



PROJECT MUSE®

---

## Composing Research

Cindy JohaneK

Published by Utah State University Press

JohaneK, Cindy.

Composing Research: A Contextualist Paradigm for Rhetoric and Composition.

Logan: Utah State University Press, 2000.

Project MUSE., <https://muse.jhu.edu/>.

Cindy JohaneK



➔ For additional information about this book

<https://muse.jhu.edu/book/9257>

## WORKS CITED

- Alston, W. P. 1989. *Epistemic justification: Essays in the theory of knowledge*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Anderson, P. V. 1998. Simple gifts: Ethical issues in the conduct of person-based composition research. *College Composition and Communication*, 49: 63–89.
- Angeles, P. A. 1992. *Dictionary of philosophy* (2nd ed.). New York: Harper Collins.
- Annis, D. 1978/1993. A contextualist theory of epistemic justification. In L. P. Pojman, ed., *The theory of knowledge: Classic and contemporary readings*, 280–287. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company. (Reprinted from *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 15: 213–19).
- Anson, C. 1989. Response styles and ways of knowing. In C. Anson, ed., *Writing and response*, 332–66. Urbana: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Applebee, A. 1981. *Writing in the secondary schools: English and the content areas*. Urbana: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Aristotle. Trans. 1991. *On rhetoric: A theory of civic discourse*. Translated by G. A. Kennedy. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Babcock, M. 1995. Leggo my ego. *The Writing Lab Newsletter*, 19.5: 10–12.
- Barton, E. 1997. Review: Empirical studies in composition. *College English*, 59: 815–27.
- Bartosenski, M. 1992. Color, revision, and painting a paper. *The Writing Center Journal*, 12: 159–73.
- Battersby, J. L. 1996. The inescapability of humanism. *College English*, 58: 555–67.
- Beach, R. 1992. Experimental and descriptive research methods in composition. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 217–46. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.

- Beach, R., Green, J. L., Kamil, M. L., & Shanahan, T. 1992. *Multi-disciplinary perspectives on literacy research*. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English & National Conference on Research in English.
- Berkenkotter, C. 1989. The legacy of positivism in empirical composition research. *Journal of Advanced Composition*, 9: 69–82.
- Berkenkotter, C. 1991. Paradigm debates, turf wars, and the conduct of sociocognitive inquiry in composition. *College Composition and Communication*, 42: 151–69.
- Berlin, J. A. 1984. *Writing instruction in nineteenth-century American colleges*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- . 1987. *Rhetoric and reality: Writing instruction in American colleges, 1900–1985*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Berthoff, A. 1990. *The sense of learning*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann, Boynton/Cook.
- Bonjour, L. 1985. *The structure of empirical knowledge*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Booth, W. C. 1963. The rhetorical stance. *College Composition and Communication*, 14: 139–45.
- Boyer, E. L. 1990. *Scholarship reconsidered: Priorities of the professoriate*. Princeton: The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching.
- Braddock, R., Lloyd-Jones, R., & Schoer, L. 1963. *Research in written composition*. Champaign, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Brand, A. G. 1989. *The psychology of writing: The affective experience*. New York: Greenwood.
- Briggs, L. 1998. Narrative as response to writers: Making connections. *The Writing Lab Newsletter*, 22.7: 1–4.
- Briggs, L., & Pailliotet, A. W. 1997. A story about grammar and power. *Journal of Basic Writing*, 16: 46–61.
- Brodkey, L. 1987. Writing ethnographic narratives. *Written Communication*, 4: 25–50.
- Bushman, D. 1991. Past accomplishments and current trends in writing center research: A bibliographic essay. In J. Simpson & R. Wallace, eds., *The writing center: New directions*, 27–38. New York: Garland Publishing.
- Bushman, D. 1998. Theorizing a “social-expressivist” writing center. *The Writing Lab Newsletter*, 22.7: 6–11.

