic unit, storage, input and output, and the sequencing mechanism. The arithmetic unit, which he called the ‘mill’, was surrounded by the input, the output and the sequencing mechanism. The mill was built like a sponge cake, each layer capable of representing and acting on one digit of a decimal number. Babbage planned to have 50-digit numbers, so there were 50 layers, stacked one above the other. Inside, there was a large circular ring gear that engaged with the little gears around the outside that did the calculation, controlled it, and did input and output. The ring gear was also replicated per digit. Calculations were done in the mill based on addition. The desired sequence of operations and the relevant input of numbers were defined via perforated cards based on those used in Jacquard weaving looms.\textsuperscript{34} The storage was also layered, and in columns representing 50-digit numbers. In Babbage’s \textit{circa} 1843 plans each

\textsuperscript{29} Babbage had a number of associations with Ireland, see: \textit{Irish interactions with Charles Babbage regarding his Difference Engines and Analytical Engine}. Available at: https://www.scss.tcd.ie/SCSSTreasuresCatalog/miscellany/TCD-SCSS-X.20121208.001/TCD-SCSS-X.20121208.001.pdf
\textsuperscript{30} Charles Babbage, \textit{Table of the logarithms of the natural numbers from 1 to 108000} (London, 1827).
\textsuperscript{31} Lovelace, ‘Sketch of the analytical engine’.
\textsuperscript{32} Charles Babbage, \textit{The Ninth Bridgewater Treatise – a fragment} (London, 1837). Available at: https://victorianweb.org/science/science_texts/bridgewater/intro.htm
\textsuperscript{33} Sydney Padua, \textit{The thrilling adventures of Lovelace and Babbage: the (mostly) true story of the first computer} (London, 2016).
\textsuperscript{34} James Essinger, \textit{Jacquard’s web: how a hand-loom led to the birth of the information age} (Oxford, 2007).
column stored two numbers.\textsuperscript{35} Ada Lovelace’s 1843 monograph states: ‘In the Analytical Engine there would be many more of these columns, probably at least two hundred’.\textsuperscript{36} For each number there were 50 little gears, each capable of rotating so as to represent a decimal digit. In the c.1843 plans the storage extended away from the mill, and added considerably to the footprint of the engine.

Babbage’s planned engine was entirely mechanical, and would have needed precision engineering (but contemporary precision may have been sufficient).\textsuperscript{37} It was a very novel and ambitious concept. It is worth quoting his son Henry’s words: ‘it is to be noted that the engine is designed for analytical purposes, and it would be like using the steam hammer to crush the nut, to use the Analytical Engine to solve common sums in arithmetic’.\textsuperscript{38} The vast expense and physical practicalities resulted in only a small portion of the Analytical Engine ever being built. Henry continued: ‘I believe that the present state of the design would admit of the engine being executed in metal...I see no hope of any Analytical Engine, however useful it might be, bringing any profit to its constructor...The History of Babbage’s Calculating Machines is sufficient to damp the ardour of a dozen enthusiasts’.

In the subsequent Victorian era, others constructed machines that are relevant to the history of computing. From 1837–43 the Swedish father and son Scheutz constructed the first of several difference engines, and a small number of other inventors followed their example.\textsuperscript{39} In 1870 at the Royal Society, Jevons exhibited his ‘Logic Piano’ performing logical inferences.\textsuperscript{40} In 1884, Hollerith filed a patent for compiling statistics using punched cards,\textsuperscript{41} the basis for the tabulating machines supplied by his company (a predecessor of IBM) for the 1890 census of the USA. But none had the scope or the capability of Babbage’s machine, which Lovelace stated: ‘holds a position wholly of its own’.\textsuperscript{42} It is into this very mechanical and increasingly mathematical scientific era that Percy Ludgate was born.

\textsuperscript{35} Tim Robinson, Personal communications with Brian Coghlan and Brian Randell (December 2020).
\textsuperscript{36} Lovelace, ‘Sketch of the analytical engine’, 701.
\textsuperscript{37} Doron Swade, The cogwheel brain: Charles Babbage and the quest to build the first computer (London, 2000). In 1991 the London Science Museum built Babbage’s Difference Engine No.2 from its 1846–9 drawings, using only contemporary engineering practices and tolerances.
\textsuperscript{40} William Stanley Jevons, ‘On the mechanical performance of Logical Inference’, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society 160 (1870), 497–518. Available at: https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/pdf/10.1098/rstl.1870.0022
\textsuperscript{42} Lovelace, ‘Sketch of the analytical engine’, 697.
Percy Ludgate’s family and early life

Percy’s father Michael Edward Ludgate (1839–1923), who was born in Kilshannig, joined the North Cork Militia in 1857 with two other members of the Ludgate family. In 1858, the militia was relocated to Kent where the Ludgates transferred to the 21st Regiment of Foot in the regular army. Within two weeks Michael was promoted to Corporal and one year later to Sergeant Musketry Instructor. He married Mary Ann McMahon (1840–1936) in 1863 at Winchester Barracks. Mary was born in Iden, Sussex, but also was from an Anglo-Irish military family, with roots in counties Antrim, Armagh and Suffolk, the Suffolk branch being navy rather than army. Michael served a typical military life at a variety of locations, including India (taking his family with him), Aden, Hythe, Chatham, Gravesend and Winchester. He was ultimately assigned to the 60th Regiment of Foot as a Master Sergeant Instructor, attached to the School of Musketry, an army corps responsible for testing small arms including automatic weapons. In 1876, he retired to Skibbereen, Co. Cork, where Percy’s brother Alfred was born in 1881. Michael’s service record shows his pension being collected there in 1882, and late in that year he advertised, in the *Skibbereen Eagle*, his services as an after-hours shorthand tutor. It is possible he learned shorthand in the army, since his service record shows his profession as a clerk. He and Mary had at least eight children, only five of whom survived: Thomas (b.1865, Winchester), Augusta (b.1871, Bellary, India), Frederick (b.1875, Gravesend), Alfred (b.1881, Skibbereen), and the eighth and youngest child, Percy, who was born on 2 August 1883 at Townshend Street, Skibbereen (see Pl. II). After that there is a period of seven years during which the family’s whereabouts and livelihoods largely elude discovery. Only the whereabouts of Percy’s brother Thomas have been established, and only late in this period. In 1888, Thomas married Bedelia Bridget Buckley at St Peter and St Paul’s Church in Cork. They settled at Roseville, 80 Sunday’s Well, a fine two storey house in Cork city on the east side of the River Lee (opposite the gaol), where they remained until at least 1893.

