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angelic group in the accompanying scene (fo. 7v). The translation incorrectly reads, ‘Abraham saw three but adored one of them’.

To the best of my knowledge, this manuscript has never been reproduced in its entirety. Perhaps a CD of its contents might be designed to accompany the hard copy.

Margaret M. Manion
School of Historical Studies
The University of Melbourne.


In the Istrian town of Poreč, the sixth-century cathedral, baptistery and episcopal palace stand close to the Adriatic Sea. These buildings form one of the most complete and important surviving examples of such an ecclesiastical complex. Splendid mosaics adorn each of the three apses, the apsidal arch and the façade of the cathedral built by Bishop Eufrasius. This book presents a meticulous survey of the apse and arch mosaics, in the context of early illustrations, descriptions and restorations, and it explains their style, iconography and iconology in relation to other works of the time.

After briefly describing the appearance of the mosaics today, the authors make a critical analysis of the graphic and documentary evidence for what was visible in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. Drawings, prints and photographs are carefully evaluated in relation to written descriptions of the mosaics and reports on their condition. In this way, the authors establish what was there before the controversial restoration of 1890-1900 by Pietro Bornia. Their aim is to distinguish between what dates from the sixth century and what from the nineteenth, and to ascertain whether the nineteenth-century restorers remade, copied or even ‘improved’ on the original work, according to the various theories of restoration then current.

The authors have undertaken a precise and very valuable examination of the mosaics from scaffolding erected in the cathedral at various times. This close inspection of the physical fabric of the mosaics has enabled them to see where the original plaster survives, where the original tesserae are still in situ, or have been reset, and where new tesserae have been added.
‘andamento’ or patterning of the tesserae, in regular or irregular passages, also
distinguishes original from restored work. The authors found that the range of
hues identified as dating from the sixth century far outnumbers those added in
the nineteenth century. The mosaicists employed more costly materials, like
gold, glass tesserae and mother-of-pearl, in the central apse and less expensive
cubes in the side apses, where the mosaics have not survived in their entirety.
This painstaking survey of the mosaics is an important contribution to our
understanding of them.

On the whole, close inspection of the mosaics has enabled the authors
to conclude that Bornia’s restoration consisted mostly of replacing large
stretches of gold tesserae and minor patching of other areas. In two places
the images were remade: the Lamb of God in the centre of the soffit of the
main arch was fabricated in Rome; and the lower part of the frieze of Christ
and the apostles on the apsidal arch wall was largely refashioned after the
fragments of surviving mosaics had been removed from the wall. In general,
however, it seems Bornia tended to respect the fabric of the original mosaics,
in contradiction to accusations at the time from his critic, Giacomo Boni.

Stylistically, the mosaics are related to those in the Arian Baptistery, S.
Vitale, S. Apollinare in Classe and the second phase of S. Apollinare Nuovo
in Ravenna, works dating from c.526–556. The materials used are also similar,
with coloured glass tesserae mixed with mother-of-pearl, stone and slate. It
is likely that artists from Ravenna fashioned the Poreč mosaics.

By establishing which parts of the composition are original, the authors
come to a clear understanding of their iconography, and are able to identify
the figures and their attributes. They can confidently identify the figures of
Saints Cosmas and Damian in the north apse, for example, because of their
certainty that the surviving lettering is original. They can also see part of
the medical bag, often the attribute of these saints, carried by Damian. After
identifying some of the figures, it becomes possible to discuss aspects of the
work’s iconology and to explain the deeper significance of these images. For
example, they discuss their possible relation to the ‘Three Chapters controversy’,
when the bishops of Venetia and Istria (including Bishop Eufrasius) opposed
Emperor Justinian’s condemnation of the writings of Theodore of Mopseustia,
Theodoret of Cyrus and Ibas of Edessa at the Council of Chalcedon. They
discuss possible reasons why the image of Susanna and the Elders appears
on the incense box held by Zacharias. They note how the veil and belt of
the Holy Mother of God allude to the mystery of the Incarnation. In these
most interesting sections on iconography and iconology the authors have used many contemporary works of art as comparative material.

This book combines meticulous research with convincing argument and fascinating discussion. It is lavishly illustrated with numerous illustrations, most of which are in colour. These display the mosaics with many details of their beautiful materials, as well as early depictions of them, and comparable works of art mostly from the sixth or seventh centuries. Printed in two volumes, the abundant illustrations are well organized and easily accessible, while reading the text.

Joan Barclay Lloyd
School of Historical and European Studies
La Trobe University


Laurie Throness' new survey of the late eighteenth-century Penitentiary Act stands apart from much of the existing literature on English criminal justice history by virtue of its focus on the religious underpinnings to legislation and penal practice. The work makes several claims to originality. Firstly, Throness has adduced his arguments from a wide body of under-examined sources, including poetry and music. From this evidence he has drawn conclusions as to the religious origins of the Penitentiary Act, a point he argues is overlooked in much existing scholarly literature on eighteenth-century English legal history.

To substantiate these claims, Throness' text ranges far beyond legal history, reconstructing a religious and mystical context in eighteenth-century England in order to make sense of the religious influences on the Act. He explains the cultural parameters in Georgian England that placed God as supreme in Church and State. Throness pays particular attention to the coronation of King George III in 1761, inferring from the words and rituals of that ceremony the King’s status as God’s vicegerent (p. 18). Some of these points of course have already been widely acknowledged in existing surveys. However, other points, including the concurrences between Protestant conceptions of cells and hermitages and imprisonment, cover new ground (p. 234).

Based on this reading of the Act's cultural context, Throness reconstructs what he asserts are strongly religious resonances not only in the Penitentiary