- Campbell, G. 1776/1990. Excerpts from *Philosophy of rhetoric*. In J. L. Golden & E. P. J. Corbett, eds., *The rhetoric of Blair, Campbell, and Whately*, 145–271. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Carroll, L. A. 1997. Pomo blues: Stories from first-year composition. *College English*, 59: 916–33.
- Carter, K. & Spitzack, C. 1989. *Doing research on women's communication: Perspectives on theory and method*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Charney, D. 1996. Empiricism is not a four-letter word. *College Composition and Communication*, 47: 567–93.
- Chiste, K. B., & O'Shea, J. 1988. Patterns of question selection and writing performance of ESL students. *TESOL Quarterly*, 22: 681–84.
- Clifford, J. 1986. Introduction: Partial truths. In J. Clifford & G. E. Marcus, eds., *Writing culture: The poetics and politics of ethnography*, 1–26. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Connors, R. J. 1981. The rise and fall of the modes of discourse. *College Composition and Communication*, 32: 444–55.
- . 1983. Composition studies and science. *College English*, 45: 1–20.
- . 1995. Women's reclamation of rhetoric in the nineteenth century. In L. W. Phelps & J. Emig, eds., *Feminine principles and women's experience in American composition and rhetoric*, 67–90. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- . 1996. Teaching and learning as a man. *College English*, 58, 137–57.
- DiPardo, A. 1992. 'Whispers of coming and going': Lessons from Fannie. *The Writing Center Journal*, 12: 125–44.
- Dyson, A. H., & Genishi, C. 1994. *The need for story: Cultural diversity in classroom and community*. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Ede, L. 1992. Methods, methodologies, and politics of knowledge: Reflections and speculations. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 314–32. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Elbow, P. 1990. *What is English?* New York: The Modern Language Association.
- Emig, J. 1982. Inquiry paradigms and writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 33: 64–75.

- Enos, T. 1996. *Gender roles and faculty lives in rhetoric and composition*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Ferris, D. R. 1994. Rhetorical strategies in student persuasive writing: Differences between native and non-native English speakers. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 28: 45–65.
- Fitzgerald, S., Mulvihill, P., & Dobson, R. 1991. Meeting the needs of graduate students: Writing support groups in the center. In J. Simpson & R. Wallace, eds., *The writing center: New directions*, 133–44. New York: Garland.
- Flower, L. 1989. Cognition, context, and theory building. *College Composition and Communication*, 40: 282–311.
- Foucault, M. 1972. *The archaeology of knowledge*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- . 1977. What is an author? In *Language, counter-memory, practice: Selected interviews*, 113–38). Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- . 1980. *Power/Knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings, 1972–1977*. New York: Pantheon.
- Furnish, S. 1995. Loving grading writing. *CLA Journal*, 38: 490–504.
- Gage, J. T. 1986. Why write? In A. Petrosky & D. Bartholomae, eds., *The teaching of writing*, 8–29. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gannett, C. 1995. The stories of our lives become our lives: Journals, diaries, and academic discourse. In L. W. Phelps & J. Emig, eds., *Feminine principles and women's experience in American composition and rhetoric*, 109–36. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Gates, B. T. & Shteir, A. B. 1997. *Natural eloquence: Women reinscribe science*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Gates, H. L. 1987. *The classic slave narratives*. New York: Penguin.
- Geertz, C. 1973. *The interpretation of cultures*. New York: Basic.
- Gere, A. R. 1985. Empirical research in composition. In B. McClelland & T. Donovan, eds., *Perspectives on research and scholarship in composition*, 110–24. New York: Modern Language Association.
- Gilbert, G. N., & Mulkay, M. 1984. Contexts of scientific discourse. In *Opening Pandora's box: A sociological analysis of scientists' discourse*. Cambridge: University of Cambridge Press.
- Gill, G. E. 1992. The African-American student: At risk. *College Composition and Communication*, 43: 225–30.
- Gradin, S. L. 1995. *Romancing rhetorics: Social expressivist perspectives on the teaching of writing*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook, Heinemann.