---

43 For details of service records, careers, births, marriages and deaths referred to in Section II, see: ‘Percy E. Ludgate Prize in Computer Science’ (see n. 22), especially sections: *Ancestors of Percy Edwin Ludgate; Ancestors of Barbara Hopkins; Ancestors of Eileen Mary Ludgate.*

44 Major J. Douglas Mercer. *Record of the North Cork regiment of militia, with sketches extracted from history of the times in which its services were required, from 1793 to 1880* (Dublin, 1886).

45 Renamed ‘Corps of the Small Arms School’ in 1919.


47 Bedelia Bridget Ludgate’s bridesmaid was her sister Cecilia, who married six months later to John Buckley (same surname) and settled nearby at Vista Villa, 124 Sunday’s Well. Cecilia gave birth to two children, Daniel b.1891 and Cecilia b.1893, but when she died at her home after the latter’s birth, her death was registered by ‘Bridget Ludgate sister present at death Rose Vill.S.Well’, so Bedelia still lived at Rose Ville (80 Sunday’s Well) in 1893.
Michael Ludgate and the remainder of his family are next recorded in *Thom’s Directory* at 28 Foster Terrace, Dublin, firstly in 1890 as ‘Ludgate, Michael Edward, teacher of shorthand’, then in 1891 as ‘Ludgate, Michael Edward, teacher of shorthand, Ludgate, Fred, teacher of shorthand, Ludgate, Miss Augusta, teacher of shorthand’, and from 1892–8 as ‘Ludgate, Michael Edward, sons & daugh, teachers of shorthand’, indicating the evolution of a small-scale family business.\(^{48}\) It had been thought that 28 Foster Terrace no longer existed, but convincing evidence has been found that No. 28 was re-numbered in the twentieth century as either No. 46 or No. 47,\(^{49}\) both of which still exist.

It is known from school records that at age seven to eight years Percy attended St George’s Infants School.\(^{50}\) Randell’s 1971 paper states that from eight

---


\(^{49}\) Gerry Kelly, ‘46 Foster Terrace’ (9 November 2019) and ‘Evidence 28 Foster Place was renumbered’ (25 November 2020).

\(^{50}\) NAI, Ireland National School Registers, Roll no. 11624. St George’s Parish, St George’s Infants School attendance records 1890 and 1891. Percy Ludgate enrolled in Class I on 15 September 1890 (register entry 559) for the year ending 31 March 1891, then is shown as enrolled in Class III for the year ending 31 March 1892, and as leaving the infants school in May 1892.
to twelve he is said to have attended St George’s National School. His family were members of the Church of Ireland, and Randell’s 1971 paper also states Percy attended St George’s Church, Temple Street, see Plate III.

Percy presumably attended an as yet unidentified secondary school, as attendance was compulsory until age fourteen. In 1898, when he was aged fifteen years, The London Gazette published that he was appointed a ‘Boy Copyist’ in the Irish civil service, then a temporary post for boys aged fifteen to twenty years.

---

51 NAI, 1901 Census returns.
old. In 1899, his family moved to 30 Dargle Road, Drumcondra (see Pl. IV), in what was a new development on Dublin’s outskirts, one mile north-west of Foster Terrace. In that year his father Michael, giving an address in Balbriggan, was imprisoned in Kilmainham Gaol for non-payment of debt (he had to choose between prison or payment of £5.2s, and opted to serve a short sentence as Prisoner 1076 from 8 September to 19 October 1899). The following year his brother Frederick married Alice Walsh.

The 1901 Irish census lists Percy, his mother and a brother, Alfred, at 30 Dargle Road. Percy is listed as a ‘Civil Servant (Boy Copyist)’ in the National Education Office, while Alfred was a ‘commercial clerk (engineering trade)’. Frederick and Alice are listed at 24 Dargle Road (just six doors away), with Frederick as a ‘commercial traveller (chemicals)’. His father Michael is listed at 14 Quay Street, Balbriggan, seventeen miles away, perhaps suggesting a divorce, or at least a family break up. Meanwhile, his other brother Thomas and wife Bedelia had moved to 13 Mardyke, on the opposite side of the River Lee in Cork city, with Thomas listed as a land agent’s cashier. His sister Augusta is recorded in the 1901 UK census as a ‘Deaconess/Missionary’ in Liverpool.

In March 1903 Percy was the top Irish candidate in the civil service examinations for assistant clerkship (abstractors). At that time, the civil service arguably preferred classicists. His ability at mathematics may not then have been of great weight, but the fact that he came first in Ireland in the civil service exams was proof he was also strong in classics. He passed the medical, but was not appointed to a position, while at minimum the next six ranked candidates from Dublin have been found to have been appointed to a civil service position. The reason for this rather strange outcome is not yet known.

