



PROJECT MUSE®

Film Music: A History (review)

Colin Roust

Notes, Volume 66, Number 3, March 2010, pp. 570-572 (Review)

Published by Music Library Association

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1353/not.0.0293>



➔ *For additional information about this article*

<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/376367>

two different meanings of “cool,” the “black cool” as part of black consciousness and the “white cool” with a negative connotation, he conflates them rather than sorting through the dichotomy. In particular, he makes a puzzling remark that Miles Davis was “as close to the living embodiment of Norman Mailer’s ‘White Negro’ as one could hope to find” (p. 12). On the contrary, Davis, an African American, would represent the farthest thing from a “White Negro,” a term Mailer used to indicate white “hipsters” who appropriated black culture. In general, the lack of attention to the issue of racial politics in jazz and the problem of cool jazz as a style category results in a simplistic approach; a more contextualized approach would have provided depth to the treatment of the subject.

An important question about the nonet concerns the disagreements over the arranging credits. The case of “Budo” is particularly confusing. Tirro believes that John Lewis arranged it but does not provide any evidence, suggesting instead that it is consistent with Lewis’s other arrangements, which are stylistically more bebop than cool jazz. However, Bill Kirchner attributes it to Mulligan who, according to Kirchner, supplied the arranging credits (“Miles Davis and the Birth of the Cool,” in *A Miles Davis Reader*, ed. Bill Kirchner [Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1997], 41 and 44).

Despite its problems, *The Birth of the Cool of Miles Davis and His Associates* is a valuable resource for students interested in the music of Davis’s nonet, and a good companion to the nonet recordings along with the published scores edited by Jeff Sultanof (Miles Davis, *Birth of the Cool* [Milwaukee: Hal Leonard, 2002]).

EUNMI SHIM

Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Film Music: A History. By James Wierzbicki. New York: Routledge, 2009. [xv, 312 p. ISBN 9780415991988 (hardcover), \$110; ISBN 9780415991995 (paperback), \$38.95.] Illustrations, bibliography, index.

The past ten years or so have seen a flurry of textbooks published for film music courses. Wierzbicki’s book is billed as “not

the history of film music. Rather . . . a history” (p. xiii) and provides a complement to most of its competition. Instead of the typical approaches of focusing on landmark films and instructing readers in the art of analyzing film scores, Wierzbicki focuses on history as seen through film music criticism and the changing practices of music making in the American film industry. Indeed, this work draws as much on the history of film in general as it does on the history of the music itself. In assembling his narrative, Wierzbicki has done such a commendable job of poring through newspapers and journals that the reader can’t help but long for a source readings anthology to collect the numerous obscure and hard-to-find articles that are cited. Filling out the colorful journalistic diatribes, Wierzbicki places a great deal of emphasis on the realities of Hollywood filmmaking. He recognizes that the film industry is a business that responds to financial, commercial, and legal pressures, as well as to the audience. For Wierzbicki, aesthetic innovations seem to emerge from a realization that film composers are immensely practical people who creatively take advantage of the resources given them, even under the imposition of the strictest deadlines. As a textbook, *Film Music: A History* will be of great use for film music courses with a chronological organization or with an emphasis on “classical-style” Hollywood films. As a resource for film music scholars, it provides a useful overview of the silent, early sound, and “classical” eras and guides the readers toward a wide variety of primary and secondary sources.

One of the strongest sections of the book is part 1, “Music and the ‘Silent’ Film (1894–1927).” In addition to engaging with a wide range of contemporaneous articles in newspapers and trade journals, Wierzbicki draws heavily on the work of Martin Marks (*Music and the Silent Film: Contexts and Case Studies, 1895–1924* [Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997]), and Rick Altman (“The Silence of the Silents,” *Musical Quarterly* 80, no. 4 [Winter 1996]: 648–718; and *Silent Film Sound* [New York: Columbia University Press, 2004]). The result is a rich, complex picture of the various settings for film viewing and the scoring strategies adapted for each. The lengthy discussion of cue sheets—including com-

plete quotations of seven examples—and film music handbooks (such as Erno Rapée's classic *Motion Picture Moods for Pianists and Organists* [New York: Belwin, 1924]) provides the foundation for examining changes in the musical practices of film exhibition between 1909 and the late 1920s. In contrast with much of the rest of the book, there is a strong international focus throughout this section—a necessity in this era when American and European film companies competed so fiercely for markets on both sides of the Atlantic. That said, Wierzbicki's narrative would be enhanced significantly by greater attention to French and Italian art film traditions during the 1910s and 1920s, when those industries tended to combat Hollywood's postwar dominance by opting for quality rather than quantity.

Part 2, "Music and the Early Sound Film (1894–1933)" is a thoroughly researched presentation of industrial and technological developments. As the dates suggest, Wierzbicki begins well before the introduction of the commercially successful Vitaphone and Movietone systems. The first chapter of this section discusses numerous American and European film-and-music technologies, from Edison's 1895 Kinetophone to the development of synchronized-sound systems by Lee de Forest, the Tri-Ergon laboratories, and Western Electric in the mid-1920s. However, this section is not just a technocentric narrative. Firmly rooted in exhibition practices, Wierzbicki places these technologies within the tension of contemporaneous audio culture (i.e., listening to three- to five-minute sound recordings in the privacy of one's home) and cinema culture (i.e., viewing a ninety-minute or longer program of films in the public space of a theatre). According to this account, the great success of *The Jazz Singer* can be seen as a fusion of cinema culture with that of Broadway and musical theatre, rather than of film and recording.