- Green, L. D. 1990. Aristotelian rhetoric, dialectic and the traditions of *Antistrophos*. *Rhetorica*, 8: 5–27.
- Gunner, J., & McNenny, G. 1997. Retrospection as prologue: Afterthoughts on motive. *Journal of Basic Writing*, 16: 3–6.
- Hagaman, J. 1981. On Campbell's *Philosophy of rhetoric* and its relevance to contemporary invention. *Rhetoric Society Quarterly*, 11: 145–54.
- Hairston, M. 1982. The winds of change: Thomas Kuhn and the revolution in the teaching of writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 33: 76–88.
- Harding, S. 1986. *The science question in feminism*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Harding, S. 1987. Introduction: Is there a feminist method? In S. Harding, ed., *Feminism and methodology*, 1–14. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Harding, S. 1991. *Whose science? Whose knowledge? Thinking from women's lives*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Harding, S., & O'Barr, J. F. 1987. *Sex and scientific inquiry*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Harkin, P. 1991. The postdisciplinary politics of lore. In P. Harkin & J. Schilb, eds., *Contending with words: Composition and rhetoric in a postmodern age*, 124–38. New York: The Modern Language Association.
- Harkin, P., & Schilb, J. 1991. *Contending with words: Composition and rhetoric in a postmodern age*. New York: The Modern Language Association.
- Harste, J. C. 1992. Foreword. In R. Beach, J. L. Green, M. L. Kamil, & T. Shanahan, eds., *Multidisciplinary perspectives on literacy research*, ix–xiii. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English and National Conference on Research in English.
- Hawisher, G. E., & Selfe, C. L. 1991. The rhetoric of technology and the electronic writing class. *College Composition and Communication*, 42: 55–65.
- Hawkins, K. 1989. Exposing masculine science: An alternative feminist approach to the study of women's communication. In K. Carter & C. Spitzack, eds., *Doing research on women's communication: Perspectives on theory and method*, 40–64. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Hayes, J. R. 1992. A psychological perspective applied to literacy studies. In R. Beach, J. Green, M. Kamil, & T. Shanahan, eds., *Multidisciplinary*

- perspectives on literacy research*, 125–40. Urbana, IL: National Conference on Research in English/National Council of Teachers of English.
- Hayes, J. R., Young, R. E., Matchett, M. L., McCaffrey, M., Cochran, C., & Hajduk, T. 1992. *Reading empirical research studies: The rhetoric of research*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Healy, D. 1995. Writing center directors: An emerging portrait of the profession. *Writing Program Administration*, 18: 26–43.
- Herrington, A. 1989. The first twenty years of *Research in the Teaching of English* and the growth of a research community in composition studies. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 16: 117–38.
- Hillocks, G., Jr. 1986. *Research on written composition*. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- . 1992. Reconciling the qualitative and quantitative. In R. Beach, J. Green, M. Kamil, & T. Shanahan, eds., *Multidisciplinary perspectives on literacy research*, 57–68. Urbana, IL: National Conference on Research in English/National Council of Teachers of English.
- Hobson, E. H. 1992. Maintaining our balance: Walking the tightrope of competing epistemologies. *The Writing Center Journal*, 13: 65–75.
- hooks, b. 1989. *talking back: thinking feminist, thinking black*. Boston: South End Press.
- Hunzer, K. M. 1997. Misperceptions of gender in the writing center: Stereotyping and the facilitative tutor. *The Writing Lab Newsletter*, 22.2: 6–10.
- Irmscher, W. F. 1987. Finding a comfortable identity. *College Composition and Communication*, 38: 81–7.
- Jacobs, S. 1997. Review: Reflections on pedagogical study. *College English*, 59: 461–69.
- Jensen, G. H., & DiTiberio, J. K. 1989. *Personality and the teaching of composition*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Johnson, N. 1991. *Nineteenth-century rhetoric in North America*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Kail, H., & Allen, K. 1982. Conducting research in the writing lab. In M. Harris, ed., *Tutoring writing: A sourcebook for writing labs*, 233–45. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman and Company.
- Kamil, M., Langer, J., & Shanahan, T. 1985. *Understanding research in reading and writing*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