In August 1903 on his twentieth birthday, Percy’s boy copyist post expired, and his short civil service career came to an end. In the same year he seemingly began work on his Analytical Machine, since his 1909 paper, which was submitted in December 1908, states: ‘I purpose to give in this paper a short

---

52 The London Gazette, 22 May 1896, 3066–8; 13 July 1897, 3900–01; 14 January 1898; 4 November 1898, 6454–5; 19 September 1902, 6029; 7 November 1902, 7095; 17 March 1903, 1779; 23 August 1904, 5419–20 collectively are relevant to Percy Ludgate’s actual and potential career in the civil service.
54 ‘Percy E. Ludgate Prize in Computer Science’ (see n. 22), section Ancestors of Percy Edwin Ludgate.
55 The National Archives (TNA), 1901 UK Census returns.
56 ‘London correspondence’, Weekly Irish Times, 21 March 1903, 13. Percy Ludgate was ranked the top Irish candidate, and was ranked nineteenth in the UK. The next six Irish candidates were ranked 22, 25, 29, 33, 35 and 46. All came from Dublin. Only the rankings of the top 50 candidates were published.
58 Gerry Kelly, ‘Evidence Percy Edwin Ludgate was passed over’ (23 November 2020).
account of the result of about six years’ work’. It is not known why he became interested in mathematical calculations, let alone in automating them. Randell’s 1971 paper stated that: ‘It seems almost certain that his work on the analytical machine was a private hobby which, according to his niece, “he used to work at nightly, until the small hours of the morning”.’

In October 1904 Percy Ludgate passed the civil service exams for second-division clerkships, but failed the medical, and therefore was not appointed to a position. In February 1905, the ‘Case of Mr. Percy Ludgate – Irish Civil Service’ was raised in the House of Commons, Westminster, during Questions in the House. The petition was for a new medical examination with a view to his being certified for one or other of the positions for which he had passed exams. He got a negative response from the Financial Secretary of the Treasury, Victor Cavendish MP: ‘As nearly a year had elapsed since Mr. Ludgate’s medical examination for an assistant clerkship, it was necessary to re-examine him before issuing him a certificate for a second-division clerkship. The result of the medical examination proving unsatisfactory the Civil Service Commissioners were

---

60 Second-division is the next grade. The examination was announced in *The London Gazette* (23 August 1904), 5419–20. The result is given in n. 61 below.
unable to grant a certificate for either position. The medical requirements are practically the same in both cases.\textsuperscript{61}

The MP for Drumcondra was John Joseph Clancy,\textsuperscript{62} a highly educated nationalist politician and King’s Counsel (KC), but the MP that took Ludgate’s case, Timothy Charles Harrington,\textsuperscript{63} was MP for Dublin Harbour. He was Lord Mayor of Dublin in 1901–4, owner of United Ireland and Kerry Sentinel newspapers, a Corkman and member of the ‘Bantry band’ of prominent nationalist politicians, and also a highly educated KC.\textsuperscript{64} That he took the case of a non-constituent denied a Civil Service post after a failed medical is unusual. It is possible that there was a prior relationship, as Percy’s uncle William Ludgate,\textsuperscript{65} and William’s son Robert,\textsuperscript{66} worked for a newspaper in Cork, or that it was simply a reaction to the rejection of Percy’s apparent capacity for the role.

In December 1908 Ludgate submitted his paper ‘On a proposed Analytical Machine’ to the Royal Dublin Society, and in April 1909 it was published in their Scientific Proceedings. In July 1909 a detailed review of the paper by Prof. Charles Vernon Boys was published in Nature.\textsuperscript{67} Percy Ludgate’s paper was a significant achievement for a mere clerk to a corn merchant. The Royal Dublin Society’s Publications Committee included several fellows of the Royal Society and numerous professors, so Ludgate’s proposed machine became known to an elite cohort of scientists.

The minutes of the Publications Committee (a function of the Committee on Science) show the review process his 1909 paper went through.\textsuperscript{68} The paper’s first reviewer, Prof. A.W. Conway,\textsuperscript{69} suggested it was sent to Prof. Boys,\textsuperscript{70} presumably for

\textsuperscript{61} Hansard 141 (20 February 1905). Available at: https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/commons/1905/feb/20/irish-civil-service-case-of-mr-percy


\textsuperscript{63} ‘Death of Mr T.C. Harrington, MP’, Kerry Sentinel, 16 March 1910, 3.


\textsuperscript{65} William Joseph Ludgate, available at: https://www.scoop-database.com/bio/ludgate_william_joseph_1

\textsuperscript{66} Robert Willis Ludgate, available at: https://www.scoop-database.com/bio/ludgate_robert_willis

\textsuperscript{67} C.V. Boys, ‘A new analytical engine’, Nature 81 (July 1909), 14–15. Also reproduced in Randell’s 1971 paper (see n.8).

\textsuperscript{68} Minutes of the Royal Dublin Society’s Publications Committee for 1908–1909 (Dublin, 1908–9).

\textsuperscript{69} Conway later became the first Chairman of the Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, President of the Royal Irish Academy (RIA), and President of University College Dublin (UCD). His descendants have recently been located, but his personal papers have not been found.

\textsuperscript{70} Boys (commonly known as C.V. Boys) had already been a Fellow of the Royal Society for twenty years, had recently been President of the Röntgen Society, soon became President of the Physical Society, and later was knighted. His descendants have been located, but his personal papers have not been found.
Percy Ludgate (1883–1922), Ireland’s first computer designer

a more expert review (Boys was an acknowledged expert on calculating machines). The minutes say, ‘Registrar to communicate Prof Boys remarks to the author and ask him to emphasize the points which he considers important’, and later ‘Read Mr. Percy Ludgate’s letter in reply to the suggestion made to him’, presumably by Prof. Boys. The paper says at the start ‘Communicated by Prof. A.W. Conway’, and this phrase appears in notices of the presentation on 23 February 1909, as well as in the annual report of the Committee on Science, so Conway either communicated the notices or gave the presentation; likely the former as he is not


72 Proceedings of the Royal Dublin Society, 96 (11 November 1909 to 10 March 1910). The Report of the Committee on Science and its Industrial Applications says: ‘following communications were received…23 Feb. 1909…”On a proposed Analytical Machine,” by Percy E. Ludgate. (Communicated by Professor A. W. Conway. M.A.).’ Possibly non-member’s papers were ‘(Communicated by)’ members, as those so listed are exclusively by non-members.
specifically thanked for any such presentation, whereas the paper says: ‘I desire to record my indebtedness to Professor C.V. Boys FRS for the assistance which I owe to his kindness in entering into correspondence with me’.