In part 3, "Music in the 'Classical-Style' Hollywood Film (1933–60)," the book loses some of its focus on historical narrative. Wierzbicki includes a lengthy discussion (pp. 140–45) of the concept of the "classical style," as defined by David Bordwell, Janet Staiger, and Kristin Thompson (*The Classical Hollywood Cinema: Film Style and Production to 1960* [London: Routledge &

Kegan Paul, 1985]), and Claudia Gorbman (*Unheard Melodies: Narrative Film Music* [Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1987]). This is followed, however, by a much stronger section that fits more tightly into the larger narrative of Wierzbicki's history. Here, he focuses on film music in the "golden age" (1933–49), as seen by the composer-critics George Antheil, Aaron Copland, Hanns Eisler, Leonid Sabaneev, and Virgil Thomson. Wierzbicki counterpoints the attitudes and opinions of these Hollywood outsiders with the practical realities faced by "run-of-the-mill" composers in the studio music departments headed up by the likes of Alfred Newman and Max Steiner. Despite the Hollywood-centric perspective of this section, the reader inevitably has to wonder about those names that are so frequently repeated in the quoted passages, but that otherwise hold no place in Wierzbicki's narrative: such as Georges Auric, Arthur Honegger, Sergei Prokofiev, and Dmitri Shostakovich. Any of these composers could be used as stepping-stones to more concretely contrast Hollywood and European film music practices. But the section does end on a very strong note with a chapter on "Postwar Innovations and the Struggle for Survival, 1949–58." Here, Wierzbicki offers an extremely nuanced narrative of the challenges faced by the major Hollywood studios during these years, which witnessed cosmopolitan shifts in both European and American filmmaking. Of particular interest is the focus on transatlantic aesthetic debates between Thomas Beecham, Antony Hopkins, Hans Keller, Lawrence Morton, and Dmitri Tiomkin.

The final section, part 4, "Film Music in the Post-Classic Period (1958–2008)," is problematic in terms of the book's structure. Nearly half of the book's chronology—the eclectic portion that Wierzbicki describes as a "Cambrian explosion of styles" (p. 190)—is crammed into less than a quarter of the book's length. As the reader might expect, the historical content here is glossed over too quickly to be satisfying. Given the emphasis on the Petrillo strike in 1958 (pp. 183–86), the complete absence of the American Federation of Musicians strike in 1980 is a glaring lacuna as the resolutions of both strikes resulted in major, lasting changes to how

music was made in Hollywood. Likewise, Wierzbicki's discussion of Dolby Stereo's impact (pp. 206–07) emphasizes the important shifts in sound aesthetic ushered in by this technology, but leaves the reader wondering about the impact of subsequent sound technologies, such as THX, 5.1-channel Dolby Digital, and Digital Theater System (DTS). However, the bulk of this section is not about historical developments, as the previous sections were. Instead, it is an examination of the scholarly discourse on film music from the 1970s through the early 2000s. The book's summary seems to acknowledge this change in tone and approach, even if it doesn't justify or explain the decision to treat the final section so differently: "One of the main themes of *Film Music: A History* has been the idea that for its first six decades, from its murky origins ca. 1895 up to the mid 1950s, the music that accompanied motion pictures at any given time was somehow 'of a piece.' . . . Always, during these first sixty or so years, film music followed a linear path" (pp. 233–34).

Taken as a whole, *Film Music: A History* makes an important contribution to the textbook market for courses on film music. It will be of particular interest to those instructors whose courses are organized chronologically or that privilege Hollywood films over their European and Asian counterparts. The lack of case studies (except for *The Birth of a Nation* [pp. 58–61]) grants instructors a great deal of freedom in the selection of which films to include in their syllabi. As a textbook, it also demands supplementation (p. xiv). For instance, this book could be balanced with a number of classic articles on film music analysis and aesthetics, exposing students to a number of the most celebrated articles on film music and to widely varied approaches to studying a given film's score. This book will also be of interest to those instructors whose courses focus primarily on films from the silent and "classic" eras. By situating the musical practices of these eras within the contexts of the industry, exhibition practices, and criticism, Wierzbicki's book offers a rich historical narrative that complements the aesthetic and analytic focus of much of its competition on the market.

COLIN ROUST
Oberlin College

The Ashgate Research Companion to Japanese Music. Edited by Alison McQueen Tokita and David W. Hughes. Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2008. [xxv, 446 p. ISBN 9780754656999. \$124.95.] Music examples, illustrations, bibliography, audio/videography, indexes, compact disc.

Long, long in the waiting, this book has finally made its entrance on the musicological stage as a companion in research—thus neither as a handbook nor as a survey, but as something in between—for scholars and, especially, for current (and prospective?) graduate students of Japanese music. Conceived by editors Alison Tokita and David Hughes as a collaborative work by both Japanese and English speakers "to form a balanced approach" to taking stock of "the full range of Japanese musical culture" (dust jacket), it is the first book in English on Japanese music to attempt such coverage in detail. Fifteen chapters, all but the very last individually authored, address individual genres, with little directed crossover—a pattern that follows Japanese research culture itself—barring a merger of the histories of courtly and associated religious traditions. Authors cover the current state of research and key issues in their specialties, as appropriate for the aims set by the series of Ashgate Research Companions to which the volume belongs. The largely self-contained chapters follow the standard, and perhaps unavoidable, way of telling the story. They are ordered according to historical sequence of emergence, moving from court music and Buddhist chant—with their common origins on the Asian mainland, long interwoven lives, and continual influencing of other musics over nearly fifteen centuries—through warrior-supported *biwa*-accompanied narrative music rooted in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries; *nō*, the medieval theater that rose in the early fifteenth century from much older theatrical and dance traditions; solo and chamber ensemble music; urban theatre music associated with the rise of the merchant classes in the Edo period (1600–1868); historical and present-day popular musics and folk music; musics of the Ryukyu and Ainu; and finally contemporary and Western-influenced classical music.