- Keller, E. F. 1985. *Reflections on gender and science*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Kerlinger, F. N. 1986. *Foundations of behavioral research* (3rd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Kinneavy, J. L. 1971. *A theory of discourse*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Kirsch, G. 1992. Methodological pluralism: Epistemological issues. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 247–69. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- . 1993. *Women writing the academy: Authority, audience, and transformation*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Kirsch, G., & Sullivan, P. A. 1992. *Methods and methodology in composition research*. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Kuhn, T. S. 1970. *The structure of scientific revolutions* (2nd ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Langellier, K. M., & Hall, D. L. 1989. Interviewing women: A phenomenological approach to feminist communication research. In K. Carter & C. Spitzack, eds., *Doing research on women's communication: Perspectives on theory and method*, 193–220. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation.
- Langer, J. 1987. "Musings . . . red herrings in language research: Qualitative versus quantitative methods. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 21: 117–19.
- Lauer, J., & Asher, J. W. 1988. *Composition research: Empirical designs*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Lauer, J. 1995. The feminization of rhetoric and composition studies? *Rhetoric Review*, 13: 276–86.
- Lerner, N. 1997. Counting beans and making beans count. *The Writing Lab Newsletter*, 22.1: 1–4.
- Lunsford, A. A. 1981. Essay writing and teachers' responses in nineteenth-century Scottish universities. *College Composition and Communication*, 32: 434–43.
- Lunsford, A. A. 1991. Collaboration, control, and the idea of a writing center. *The Writing Center Journal*, 12: 3–10.
- Meier, D. 1997. *Learning in small moments: Life in an urban classroom*. New York: Teachers College Press.



- Meyer, R. J. 1996 *Stories from the heart: Teachers and students researching their literacy lives*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Miller, S. 1992. Writing theory :: Theory writing. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 62–83. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Moss, B. J. 1992. Ethnography and composition: Studying language at home. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 153–71. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Murphy, C., & Sherwood, S. 1995. *The St. Martin's sourcebook for writing tutors*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Nike Corporation. 6 June 1998. A woman is often measured (advertisement). [Online]. Available: [www.cam.org/~jfc/Nike/Nike4.text](http://www.cam.org/~jfc/Nike/Nike4.text).
- Neuleib, J. W., & Scharton, M. A. 1994. Writing others, writing ourselves: Ethnography and the writing center. In J. A. Mullin & R. Wallace, eds., *Intersections: Theory-practice in the writing center*, 54–67. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Newkirk, T. 1992. The narrative roots of the case study. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 130–52. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Noble, D. 1992. *A world without women: The Christian clerical culture of western science*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- North, S. M. 1984. Writing center research: Testing our assumptions. In G. A. Olson, ed., *Writing centers: Theory and administration*, 24–35. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- . 1985. Designing a case study method for tutorials: A prelude to research. *Rhetoric Review*, 4: 88–99.
- . 1987. *The making of knowledge in composition: Portrait of an emerging field*. Upper Montclair, NJ: Boynton/Cook.
- O'Donnell, T. 1996. Politics and ordinary language: A defense of expressive rhetorics. *College English*, 58: 423–39.
- Oliver, E. I. 1995. The writing quality of seventh, ninth, and eleventh graders, and college freshmen: Does rhetorical specification in writing prompts make a difference? *Research in the Teaching of English*, 29: 422–50.
- Paulos, J. A. 1995. *A mathematician reads the newspaper*. New York: BasicBooks.

- Phelps, L. W. 1988. *Composition as a human science: Contributions to the self- understanding of a discipline*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- . 1989. Images of student writing: The deep structure of teacher response. In C. M. Anson, ed., *Writing and response: Theory, practice, and research*, 37–67. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- . 1991. Practical wisdom and the geography of knowledge in composition. *College English*, 53: 863–85.
- Phelps, L. W., & Emig, J. 1995. *Feminine principles and women's experience in American composition and rhetoric*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Polanyi, M. 1964. *Personal knowledge: Towards a post-critical philosophy*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Porter, K. J. 1998. Methods, truths, reasons. *College English*, 60: 426–40.
- Pratt, M. L. 1991. Arts of the contact zone. In *Profession 91*, 33–40). New York: The Modern Language Association of America.
- Radencich, M. C., Eckhardt, K., Rasch, R., Uhr, S. L., & Pisaneschi, D. M. 1998. University course-based practitioner research: Four studies on journal writing contextualize the process. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 32: 79–112.
- Ray, R. 1992. Composition from the teacher-research point of view. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 172–89. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Rose, M. 1988. Narrowing the mind and page: Remedial writers and cognitive reductionism. *College Composition and Communication*, 39: 267–302.
- Rose, M. 1989. *Lives on the boundary*. New York: Penguin.
- Royster, J. J., & Taylor, R. G. 1997. Constructing teacher identity in the basic writing classroom. *Journal of Basic Writing*, 16: 27–50.
- Schiebinger, L. 1993. *Nature's body: Gender in the making of modern science*. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Schilb, J. 1991. Cultural studies, postmodernism, and composition. In P. Harkin & J. Schilb, eds., *Contending with words: Composition and rhetoric in a postmodern age*, 173–88. New York: The Modern Language Association.
- Schmidt, P. A. 1996. *Beginning in retrospect: Writing and reading a teacher's life*. New York: Teachers College Press.