Percy Ludgate is imagined in Figure 2 seated at a table with his desktop-sized Analytical Machine in front of him, alongside Babbage in front of his large engine.

The huge difference in the Babbage and Ludgate designs adds considerable credibility to Ludgate’s statement that he did not know of Babbage’s ideas in advance. He included paragraphs on Babbage that surround the body of his paper, together with references within the body, but did not state on what date he was first aware of those ideas. To quote from Ludgate’s 1909 paper: ‘In order to prevent misconception, I must state that my work was not based on Babbage’s results—indeed, until after the completion of the first design of my machine, I had no knowledge of his prior efforts in the same direction. On the other hand, I have since been greatly assisted in the more advanced stages of the problem by, and have received valuable suggestions from, the writings of that accomplished scholar’.

Ludgate’s 1909 paper clearly shows his design also had four principal parts: the arithmetic unit, storage, input and output, and a sequencing mechanism. Like Babbage’s, Ludgate’s arithmetic unit had a mill, which performed addition in the same way. But Ludgate introduced a brand new concept, which he called an ‘index’, to do multiplication based on what Prof. Boys delightfully termed ‘Irish logarithms’.73 Thus the core of his arithmetic unit did not just do additions; it did multiply-accumulation (MAC), i.e., multiplication followed by addition to any previous result in the mill, the first computer arithmetic unit to do so.74 Ludgate also proposed mechanisms to do division and logarithms, again introducing a new concept, division by convergent series seeded with an estimate from a mechanical table of initial values.

The arithmetic unit could be stepped through a sequence of operations either manually or under automatic control, the latter using perforated ‘formula-paper’ to define the desired sequence of operations and perforated ‘number-paper’ to provide the required set of input numbers, while for output a printer could both print on and perforate paper. Ludgate’s scheme to control sequencing was closer to that of modern computers than Babbage’s, in that each line of perforations in the formula-paper identified the operation and its operands, whereas Babbage’s scheme of separate variable (and combination) cards and operation cards was significantly more complicated. Ludgate’s scheme also simplified the provision of the crucial facility of changes to sequencing behaviour if defined conditions, e.g., negative or zero mill contents, occurred.

His storage system was based around two concentric cylinders that held numbers in shuttles. In each shuttle there were 21 rods, one for the sign, and one per digit of a twenty-digit number. The rods protruded from the shuttle

73 Brian Coghlan, ‘Percy Ludgate’s logarithmic indexes’ (see n.14).
74 MAC is important in signal processing, e.g., in radar and astronomy, and more recently in deep artificial intelligence (deep-AI).
between one and ten units. In order to access a number, the shuttle was rotated to align with the Index. If variable \( C \) was in an outer shuttle and variable \( D \) was in an inner shuttle, then to perform a calculation \( C \times D \), each of the storage cylinders was rotated to align those shuttles with the index. Then the shuttles were brought forward along races to engage with the index, and the calculation proceeded with a multiply \( C \times D \) followed by accumulation of partial products in the mill, following which the shuttles returned to their cylinders. The result in the mill could then be written to a new shuttle and stored somewhere else in one or both of the cylinders. This was a very novel form of storage, and completely new. Ludgate did not discuss the methods of selecting a shuttle, and neither Babbage nor Ludgate discussed the need for, let alone the methods of, selecting a shuttle based on the result of prior calculations.

Only a few machine features are described in Ludgate’s 1909 paper, almost everything about its construction is unknown. The ‘many drawings of the machine and its parts’ that Ludgate states he prepared appear not to have survived (or if they have, they have not been located). But two recently identified contemporaneous articles, one in *English Mechanic and World of Science*, derived from the other in *Engineering*, are of significant assistance in this respect. They contain the first known diagram illustrating the operation of the index. Figure 3 shows an annotated version of this diagram. The text of the articles appeared at first sight to contradict Ludgate’s 1909 paper, but subsequent analysis suggested that the text, and the diagram, were probably provided by Ludgate; in fact treating Ludgate’s paper and these articles (with their diagram) as equally valid reveal details of the probable working of the index that would have been very hard to arrive at with only Ludgate’s 1909 paper.

The index employed logarithmic index ‘slides’. The profiles of these slides, portrayed in Figure 4, were such that they obey a logarithmic law that enables them to be used to convert multiplication into addition, i.e., \( \log(j \times k) = \log(j) + \log(k) \). By means of these slides the decimal digits of numbers were represented in a logarithmic form as lengths, so that multiplication could be

---

75 A ‘race’ is a groove or guide along which an object (typically ball or roller bearings, in this case a shuttle) can be moved.

76 ‘Dynamic’ selection in modern terminology, considered to be a key requirement for a general-purpose computer.


