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narrative, and to “history churned out for the tourist market.” By locating its new theaters in shopping malls rather than museums, IMAX has found “a way out of the education and museum market ghetto,” and presumably, a way back to Hollywood.

As a genre positioned at what Ruoff calls “the intersection of the industries of travel and entertainment,” the travelogue is bound to make us aware of “new hybrid forms, new modes of production and reception, and new kinds of spectators.” Yet one wonders what relation, if any, exists between the new spectators represented by younger viewers who thrill to the visceral sensations of IMAX widescreen and Hollywood blockbusters, and more traditional audiences consisting of older viewers who calmly absorb information provided by live 16mm travel lectures. Do these new/young and traditional/old viewers have anything in common? It doesn’t seem so until we reflect that today’s spectacular, hyper-realistic cinematic effects are not as new as they seem, and that some of film’s most shocking sensations date back to its earliest years, when the medium itself was new. Readers of this anthology may well have the feeling that at the end of its first century, the history of film has come full circle, and that after all the experiments in narrative and documentary forms, we are once again witnessing a cinema of actualités and attractions, of virtual reality tours and movie rides. A century later, the old debate about film’s function as an educational or an entertainment vehicle—or as some intermediate hybrid form—remains as unresolved as ever.

Joel Black


This second volume of LINCOM Studies in Language and Literature focuses on four female Francophone novelists who base their works on the personal and are inspired by real-life events to make a difference through their writing. Delphine examines the autobiographical dimensions in eight ideological novels by Claire Etcherelli (from France), Gabrielle Roy (from Canada), and Were Were Liking and Delphine Zanga Tsogo (both from Cameroon). Her study “des témoignages de la vie, de l’expérience [des] auteures” (105) is nonetheless superficial. Because it lacks critical substance, the reader who is serious about biographical research will likely find it of limited use.

To her credit, Delphine convincingly demonstrates in the first four chapters that parallels do exist between the lives of the authors she studies and their various narrators. *Elise ou la vraie vie*, “la paraphrase d’une vie” (15),
is Etcherelli’s thinly veiled firsthand exposé of conditions of Renault factory workers; the novel also foregrounds a love affair that is marred by interracial conflict. *Ces enfants de ma vie* is the pseudo-fictional account by Roy, “un être flou qui se constitue à travers l’écriture” (53), of her experiences teaching in an all-boys school. The works *Elle sera de jaspe et de corail*, *L’Amour-Cent-Vies*, and *Orphée DAFRIC* nicely showcase Liking’s hallmark use of the first-person plural “we” to exemplify shared life experiences and political engagement in Liking’s native Cameroon. And in her text, *Vies de femmes*, former Cameroonian Minister of Social Affairs Tsogo exposes the myriad problems she and other African women typically face in a society run by men.

Having discussed each author individually, Delphine turns her attention in the remaining three chapters, “Le combat contre la marginalisation,” “L’appel à la tolerance,” and “Une vision idéaliste et optimiste de l’homme,” to consider how each novelist grapples in her writing with the social and natural inequalities deriving from the struggle to survive of every individual, group, class, and society: “elles veulent attirer l’attention du monde contemporain [sic] sur ce problème qui tend à prendre de l’ampleur sur la race humaine. Elles montrent à quel point la discrimination intergroupes [sic] à des niveaux très variés sont inévitables et constituent l’expression même de l’existence” (123).

Each novelist admittedly downplays the personal out of autobiographical “discretion” (173), couching her so-called “écriture du moi” and respective ideological stance—whether against sexism, ageism, or racism—in the fictional: “Le texte biographique, lorsqu’il intègre la fiction, peut voiler l’écriture de l’intimité. Les romans choisis . . . ne sont pas déclarés par l’auteure, ou même par l’éditeur comme étant des biographies” (105). But this is already well rehearsed, if not belabored, by Delphine.

Readers surely want to know what about these works makes them distinctive. Can these novels that Delphine deems both autobiographical and ideological, “[qui] apparaissent plus ou moins comme des récits autobiographiques de leur auteur, des témoignages au service d’une idéologie humaniste” (9), “ne se limit[ant] pas au seul rôle de témoignage” (105), somehow be differentiated from other prose works with similar characteristics? And what light, if any, might the corpus Delphine has chosen to examine shed on (auto)biographical studies?

Delphine comes closest to addressing critically substantive questions such as these in her second chapter, “Gabrielle Roy et le métier d’enseignant.” Yet she wastes the opportunity. Relying on a reductive 2002 *Magazine littéraire* article, she suggests—and, it should be noted, only in passing—that Roy’s work is more autofictional than autobiographical. In the process, Delphine
also reveals in an embarrassing typographical error just how unfamiliar she is with the key (auto)biographical theorists that should have grounded her study: “Mais ici, nous ne sommes plus à l’époque de Saint-Augustin, de Montaigne, de Pascal ou de Rousseau, où l’écrivain ose reveler dans son intimité et ses secrets. L’écrivain moderne ‘Brouille les cartes, pratique un subtil dosage de mensonge et de vérité, et remanie le matériau de sa propre vie. L’ultime avatar de cette pratique est l’auto fiction [sic], cette mise en fiction de la vie personnelle, telle que Serge Dobrovsky [sic] l’inaugura à la fin des années 70’” (50).

That she misspells Doubrovsky’s name and never mentions the work of Philippe Lejeune—which is essential to understanding the autobiographical “pact” underpinning what it would seem Delphine really wants to show—is telling. Yet Delphine’s work not only lacks depth. It is marred by additional typographical errors and several troubling inconsistencies. Why vacillate, as she does for example, between the use of “auteure” (78) and “auteur” (9), “écrivaine” (138) and “écrivain” (12)? While an occasional error of agreement can certainly be forgiven, Delphine’s inconsistent capitalization of Liking’s middle name—“Were Were Liking” (170), “Were were Liking” (78), for instance—is distracting and hard to overlook.

Delphine’s copy editors apparently did not seriously reread the manuscript before publishing it. Do the few redeeming features of her simplistic work warrant even a first read by others? Probably not.

Brian Gordon Kennelly


This volume offers literary-intellectual portraits of a fair number of authors associated with what is now a well-established canon of Holocaust-related literature: Rolf Hochhuth, Peter Weiss, Arthur Miller, Anne Frank, Jean Améry, Primo Levi, Elie Wiesel, and Tadeusz Borowski. Yet the heart piece of this “meditation on memory and on the ways in which memory has operated in the work of writers for whom the Holocaust was a defining event” is a superb and enthralling discussion of W. G. (“Max”) Sebald (1944–2001), to whose memory Bigsby has dedicated this volume. Bigsby heads the School of American Studies at the University of East Anglia, where Sebald taught from 1970 and held a chair in European Literature from 1988 until his untimely death in a car accident. Bigsby’s engagement with Sebald is clearly a labor of love, and published on its own it would have made for a slim but elegant and extraordinarily perfect monograph. As it stands, though, this book is a rather