- Schraver, K. 1989. What are we doing as a research community? Theory building in rhetoric and composition: The role of empirical scholarship. *Rhetoric Review*, 7: 272–88.
- Schraver, K. 1992. Connecting cognition and context in composition. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 190–216. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Selzer, J. 1998. Review: Scrutinizing science. *College English*, 60: 444–50.
- Shapin, S. 1996. *The scientific revolution*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Shea, C. 1996, April 16. Psychologists debate accuracy of ‘significance test.’ *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 42: A12, A17.
- Shepherd, L. J. 1993. *Lifting the veil: The feminine face of science*. Boston: Shambhala.
- Smagorinsky, P., & Smith, M. W. 1997. Editors’ introduction. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 31: 157–60.
- Smagorinsky, P., & Smith, M. W. 1998. Editors’ introduction. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 32: 121–25.
- Snow, C. P. 1965. *Two cultures and the scientific revolution*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Snyder, B. R. 1990. Literacy and numeracy. *Daedalus*, 119: 233–57.
- Sommers, N. 1979. The need for theory in composition research. *College Composition and Communication*, 30: 46–9.
- . 1982. Responding to student writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 33: 148–56.
- Spack, R. 1997. The (in)visibility of the person(al) in academe. *College English*, 59: 9–31.
- Steen, L. A. 1990. Numeracy. *Daedalus*, 119: 211–32.
- Stewart, D. C. 1983. The nineteenth century. In W. B. Horner, ed., *The present state of scholarship in historical and contemporary rhetoric*, 134–66. Columbia: University of Missouri Press.
- Stotsky, S. 1997. From the editor. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 31: 5–6.
- Straub, R. 1997. Students’ reactions to teacher comments: An exploratory study. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 31: 91–119.
- Straub, R., & Lunsford, R. F. 1995. *Twelve readers reading: Responding to college student writing*. Creskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

- Sullivan, P. A. 1992. Feminism and methodology in composition studies. In G. Kirsch & P. A. Sullivan, eds., *Methods and methodology in composition research*, 37–61. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Sullivan, P. A., & Qualley, D. J. 1994. *Pedagogy in the age of politics*. Urbana, IL: National Council of Teachers of English.
- Taylor, C. A. 1996. *Defining science: A rhetoric of demarcation*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Tobias, S. 1978. *Overcoming math anxiety*. New York: Norton.
- . 1987. *Succeed with math: Every student's guide to conquering math anxiety*. New York: College Entrance Examination Board.
- Trimbur, J. 1993. Foreword. In G. Kirsch, *Women writing the academy: Audience, authority, and transformation*, ix–xi. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Trimmer, J. F. 1997. *Narration as knowledge: Tales of the teaching life*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann, Boynton/Cook.
- Troyka, L. Q. 1984. Classical rhetoric and the basic writer. In R. J. Connors, L. S. Ede, & A. A. Lunsford, eds., *Essays on Classical Rhetoric and Modern Discourse*, 193–202. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Ward, S. 1995. The revenge of the humanities: Reality, rhetoric, and the politics of postmodernism. *Sociological Perspectives* [Online] 38: 109–28. Available: Expanded Academic ASAP: [http://sbweb3.med.iac-net.com/infotrac/session/5/892/9881937/21!xrn\\_1&bkm\\_21](http://sbweb3.med.iac-net.com/infotrac/session/5/892/9881937/21!xrn_1&bkm_21).
- Weimer, W. 1979. *Notes on the methodology of scientific research*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Welch, N. 1997. Review: Telling tales about teaching writing. *College English*, 59: 939–45.
- Wells, S. 1996. Women write science: The case of Hannah Longshore. *College English*, 58: 176–91.
- Yeo, R. R. 1986. Method and the rhetoric of science. In J. A. Schuster & R. R. Yeo, eds., *The politics and rhetoric of scientific method*, 259–97. Boston: D. Reidel Publishing.
- Zak, F., & Weaver, C. C. 1998. *The theory and practice of grading writing: Problems and possibilities*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.