79 Coghlan, ‘Percy Ludgate’s analytical machine’.

80 Presumed shape based on: David McQuillan, ‘The feasibility of Ludgate’s analytical machine’. Available at: http://www.fano.co.uk/ludgate/Ludgate.html
Fig. 3—Annotated version of the diagram from Engineering, 20 August 1909, pp. 256–7 (Image courtesy of the John Gabriel Byrne Computer Science Collection. © Brian Coghlan 2020).
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Fig. 4—Multiplication using Ludgate’s logarithmic slides for calculation of $8\times9 = 72$ (Image courtesy of the John Gabriel Byrne Computer Science Collection. © Brian Coghlan 2020).

achieved by addition using simple linear motion. There were twenty identical multiplicand slides, one for each decimal digit. There was just one (opposing) slide for one digit of the multiplier, so the multiplication took place iteratively (one multiplier digit per iteration) with twenty multiplicand digits being simultaneously multiplied by that one multiplier digit. The protruding rods, representing the digits to be multiplied, governed the positions into which the opposing slides could be moved, causing the ends of the slides to increase in overlap from their starting position. The extent of the increase in overlap constitutes the ‘logarithm’ that represents the resulting two-digit partial product. This digital ‘logarithmic’ mechanism is therefore very different from a conventional logarithmic slide rule; they do have the addition of logarithmic quantities (lengths) in common, but the logarithms are of a very different form.

Figure 4 shows one of the set of multiplicand slides that has been moved to the right until this particular slide has been stopped by a rod whose vertical extent represents the Irish logarithm of the digit ‘8’. This is in fact the first digit of the four-digit example multiplicand (‘8132’) in Ludgate’s 1909 paper (the Engineering article has a six-digit multiplicand ‘813200’). Figure 4 also shows that the (single) multiplier slide has been moved to the left until stopped by a rod representing the Irish logarithm of the digit ‘9’ (the first digit of the four-digit example multiplier ‘9247’ of both Ludgate’s 1909 paper and the Engineering article). However, just how the extent of the increase in overlap is transmitted to the mill is unclear.

81 Coghlan et al., ‘Investigating the work and life of Percy Ludgate’.
Ludgate’s planned machine was, like Babbage’s, to be an entirely mechanical device, but Ludgate’s design was evidently very different to Babbage’s. It is not known whether the main mechanisms were realisable with the technology of the time. It was small, about the size of a bar fridge. It had a mill to add like Babbage’s (but presumably not influenced by him), an index to multiply via Irish logarithms (an entirely new idea), and compact storage via rods and shuttles (another new idea). Sequencing and number input were via a perforated paper sheet or roll in the same vein as Babbage’s strung-together punched Jacquard cards, but quite different (and again in all probability not influenced by him). Both the sequencing and the storage differences are at least as significant as the arithmetic differences, even though they are not as great. It is not appropriate here to go into further technical detail, but a much fuller explanation is given in a recent paper,\(^{82}\) while our most up-to-date understanding is documented in a work-in-progress online paper.\(^{83}\) There is no evidence that Ludgate ever made any attempt to build this machine. After Ludgate’s 1909 paper, and Prof. Boys’ 1909 report in *Nature*, there seems to have been very little mention of his work. For example, the 1911 edition of *Encyclopædia Britannica* does not mention his ideas.\(^{84}\)

No obvious motivations or influences have been discovered, despite scientific, commercial and ancestral searches. In the family domain, for example, there is only a small probability that his brother Frederick could have had much influence on Percy’s Analytical Machine design during the seminal period 1903–9, as he lived in Tullamore during 1902–9.\(^{85}\) Similarly, in the commercial domain, it does not appear that Ludgate’s novel multiplier mechanism was influenced by the very different multiplier mechanisms on sale in the late nineteenth century or early twentieth century.\(^{86}\) And scientifically, Ludgate’s multiplier mechanism was totally new, with the only influences likely to be the logarithmic principles developed from the early seventeenth century onwards (again see the work-in-progress online paper).\(^{87}\)

**Percy Ludgate’s later life**

By 1911, Percy’s brother Thomas and wife Bedelia were still in Cork, and his sister Augusta was still in Great Britain.\(^{88}\) By then also Frederick, Alice and their
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82 Coghlan *et al.*, ‘Investigating the work and life of Percy Ludgate’.
83 Coghlan, ‘Percy Ludgate’s analytical machine’.
84 Encyclopedia Britannica, *Calculating machines* (1911). Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1911_Encyclop%C3%A6dia_Britannica/Calculating_Machines
86 John Wolff, Personal communication to Brian Coghlan (21 February 2017).
87 Coghlan, ‘Percy Ludgate’s analytical machine’.
88 In the 1911 Irish census Thomas and Bedelia are listed at 173 Gurteenaspig, Bishopstown, Cork. Percy’s sister Augusta was recorded in the 1911 UK census as an independent woman boarding in St Pancras, London.
daughter Violet had moved to 17 Carlingford Terrace (the street just behind Dargle Road), and Percy, his mother and his brother Alfred were still at 30 Dargle Road. Thomas, Frederick and Alfred were listed in the census respectively as a land agent’s cashier, a flour and provision agent, and as a solicitor’s clerk. Percy was listed as a commercial clerk to a corn merchant, but it is not known by whom he was employed or when this employment began. It is possible it was a first step towards an accounting career.

In 1914 his second paper, ‘Automatic calculating machines’, was published in the Handbook of the Napier Tercentenary Celebration, which took place in Edinburgh on 24–27 July. The celebration was a major event, which attracted an international audience and had wide coverage in the press. Ludgate’s second paper focused on Babbage’s Analytical Engine, but briefly mentioned his own 1909 design for his Analytical Machine, and a subsequent and intriguing difference engine design.

Randell’s 1971 paper states that ‘during the 1914–18 war he [Ludgate] worked for a committee, set up by the War Office, headed by Mr. T. Condren-Flinn, senior partner of Kevans and Son’ (accountants, Pl. V), to ‘control the production and sale of oats’ for the cavalry, which ‘involved planning and organisation on a vast scale, and Ludgate was much praised for the major role that he played’. Kevans and Son letterhead of 1917 confirms the senior partners were Edward Kevans and Thomas Condren-Flinn, both chartered accountants. The Ministry of Food established the Food Control Committee for Ireland in September 1917, and it established three oat committees in September 1918,
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89 NAI, 1911 Census returns. Note: Carlingford Terrace is now part of Carlingford Road.


91 Brian Coghlan, ‘Speculations on Percy Ludgate’s difference engine’ (available at n.14).

92 Kevans and Son occupied Caledonian Chambers, 31 Dame Street, which was designed for the Caledonian Insurance Company in Ruskin-style by the architect James Edward Rogers, see: http://www.patrickcomerford.com/2016/12/a-unique-building-on-dame-street-dublin.html. Kevans and Son was ultimately subsumed into Price Waterhouse Cooper, now known as PwC.

93 The likely source of this information was ‘Mr. E. Dunne…who joined the firm of Kevans & Son in 1921’ (from Randell’s 1971 paper, see n. 8).

94 NAI, NAI/PLIC/1 PLIC-1-430, 8 July 1916; PLIC-1-6323, 8 February 1917. Edward Kevans was a founder member, and president (1901–20), of the Irish branch of the Society of Accountants & Auditors (SAA), renamed Society of Incorporated Accountants & Auditors (SIAA) in 1908. Thomas Condren-Flinn was Edward Kevan’s clerk, becoming a chartered accountant in 1906, and Kevans’ partner and successor.

with Kevans and Son coordinating the Midlands Oat Committee. But it has not been possible to locate any actual evidence that the oats were intended for the cavalry, or that Ludgate was praised in relation to this.

Ludgate is often said to have been an accountant, but that was not the case until eight years after his 1909 paper. In 1971, Ludgate’s niece stated to Randell that Percy studied accountancy at the Rathmines College of Commerce, where he was awarded a gold medal, and was employed at Kevans and Son. It is not known when the latter began, but certainly in 1917 (aged 34) he was awarded honours in accountancy, after being examined by the Corporation of Accountants. This body held preliminary, intermediate and final examinations in Dublin in June and November, and allowed exemptions from the preliminary examination. Ludgate’s name does appear amongst the published 1916 intermediate, and the 1917 final examination results, but preliminary exam results were not published for previous years. Examination by this body provided the least-expensive option for Ludgate to become an accountant in that era, as it did not require putative accountants to be apprenticed, nor to attend university (the subject was not taught in any Dublin university until he was...

96 The Food Control Committee for Ireland established Northern, Southern and Midlands Oat Committees in 1918. Kevans and Son coordinated the Midlands Oat Committee. See: ‘Notice to oats merchants’, Irish Independent, 25 September 1918, 4; ‘Notice to grain merchants’, Nenagh Guardian, 26 October 1918, 2.
97 The college was founded as Rathmines Municipal Technical Institute in 1901, renamed later, and subsumed into Dublin Institute of Technology in 1992 by the Dublin Institute of Technology Act (Government of Ireland, 1992). The college records have not been located.
99 The Corporation of Accountants was originally formed in Scotland in 1891, only in 1939 becoming part of the Association of Certified and Corporate Accountants (ACCA), a worldwide body that certifies accountants, then was granted a royal charter in 1974 and changed its name to the Association of Chartered Certified Accountants (again ACCA).
100 Three examinations had to be passed—preliminary, intermediate and final. Fees for the exams were 10s 6d, £1.1s 0d and £1.11s 6d respectively. The preliminary examination was for the following subjects: Writing from dictation, English grammar and composition, arithmetic, geography, English history, elementary algebra, Euclid book 1, elementary Latin, French or German. Candidates possessing the necessary fundamental knowledge could be exempted from the necessity of passing the preliminary examination.
102 Corporation of Accountants, ‘Results of the June examination’.
104 Tony Farmar, The versatile profession: a history of accountancy in Ireland since 1850 (Chartered Accountants Ireland, 2013). Apprenticed accountants are now referred to as ‘articled’. The Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland (ICAI), now called Chartered Accountants Ireland, operated under a royal charter from 1888, with exacting financial and examination burdens, including the requirement to be apprenticed.
embarked on his studies). Conceivably these studies and his subsequent oat committee duties and work at Kevans and Son cut short his nocturnal work on his machines.

The first world war ended in November 1918, when Ludgate was 35 years old, overlapped by the Spanish Flu epidemic which lasted from May 1918 to March 1919. The subsequent Irish war of independence (1919–21) led to partition of Ireland in May 1921, and the Anglo-Irish Treaty in December 1921. In that month Ludgate’s brother Frederick died of tuberculosis (phthisis) at his family’s then home at 1 Tolka Villas, Richmond Road, Drumcondra.

Ludgate remained with Kevans and Son as an accountant until his death in October 1922. In Randell’s 1971 paper there are two attestations to Ludgate’s
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105 A Commerce and Accountancy chair was created at University College Galway in 1914, and a Commerce faculty at UCD in 1916.
107 Death certificate for Frederick Ludgate (Dublin, 2 December 1921). Available at: http://www.irishgenealogy.ie/
character. Firstly, according to an accountancy colleague he ‘possessed characteristics one usually associates with genius…he was so regarded by his colleagues on the staff…humble, courteous, patient and popular’. Secondly, according to his niece Violet, daughter of Frederick and Alice, ‘Percy…took long solitary walks’; he was a ‘…gentle, modest simple man’; she ‘…never heard him make a condemning remark about anyone’, she thought him ‘…a really good man, highly thought of by anyone who knew him’, and he ‘…always appeared to be thinking deeply’. The only known photograph of him, provided by Violet to Randell, is shown in Pl. VI(a), probably taken in the last five years of his life. He never married.

Randell’s 1971 paper stated that in October 1922 Percy developed pneumonia after a holiday in Lucerne and was nursed by Frederick’s wife Alice. On 16 October 1922, he died aged 39 at 30 Dargle Road, quickly followed on 22 October 1922 by Alice aged 47 at the Adelaide Hospital.108 In the UK and Ireland, life expectancy was 57 years in 1922,109 and his family died at an average age of 58 years, so Percy died younger than expected. That Alice died six days later suggests a highly infectious illness. His death certificate states the cause of death as catarrhal pneumonia,110 which mostly accompanies diseases like influenza.111 Both Percy and Alice were buried in the Ludgate family grave in Mount Jerome Cemetery, Harold’s Cross, that Percy had purchased for Frederick’s burial.112

Ludgate’s original handwritten will of 26 June 1917 survives.113 It is the only known example of his handwriting, extracts of which are shown in Plate VI(b). He bequeathed his estate to his mother Mary (who was then aged 82), or, if she predeceased him, to his niece Violet, and made his brother Alfred his executor with £50 in lieu. Alfred administered probate, declaring relatively modest assets that include War Loans and War Savings with interest, bonds in the UK, Post Office and bank accounts plus cash, but just an estimated £10 of personal effects, and no real property, for a total value of £885.7s 4d, including London assets of £192. The debts included medical expenses for a local Drumcondra pharmacy, and a local doctor, Thomas Codd,114 and to another doctor in Merrion Square, Michael Cox,115 and funeral expenses plus cemetery fees. Also

108 Death certificates for Percy Edwin Ludgate (Dublin, 16 October 1922); Alice Emily Ludgate (Dublin, 22 October 1922). Available at: http://www.irishgenealogy.ie/
109 Max Roser, Life expectancy. Available at: https://ourworldindata.org/life-expectancy/
110 Now called ‘bronchopneumonia’.
112 Mount Jerome Cemetery, Harold’s Cross, Dublin. Available at: http://www.mountjerome.ie/
113 NAI, NAI/CS/PO/TR Will of Percy Edwin Ludgate, 26 June 1917.
114 Dr Thomas Paul Codd of 78 Lr. Drumcondra Road, died aged 62 years on 12 Nov 1922 (‘self-administered opium poisoning’).
115 Dr Michael Francis Cox (1852–1926), 26 Merrion Square North, was one of Dublin’s leading doctors, a physician at St Vincent’s Hospital, consulting physician to several Dublin hospitals, including the National Hospital for Consumption, with a large private practice. He was a member of the RIA, elected President of the Royal College of Physicians in 1922, but fell ill in 1923.
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Pt. VI—(a) Percy Ludgate (Image courtesy Brian Randell), (b) Excerpts of Percy Ludgate’s handwriting from his will (Images courtesy of National Archives of Ireland).

included is rent of £5.18s 8d to a Miss Lennon, 78 Queen Street. After estate duty including interest was paid, the residue was £812.12s 10d. Probate was proven in Dublin on 23 January 1923 and in London on 12 February 1923.

116 Neither the 1901 or 1911 census lists Lennons at that property (corner of 78 Queen Street and 1 Benburb Street). The 1911 census lists a Lennon family with four daughters aged 12–22 years at 66.3 Queen Street, and another Lennon family with one daughter aged five years at 44.2 Benburb Street, neither close nearby. The 1910 Thom’s Directory lists a Mary Lennon at 73 Queen Street, but in the 1911 census that building is listed as ‘storage’. 
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In 1911 Percy Ludgate’s father, Michael Edward Ludgate was living in Omagh, Co. Tyrone, as Edward Ludgate, single and an army pensioner. He applied in 1919 for one of the new state pensions. He died three months after Percy in 1923 in the Union Infirmary, Belfast, and was buried in the Belfast City Cemetery. The burial was arranged and paid for by the Infirmary in the City Cemetery, which suggests he had a pauper’s grave.

After Percy’s death, it appears that his mother Mary, brother Alfred and niece Violet remained at 30 Dargle Road until 1935. His mother died aged 96 in 1936. When Alfred administered Percy’s probate he declared himself an accountant, but no evidence has been found confirming that he was a qualified accountant. After his mother’s death Alfred lived in the environs of the North Circular Road. Thomas and Bedelia, whose only daughter died in infancy, moved from Cork to England and settled at Blue Hazel Cottage, Chailey, in the environs of Newhaven and Peacehaven, Sussex. Bedelia died in Newhaven in 1934 and Thomas died in Lewes in 1951. Alfred died in 1953 in the Royal Victoria Eye and Ear Hospital where his niece Violet was employed as an Almoner’s clerk, but his death was registered by the hospital, not by Violet. Randell’s 1971 paper states that Percy’s drawings or manuscript were not found amongst Alfred’s effects. Percy’s sister Augusta was recorded in the 1939 UK Register as institutionalised in Leavesden Mental Hospital, Watford, where she died in 1954.

Thus by 1954 Percy, his parents and siblings had all died. Those who died in Dublin were buried in the same grave in Mount Jerome Cemetery. His only niece Violet, daughter of Frederick and Alice, lived at 39 Wellington Road, Dublin until 1987, when she died in St Vincent’s Hospital in Elm Park. Her probate shows she donated her body to Trinity College Dublin for medical research, finally to be interred at the Cruagh Cemetery, Rathfarnham. Only one person has been found thus far who remembers Violet, and Randell communicated with Violet only by letter. Consequently, until recently it was thought that, since

Percy Ludgate’s final resting place
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117 TNA, 1911 UK Census returns.
118 For details of births, marriages and deaths referred to in Section V, see: ‘Percy E. Ludgate Prize in Computer Science’ (see n. 22), especially sections: Ancestors of Percy Edwin Ludgate; Ancestors of Barbara Hopkins; Ancestors of Eileen Mary Ludgate.
119 Belfast City Cemetery, ‘Application for internment 58375’.
120 Alfred Ludgate declared he was an accountant employed at George Drevar Fottrell and Sons (solicitors), 46 Fleet Street, Dublin. There is a letter from his employer attached to probate, but no evidence that he was an accountant. Thom’s Directory listed accountants, but never listed Alfred Ludgate, whilst continuing to list Percy Ludgate until 1929.
121 TNA, 1939 UK Register, Thomas Ludgate, Chailey Road, Sussex (1939). They are not in the 1914–15 Worthing Directory, or the 1915, 1922 or 1927 Kelly’s Sussex Directory, or the 1934–6 Lewes, Seaford, Newhaven Blue Book.
122 TNA, 1939 UK Register, Leavesden Mental Hospital, Watford (1939).
123 Mount Jerome Cemetery (see n. 112).
125 Brian Coghlan, Personal communications (January 2019).
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Ludgate’s parents and siblings had died by 1954, and his niece Violet had died in 1987, that there were no living descendants of his parents. Then in 2018 it was discovered that Violet had given birth to a daughter Barbara in 1935.\textsuperscript{126} She was privately adopted and, renamed Anita, brought up in Jamaica. She married and moved to America, where she and her husband raised a family, see Figure 5.

Percy’s home at 30 Dargle Road (where he did his work on his Analytical Machine), which was occupied by the Ludgates from 1899–1935, exists in good condition. By contrast the Ludgate grave, occupied by Frederick, Percy, Alice, Mary and Alfred, and owned by Alfred,\textsuperscript{127} who made no will, long lay unmarked and in poor condition. Since the grave could only be marked with permission from the owner, or by close descendants, the identification of Anita Newton

\textsuperscript{126} Brian Coghlan, Personal communications with Trish Gonzalez (7 December 2018), prompted by an old entry (5 August 2013) on a genealogy message board.

\textsuperscript{127} National Archives or Ireland, NAI/CS/PO/TR Will of Percy Edwin Ludgate. (see n. 113), and Mount Jerome Cemetery (n. 112).
has allowed this to be addressed, and her children, who are direct descendants of three occupants of the Ludgate grave, were in 2019 allowed to erect a grave marker (Pl. VII).

Percy Ludgate, who was born, lived, worked and died in Ireland, published his Analytical Machine paper in the *Scientific Proceedings of the Royal Dublin Society* in 1909. Prior to the present paper, he presented two sets of unknowns, one in regard to his life story and the other regarding his machine. Firstly, he died young and single, and by 1987 there were no known descendants of his parents or extended family, so family and personal details were scarce. Secondly, his 1909 paper explained key principles of his machine, but it was not very forthcoming otherwise, as none of the many drawings of the machine and its parts that Ludgate stated in 1909 and 1914 he had prepared had been found. It is possible that the first world war interrupted the dissemination of his work. His ideas seem to have been forgotten by the time of Baxendall’s 1926 *Science Museum catalogue of calculating machines and instruments*, in which Ludgate’s mention as the designer of a difference engine (rather than of his Analytical Machine, which went unmentioned) stimulated Randell’s 1971 investigation.

The new material in the present paper has all been found since 2016, the majority since January 2017. There have been two particularly significant discoveries, firstly at Christmas 2018 of the existence of his niece Violet
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Ludgate’s daughter and descendants, secondly at Christmas 2019 of the article and especially the diagram in an August 1909 issue of the little-known journal Engineering.

The first discovery occurred because of the extensive genealogical investigation that has permitted the identification of Percy Ludgate’s ancestors back to the 1700s. During these activities it was found that another genealogist was also researching the Ludgate family. This led to the discovery of an unsuspected set of Violet Ludgate’s descendants in America (one of whom joined the investigation), who were legally entitled to place a memorial on Percy Ludgate’s unmarked grave. His life still presents many mysteries about his education, health, civil service and subsequent career. There remains a hope of finding further descendants of the later generations that were contemporary with Percy Ludgate, and hence the possibility of obtaining through them additional information. But the existing results are a clear testament, firstly to the potential of the Internet and pervasive computing for collaborative historical investigations, secondly, to the power of modern genealogy not only to create extended family trees but also to discover records that unveil the life of a family, and thirdly to the immense value of the continuing digitisation of historical documents and records.

The second discovery was a direct outcome of the investigation’s outreach activities, in co-operation with the Ludgate Hub. Prompted by this, Ralf Buelow, of Heinz Nixdorf MuseumForum, found a brief account of the kernel of Ludgate’s design, published just a few months after his 1909 paper. Astonishingly this account, like the more comprehensive account in the journal Engineering upon which it was based, contained an explanatory diagram that must have been provided by Percy Ludgate himself. This single diagram is of significant value in obtaining a more complete understanding of Ludgate’s highly innovative ‘Irish logarithms’ multiplication mechanism. His machine still presents many mysteries, not least his inspiration for and motivation in pursuing the design of his machine. He designed a potentially disruptive mechanical technology; his storage scheme was extremely compact; his index would have dramatically reduced average multiplication times relative to repeated addition; these and his approach to division were novel. The latter is now common, as is multiply-accumulation (MAC), and grouping of an operation with its operands; these aspects of his design may yet have future application to miniaturised mechanical machines. The new details deduced by this research are a clear testament to the value and ability of even just a single drawing to illuminate hidden facts about a machine, hence the discovery of any further diagrams would be likely to have a significant impact.

The investigation has amassed a very considerable archive,¹²⁹ which is, as far as is permitted, available online within the John Gabriel Byrne Computer

¹²⁹ All the biographical material and genealogical evidence is aggregated in: ‘Percy E. Ludgate prize in Computer Science’, see note 22. All the technical and other material is available at the Ludgate folder, see note14.
Science Collection. It is hoped that the present paper, and the associated archive, will prompt and encourage further research, especially in Ireland, into all aspects of Percy Ludgate’s life and work.
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